

Artificial Intelligence and the Re-definition of Creativity: Rethinking Authorship and Aesthetic Value in Contemporary Art

Dr. Kalpna Singh, Anjali

Independent Researcher, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2025.12120056>

Received: 25 December 2025; Accepted: 01 January 2026; Published: 05 January 2026

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence has significantly transformed contemporary artistic practices, raising critical questions about creativity, authorship, and aesthetic value. This paper examines how generative AI systems challenge traditional human-centered models of artistic creation that have long shaped art theory and aesthetics. Drawing on interdisciplinary perspectives from art history, philosophy of art, and digital humanities, the study explores the shifting boundaries between human agency and machine participation in the creative process. It argues that artificial intelligence should not be understood merely as a technical instrument but as a co-creative agent that reconfigures established notions of originality, intentionality, and artistic labor.

Through a critical analysis of selected AI-generated artworks, digital exhibitions, and contemporary theoretical debates, the paper investigates how meaning and value are negotiated in works produced through human-machine collaboration. Particular attention is given to emerging questions of authorship, intellectual ownership, and the evolving role of the artist in an era of algorithmic creativity. The discussion further situates AI-driven art within broader cultural and ethical frameworks, addressing concerns related to transparency, algorithmic bias, and the commodification of creativity in digital economies.

By proposing a revised conceptual framework for understanding creativity in the age of artificial intelligence, this study contributes to ongoing debates in contemporary aesthetics and digital art studies. The findings suggest that rather than diminishing human creativity, AI expands the creative field by enabling new aesthetic practices, critical interpretations, and modes of artistic engagement. This research offers valuable insights for scholars in the arts and humanities and provides a foundation for future interdisciplinary inquiry into the cultural implications of artificial intelligence in artistic production.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Creativity, Authorship, Aesthetics, Digital Art, Human-Machine Collaboration

INTRODUCTION

The concept of creativity has traditionally been grounded in human intention, imagination, and subjective expression. From classical aesthetic philosophy to Enlightenment thought and Romantic theories of artistic genius, creativity has been regarded as an exclusively human faculty rooted in consciousness and intentional action. Philosophers such as Kant emphasized the role of human judgment and purposiveness, while later art-historical traditions reinforced the idea of the artist as an autonomous creative subject. These assumptions shaped dominant understandings of authorship, originality, and aesthetic value throughout the modern period.

The rapid development of artificial intelligence in the twenty-first century has profoundly disrupted these long-standing paradigms. Advances in machine learning, particularly generative systems capable of producing images, texts, music, and complex visual compositions, have introduced non-human agents into the creative process. Technologies such as generative adversarial networks, diffusion models, and large-scale neural networks now participate in artistic production in ways that exceed simple automation. As a result, creativity increasingly emerges from interactions between human intention, algorithmic processes, and large cultural datasets.

This transformation has prompted renewed scholarly attention across the arts and humanities. Researchers have begun to question whether creativity can still be defined as an exclusively human attribute and whether

authorship remains a stable category in the context of algorithmic production. Early discussions often framed AI as a sophisticated tool extending human capabilities. However, more recent debates emphasize relational and posthuman perspectives, recognizing AI as an active participant in creative systems rather than a passive instrument.

Within this context, the present paper examines how generative artificial intelligence challenges traditional human-centered models of creativity, authorship, and aesthetic value. By situating AI-generated art within interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks drawn from art history, philosophy of art, and digital humanities, the study seeks to reconceptualize creativity as a distributed and relational process. The paper contributes to contemporary debates by arguing that artificial intelligence functions as a co-creative agent that reshapes aesthetic theory and artistic practice in fundamental ways.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Creativity and Authorship in Classical and Modern Art Theory

Historically, creativity has been associated with originality, intention, and individual expression. Classical aesthetic theories privileged human reason and imagination, while Romanticism elevated the artist as a unique genius whose inner vision gave rise to artistic meaning. Even twentieth-century modernist movements, despite challenging traditional representation, maintained the centrality of the human creator.

Poststructuralist critiques, particularly those questioning the stability of the authorial subject, complicated this picture by emphasizing discourse, language, and cultural systems. Nevertheless, these approaches continued to assume a fundamentally human source of creativity. Authorship remained anchored in human agency, even as its authority was problematized.

Digital Humanities, Posthumanism, and Relational Creativity

Digital humanities and posthumanist theory offer alternative frameworks that decenter the human subject. Scholars in these traditions emphasize networks, assemblages, and technological mediation. Creativity, from this perspective, is not located within an individual but emerges through interactions among humans, machines, and cultural infrastructures.

Artificial intelligence exemplifies this shift. AI-generated art foregrounds collaboration, contingency, and system-level processes, aligning with post human theories that challenge anthropocentrism. This framework provides a critical lens through which AI can be understood not merely as a tool but as a participant in creative ecosystems.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative, interpretive methodology grounded in critical analysis rather than empirical experimentation. The research draws on selected case studies of AI-generated artworks, digital exhibitions, and curatorial practices alongside key theoretical texts in aesthetics, art history, media studies, and philosophy of technology.

The methodological approach emphasizes conceptual analysis, focusing on how meaning, authorship, and value are articulated within discourses surrounding AI-generated art. By examining critical debates, exhibition practices, and theoretical interventions, the study seeks to identify recurring themes and conceptual tensions that illuminate the cultural implications of artificial intelligence in artistic production.

Artificial Intelligence as A Co-Creative Agent

Generative AI systems operate through complex processes of data training, probabilistic modeling, and iterative learning. Unlike traditional tools, these systems introduce elements of unpredictability and variation that shape artistic outcomes. Human creators engage with AI by selecting datasets, adjusting parameters, and curating outputs, while algorithms contribute patterns, recombinations, and emergent forms.

This co-creative dynamic complicates traditional distinctions between tool and creator. Creativity emerges from the interaction between human intention and algorithmic agency, challenging the notion of singular authorship. Rather than replacing the artist, AI transforms the role of the artist into that of a curator, facilitator, or collaborator within a broader creative system.

Aesthetic Value and Interpretation in Ai-Generated Art

The evaluation of AI-generated art raises fundamental questions about aesthetic judgment. Traditional criteria grounded in originality and intentional expression become unstable when creative agency is distributed. In this context, aesthetic value increasingly resides in interpretation, contextualization, and audience engagement.

Curatorial framing, exhibition design, and critical discourse play crucial roles in shaping how AI-generated works are understood. Meaning is negotiated through human interpretation of algorithmic outputs, suggesting that aesthetic value is not diminished but transformed within AI-driven practices.

Authorship, Ownership, And Intellectual Property

AI-generated art challenges legal and philosophical notions of authorship and ownership. Questions arise regarding intellectual property, particularly when AI systems are trained on existing artworks. The ambiguity surrounding authorship complicates attribution and raises ethical concerns related to appropriation and consent.

From a humanities perspective, these debates reveal the limitations of existing legal frameworks in addressing distributed creativity. Rethinking authorship requires conceptual models that acknowledge collaborative and system-based forms of creation.

Ethical And Cultural Implications

Beyond authorship, AI-driven art raises broader ethical concerns. Algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, and unequal access to technological resources risk reinforcing existing cultural hierarchies. The commercialization of AI creativity further complicates these dynamics by prioritizing market value over critical reflection.

Humanities scholarship plays a vital role in addressing these challenges by offering ethical frameworks grounded in cultural analysis, philosophy, and critical theory. Such perspectives are essential for responsible engagement with AI in artistic contexts.

Case Examples and Contemporary AI Art Practices

To illustrate the theoretical arguments advanced in this paper, this section examines selected contemporary AI art practices that demonstrate how creativity, authorship, and aesthetic value are reconfigured through human-machine collaboration. These examples are representative practices that illuminate broader cultural and conceptual shifts.

One example is AI-generated portraiture produced via generative adversarial networks, where algorithms trained on historical datasets generate novel images resembling established artistic styles. Human artists curate datasets, select parameters, and evaluate outputs, demonstrating iterative dialogue between human intention and algorithmic generation.

Another area is AI-assisted generative systems used in digital installations and exhibitions. Here, AI systems respond to environmental inputs, audience interaction, or real-time data streams. These installations emphasize emergence, variability, and interaction, foregrounding process over product. Aesthetic experience is shaped by both the output and viewers' awareness of algorithmic processes.

Text- and image-based generative models exemplify co-creative dynamics further. Artists describe these systems as collaborators that introduce unexpected associations beyond human imagination. Iterative cycles of prompting, selection, and refinement underscore distributed creativity and the importance of human judgment in shaping outcomes.

Curatorial practices mediate interpretation and value, framing algorithms as cultural agents embedded within social, political, and historical contexts. Ethical considerations regarding dataset sources, authorship recognition, and technological access highlight both opportunities and structural inequalities.

Collectively, these practices support the paper's central argument: AI creativity is relational, process-oriented, and embedded within cultural and ethical contexts.

DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

The analysis presented underscores the need to rethink foundational assumptions about creativity, authorship, and aesthetic value in light of artificial intelligence. Rather than viewing AI as a disruptive force that undermines human creativity, AI functions as a transformative agent that reshapes creative practices and theoretical frameworks, with significant implications for contemporary aesthetics, art theory, and digital humanities scholarship.

Creativity must move beyond individualistic and human-centered models toward relational and distributed frameworks. AI-generated art reveals creativity as an emergent property of interactions among human intention, algorithmic processes, technological infrastructures, and cultural datasets. This challenges the romantic ideal of the autonomous creative genius and aligns with posthumanist and systems-oriented theories.

Authorship is also redefined. Human-machine collaboration disperses agency across multiple actors, including programmers, artists, datasets, and algorithms, affecting intellectual property, curatorial practices, and institutional frameworks. Humanities-based approaches emphasize interpretation, context, and ethical responsibility.

Cultural and ethical implications include algorithmic bias, opacity, and unequal access to technology, which risk reinforcing cultural hierarchies. Yet, AI also offers opportunities to democratize artistic experimentation and expand aesthetic possibilities when critically and ethically applied. Humanities scholarship is crucial for providing normative frameworks emphasizing transparency, inclusivity, and accountability.

Future research should explore cross-cultural perspectives, audience reception, institutional responses, and policy frameworks. By situating AI within cultural and philosophical contexts, this study affirms the importance of humanities scholarship in shaping responsible and reflective engagement with emerging creative technologies.

CONCLUSION

This paper has critically examined the profound implications of artificial intelligence (AI) for contemporary artistic practices, focusing on creativity, authorship, and aesthetic value. By positioning AI as a co-creative agent rather than a mere tool, the study challenges traditional human-centered frameworks that have long dominated art theory and aesthetics. The findings suggest that AI-mediated creativity is relational, emergent, and distributed across human and machine actors, prompting a fundamental reconsideration of what constitutes artistic agency, originality, and intentionality. This shift has significant implications for both theoretical scholarship and practical engagement in the arts and humanities.

A key contribution of this research is the reconceptualization of creativity as a collaborative process involving humans, algorithms, and cultural datasets. Unlike conventional models that privilege individual genius or subjective intentionality, AI-generated art demonstrates that creative output often emerges from iterative cycles of interaction between human artists and algorithmic systems. Human artists curate training data, configure parameters, and evaluate outcomes, while AI systems introduce unexpected patterns, styles, and forms. This interplay destabilizes the notion of singular authorship, suggesting instead a distributed model in which agency is shared across multiple actors. Recognizing AI as a co-creative participant expands the conceptual boundaries of creativity, highlighting the importance of posthumanist and relational perspectives in understanding contemporary artistic practice.

The study also emphasizes the evolving notion of aesthetic value in the context of AI-driven art. Traditional criteria—such as originality, expressive intentionality, and stylistic mastery—become insufficient when

applied to human–machine collaborations. Instead, aesthetic significance is increasingly determined through interpretive practices, curatorial framing, and audience engagement. Exhibitions, digital platforms, and critical discourse mediate the perception of AI-generated artworks, underscoring that meaning is co-constructed rather than inherent in the artifact itself. This dynamic shifts the focus of art theory from fixed aesthetic qualities to emergent experiences shaped by collaborative processes and contextual interpretation.

Moreover, the paper highlights the ethical and cultural implications of AI in art. Issues of intellectual property, authorship attribution, and data provenance pose complex challenges in legal and institutional contexts. Ethical concerns related to algorithmic bias, transparency, and access to technological resources further underscore the need for responsible engagement with AI-driven creative practices. Humanities scholarship plays a pivotal role in addressing these challenges, offering frameworks for critical evaluation, ethical reflection, and cultural contextualization. By integrating ethical and social considerations into the discourse on AI-generated art, this research advocates for a balanced approach that acknowledges both the opportunities and constraints of technological innovation.

Importantly, AI-driven art also fosters new experimental and interpretive possibilities. Generative systems enable artists to explore forms, textures, and aesthetic relationships that extend beyond the limits of human imagination alone. Interactive and data-responsive installations create dynamic experiences, emphasizing process, variability, and audience participation. These developments signal a shift from product-oriented art toward process-oriented, participatory, and emergent modes of creation. Such expansion of the creative field encourages interdisciplinary inquiry, inviting scholars from philosophy, digital humanities, media studies, and cultural theory to investigate the interplay between technology, creativity, and cultural meaning.

Finally, the study identifies several avenues for future research. Comparative analyses across cultures and artistic traditions could reveal how AI-mediated creativity is interpreted and valued in different socio-cultural contexts. Audience reception studies may illuminate how viewers understand and engage with AI-generated artworks, providing insights into the perceptual and affective dimensions of human–machine co-creativity. Policy-oriented research is also necessary to address intellectual property, data governance, and equitable access to AI technologies, ensuring that innovations in art remain inclusive and ethically grounded. By situating AI within broader cultural, philosophical, and ethical frameworks, future scholarship can continue to refine our understanding of creativity and artistic practice in the twenty-first century.

In conclusion, this paper advocates for a comprehensive rethinking of creativity, authorship, and aesthetic value in the age of artificial intelligence. By framing AI as a co-creative agent, it contributes to ongoing theoretical debates, offers practical insights for artists and curators, and underscores the essential role of humanities scholarship in guiding responsible, innovative, and ethically informed engagement with emerging technologies. The integration of AI into artistic practice is not merely a technical development but a cultural transformation, prompting scholars, practitioners, and institutions to reconceptualize the very foundations of creativity and aesthetic experience.

REFERENCES

1. Boden, M. A. (2016). *AI: Its nature and future*. Oxford University Press.
2. Boden, M. A. (2022). Creativity and artificial intelligence. *Artificial Intelligence*, 304, 103667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artint.2021.103667>
3. Braidotti, R. (2019). *Posthuman knowledge*. Polity Press.
4. Coeckelbergh, M. (2020). Artificial intelligence, responsibility attribution, and a relational justification of explainability. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 26(4), 2051–2068.
5. Elgammal, A., Liu, B., Elhoseiny, M., & Mazzone, M. (2017). CAN: Creative adversarial networks. *Proceedings of ICCV*, 1–8.
6. Floridi, L. (2019). Establishing the rules for building trustworthy AI. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(6), 261–262.
7. Floridi, L., Cows, J., Beltrametti, M., et al. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689–707.
8. Latour, B. (2005). *Reassembling the social: An introduction to actor-network-theory*. Oxford University Press.

9. Manovich, L. (2013). *Software takes command*. Bloomsbury.
10. Manovich, L. (2019). *AI aesthetics*. Strelka Press.
11. McCormack, J., Gifford, T., Hutchings, P., et al. (2019). Autonomy, authenticity, authorship and intention in computer-generated art. *Journal of Creative Music Systems*, 3(1).
12. Murray, J. (2017). *Hamlet on the Holodeck* (Updated ed.). MIT Press.
13. Nake, F. (2020). Art in the age of machine learning. *Arts*, 9(1), 1–15.
14. Paul, C. (2016). *Digital art* (3rd ed.). Thames & Hudson.
15. Rainey, S., Erden, Y. J., & Santoni de Sio, F. (2021). The ethical use of artificial intelligence in the arts. *AI & Society*, 36, 1–12.
16. Shanken, E. A. (2018). *Art and electronic media*. Phaidon.
17. Zylinska, J. (2020). *AI art: Machine visions and warped dreams*. Open Humanities Press.