

# Prevalence of Foodborne Microbial Pathogens in Raw Food Products around Maasai Mara University, Narok County, Kenya

Maureen Musawa<sup>1</sup>, Doryce Ndubi<sup>1</sup> and Paul M. Wanjala<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, School of Pure and Applied Sciences, Maasai Mara University, P.O. Box 861-20500, Narok, Kenya

\*Correspondence Author

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2026.1306000143>

Received: 03 June 2026; Accepted: 08 June 2026; Published: 27 June 2026

## ABSTRACT

Foodborne diseases remain a major global public health challenge, with raw food products serving as key vehicles for transmission of microbial pathogens. This study determined the prevalence of foodborne microbial pathogens in raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University, Narok County, Kenya. A cross-sectional laboratory-based study design was used, involving collection of 120 raw food samples comprising beef, chicken, milk, and vegetables. Standard microbiological methods were applied for isolation and identification of *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Campylobacter* spp., while antimicrobial susceptibility testing was conducted using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method following CLSI guidelines. Overall, 69.2% of samples were contaminated with at least one foodborne pathogen. *Escherichia coli* was the most frequently isolated organism (29.2%), followed by *Salmonella* spp. (17.5%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (15.0%), and *Campylobacter* spp. (7.5%). Chicken (90.0%) and beef (80.0%) exhibited higher contamination rates compared to milk (60.0%) and vegetables (46.7%). A significant association was observed between food type and pathogen prevalence ( $\chi^2 = 16.06$ ,  $p = 0.0011$ ). Logistic regression analysis identified lack of refrigeration, inadequate hand hygiene, and exposure of food to dust as significant risk factors for contamination ( $p < 0.05$ ). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed high resistance to ampicillin and tetracycline, while ciprofloxacin and gentamicin remained largely effective against most isolates. The study concludes that raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University are highly contaminated with foodborne pathogens, posing a significant public health risk. Strengthening food safety practices, improving hygiene standards, and enhancing antimicrobial stewardship are recommended to reduce foodborne disease transmission in the study area.

**Keywords:** - Foodborne pathogens; *Escherichia coli*; *Salmonella* spp.; Raw food products; Antimicrobial resistance

## INTRODUCTION

### Background of the Study

Foodborne diseases remain a major public health challenge globally, affecting millions of people annually and contributing substantially to morbidity, mortality, and economic losses. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that approximately 600 million people fall ill each year following consumption of contaminated food, resulting in nearly 420,000 deaths worldwide [1]. Food contamination can occur at any point along the food production chain, including production, processing, transportation, storage, distribution, and preparation. Raw food products are particularly vulnerable to microbial contamination because they often bypass thermal processing steps capable of eliminating pathogenic microorganisms [2].

Foodborne microbial pathogens comprise a diverse group of bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites that cause illness in humans. Among bacterial pathogens, *Salmonella* spp., *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Campylobacter* spp., *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Shigella* spp. are among the most frequently implicated in foodborne disease outbreaks worldwide [3]. These microorganisms contaminate food products through various

pathways including contaminated water, soil, animal feces, poor hygienic practices during handling, and inadequate storage conditions [4].

Raw food products such as meat, milk, fruits, vegetables, fish, and poultry are important vehicles for the transmission of foodborne pathogens. Fresh produce may become contaminated through irrigation with contaminated water, use of untreated animal manure, or improper handling during harvesting and marketing. Similarly, meat and dairy products may acquire microbial contaminants during slaughter, processing, transportation, and retail handling [5]. Consumption of contaminated food has been associated with gastrointestinal infections characterized by diarrhea, vomiting, abdominal pain, fever, and in severe cases systemic illness and death, particularly among vulnerable populations including children, pregnant women, the elderly, and immunocompromised individuals [6].

Globally, *Salmonella* spp. and pathogenic *E. coli* strains have remained among the leading causes of foodborne illness. *Salmonella* infections are commonly associated with poultry, beef, eggs, and fresh produce, while pathogenic *E. coli* strains are frequently isolated from meat products, vegetables, and unpasteurized dairy products [7]. Likewise, *Staphylococcus aureus* contamination has often been linked to poor food handling practices, whereas *Campylobacter* species are commonly associated with poultry products and contaminated water sources [8].

In Africa, foodborne diseases continue to impose a significant burden due to inadequate food safety systems, poor sanitation infrastructure, limited surveillance programs, and weak enforcement of food safety regulations [9]. Rapid urbanization, growth of informal food markets, and increasing demand for fresh and ready-to-eat foods have further heightened the risk of food contamination. Studies conducted in several African countries have reported high prevalence rates of pathogenic microorganisms in raw meat, milk, vegetables, and fish sold in informal markets and retail outlets [10].

In Kenya, foodborne illnesses remain an important public health concern despite efforts to strengthen food safety regulations and surveillance systems. Previous studies have reported contamination of raw meat, milk, vegetables, and street-vended foods with pathogenic bacteria including *Salmonella* spp., *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Campylobacter* spp. [11,12]. Such contamination poses considerable health risks to consumers and undermines efforts aimed at improving food security and public health outcomes.

Narok County is an important livestock- and agriculture-producing region in Kenya. The area surrounding Maasai Mara University hosts numerous food vendors, butcherries, supermarkets, restaurants, and informal food markets that serve students, staff, and the surrounding community. The high demand for food products coupled with varying food handling and storage practices creates conditions that may facilitate microbial contamination. Prior to this study, information regarding the prevalence of foodborne microbial pathogens in raw food products sold within the Maasai Mara University environs was limited. Consequently, this study was conducted to determine the prevalence of foodborne microbial pathogens in selected raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University and to identify factors associated with contamination.

## Statement of the Problem

Foodborne diseases continue to pose a major threat to public health globally, with contaminated food responsible for millions of illnesses and thousands of deaths annually [1]. Raw food products are particularly susceptible to microbial contamination because they are exposed to environmental contaminants during production, transportation, storage, and retail handling. Consumption of contaminated foods may result in outbreaks of diseases caused by pathogens such as *Salmonella* spp., *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Campylobacter* spp. [13].

In Kenya, increasing demand for fresh food products has expanded food supply chains and informal food markets. However, inadequate sanitation, poor food handling practices, insufficient cold storage facilities, and limited food safety monitoring continue to contribute to microbial contamination of food products [11,12]. Although several studies have documented the occurrence of foodborne pathogens in different regions of Kenya,

limited information was available regarding the prevalence of these pathogens in raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University.

The absence of such information hindered evidence-based interventions aimed at improving food safety within the university environment and surrounding communities. Therefore, this study investigated the prevalence of foodborne microbial pathogens in selected raw food products and assessed factors associated with contamination to provide baseline information for food safety management and public health interventions.

## Objectives

The study was guided by the following objectives:

### General Objective

To investigate the prevalence, distribution, and determinants of foodborne microbial contamination in selected raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University.

### Specific Objectives

1. To isolate and identify major foodborne microbial pathogens from selected raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University.
2. To determine the prevalence of foodborne microbial pathogens in different categories of raw food products.
3. To compare the occurrence of microbial pathogens among different food product types.
4. To determine the potential risk factors contributing to microbial contamination of raw food products.
5. To determine the antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of bacterial isolates recovered from raw food products.

### Null Hypotheses

The study tested the following null hypotheses:

1.  $H_01$ : There is no significant difference in the prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. between poultry products and beef products sold around Maasai Mara University.
2.  $H_02$ : There is no significant association between the type of raw food product and the prevalence of foodborne microbial pathogens.
3.  $H_03$ : The prevalence of *Escherichia coli* contamination does not differ significantly among different categories of raw food products.
4.  $H_04$ : There is no significant association between food handling and storage practices and the occurrence of foodborne microbial pathogens in raw food products.
5.  $H_05$ : There is no significant difference in antimicrobial susceptibility among bacterial isolates recovered from different food products.

### Significance of the Study

The findings of this study contributed significantly to understanding the microbial safety status of raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University. The study provided critical information for protecting consumer health by identifying potential foodborne microbial hazards associated with the consumption of raw foods. It generated evidence that can be used to strengthen food safety monitoring, inspection, and surveillance programs within the study area and beyond. Additionally, the study identified possible sources and risk factors contributing to food contamination, thereby providing a basis for targeted interventions to reduce foodborne disease risks.

The findings also offered scientific data to support the formulation and implementation of food safety policies, regulations, and public health interventions at both county and national levels. Furthermore, the study contributed to ongoing efforts aimed at improving food quality, enhancing consumer confidence in food products, and promoting food security through safer food handling and distribution practices. Finally, the

research added to the growing body of scientific knowledge on the occurrence and distribution of foodborne pathogens in Kenya, thereby serving as a valuable reference for future studies and public health initiatives.

### **Scope of the Study**

The study investigated the prevalence of foodborne microbial pathogens in selected raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University in Narok County, Kenya. Samples were collected from local markets, butcheries, supermarkets, and food vendors operating within the study area.

Laboratory analyses involved the isolation, identification, and characterization of major bacterial foodborne pathogens including *Salmonella* spp., *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Campylobacter* spp. Standard microbiological procedures were used to determine the prevalence of these pathogens in different food categories. Additionally, information on food handling, storage, and hygiene practices was collected to assess potential risk factors associated with contamination.

The study was geographically limited to the environs of Maasai Mara University and focused exclusively on food samples. Clinical samples from human patients were not included. The findings provided baseline information on the occurrence and distribution of foodborne microbial pathogens within the local food supply chain and informed recommendations for improving food safety practices.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Study Area**

The study was conducted around Maasai Mara University in Narok Town, Narok County, Kenya. Narok County is in the southern part of Kenya between latitudes 0°50'S and 2°05'S and longitudes 34°45'E and 36°00'E. The area experiences a bimodal rainfall pattern with annual precipitation ranging from 500 mm to 1,800 mm and temperatures ranging from 12°C to 28°C [16]. Maasai Mara University serves a large student and staff population and is surrounded by numerous food outlets including restaurants, butcheries, food stalls, and retail shops. Three major food vending points, namely Gate A Food Stall, Gate C Food Stall, and the Student Centre Food Stall, were selected for sample collection because they serve a large proportion of the university population.

### **Study Design**

A cross-sectional laboratory-based study design was employed to determine the prevalence of foodborne microbial pathogens in raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University. The study involved collection of food samples from selected food outlets, isolation and identification of microbial pathogens, antimicrobial susceptibility testing of bacterial isolates, and statistical analysis of the resulting data [17].

### **Study Population**

The study population comprised raw food products commonly sold and consumed within the university environment. The food categories investigated included raw beef, raw chicken meat, raw milk, and fresh vegetables such as tomatoes, cabbage, kale, spinach, and lettuce. These products were selected because they are among the most frequently consumed foods and have been implicated in foodborne disease transmission globally [18].

### **Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

The study focused on raw food products intended for human consumption and commonly sold around Maasai Mara University. These included fresh beef and chicken meat obtained from selected food stalls, unpasteurized raw milk sold within the study area, and fresh vegetables purchased from selected food vending outlets. All samples were collected during the study period and transported to the laboratory under recommended cold-chain conditions to preserve their integrity and prevent changes in microbial composition prior to analysis.

The study excluded cooked and processed food products, as well as canned and commercially packaged foods, since the focus was on raw foods intended for human consumption. Food products obtained from locations outside the designated study area were also excluded to ensure consistency and relevance to the study objectives. Additionally, samples exhibiting extensive physical spoilage unrelated to microbial contamination were not considered, as such deterioration could compromise the accuracy of laboratory findings. Samples that were improperly labeled or insufficient in quantity for comprehensive laboratory analysis were likewise excluded from the study.

### Sample Size Determination

The sample size was determined using Cochran's formula [19]: -  $n = \frac{Z^2 P(1-P)}{d^2}$

where:

- $n$  = required sample size
- $Z = 1.96$  at 95% confidence level
- $P$  = expected prevalence (0.50)
- $d$  = precision level (0.05)

Using these assumptions: -  $n = \frac{(1.96)^2(0.5)(0.5)}{(0.05)^2} = 384$

Due to logistical and financial constraints, a representative sample of 120 food samples was analyzed.

### Sample Collection

Food samples were collected aseptically from Gate A Food Stall, Gate C Food Stall, and the Student Centre Food Stall in the vicinity of Maasai Mara University that are frequented by students and members of staff plus the neighboring community. Approximately 250 g of beef and chicken meat were collected in sterile sampling bags. Raw milk samples were collected in sterile screw-capped bottles, while vegetable samples were placed in sterile polyethylene bags. Each sample was labeled with a unique identification code indicating sample type, collection site, and date of collection.

The samples were transported to the microbiology laboratory at the university in insulated cool boxes maintained at 4°C and processed within four hours of collection to minimize microbial changes during transportation [20].

### Sample Processing

Upon arrival at the Department of Biological Sciences Laboratory at Maasai Mara University, all samples were processed according to standard microbiological procedures [21]. For meat samples, 25 g of each beef or chicken sample were aseptically weighed and homogenized in 225 mL of Buffered Peptone Water (BPW) to obtain an initial  $10^{-1}$  dilution. Homogenization was carried out using a laboratory stomacher for two minutes to ensure uniform distribution of microorganisms. Milk samples were thoroughly mixed prior to analysis, after which 25 mL of each sample was transferred into 225 mL of Buffered Peptone Water and homogenized. For vegetable samples, 25 g of tissue were aseptically weighed, washed with sterile physiological saline to remove loosely attached debris, and subsequently homogenized in Buffered Peptone Water. Following sample preparation, serial dilutions ranging from  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-6}$  were prepared for subsequent microbiological examination.

### Isolation and Identification of Foodborne Pathogens

Isolation and identification of bacterial pathogens were conducted using standard microbiological procedures described by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and the Food and Drug Administration Bacteriological Analytical Manual [22,23]. For the isolation of *Escherichia coli*, aliquots obtained from serially diluted samples were streaked onto MacConkey Agar and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 hours. Colonies

exhibiting pink coloration due to lactose fermentation were selected and purified on nutrient agar for further identification [22].

For the isolation of *Salmonella* species, samples were initially enriched in Selenite F Broth and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The enriched cultures were subsequently streaked onto Salmonella-Shigella Agar and incubated at 37°C for a further 24 hours. Colonies displaying characteristic black centers resulting from hydrogen sulfide production were presumptively identified as *Salmonella* species [23].

Isolation of *Campylobacter* species was performed by culturing samples on Campylobacter Selective Agar supplemented with selective antibiotics. The inoculated media were incubated under microaerophilic conditions at 42°C for 48 hours. Colonies exhibiting characteristic morphology were sub-cultured for purification and confirmation [24].

For the detection of *Staphylococcus aureus*, samples were inoculated onto Mannitol Salt Agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Yellow colonies surrounded by yellow zones resulting from mannitol fermentation were presumptively identified as *Staphylococcus aureus* [21].

Pure bacterial isolates obtained from the various culture media were further characterized using Gram staining according to the method described by Beveridge [25]. Briefly, bacterial smears were prepared on clean microscope slides, heat-fixed, and stained sequentially with crystal violet for one minute and Gram's iodine for one minute. The smears were then decolorized with 95% ethanol for 15 seconds and counterstained with safranin for one minute. After air drying, the stained preparations were examined microscopically under oil immersion using a 100× objective lens. Gram-positive bacteria appeared purple due to retention of the crystal violet–iodine complex, whereas Gram-negative bacteria appeared pink following uptake of the safranin counterstain. These cultural, morphological, and staining characteristics were used to aid the identification and confirmation of bacterial pathogens isolated from the food samples.

### Biochemical Confirmation

Bacterial isolates were subjected to a series of biochemical tests to confirm their identities following standard microbiological procedures [21] as follows: -

- *Escherichia coli*: - Indole-positive, methyl-red-positive, Voges-Proskauer-negative, citrate-negative (IMViC ++--).
- *Salmonella* spp.: - TSI, urease, citrate and motility tests.
- *Staphylococcus aureus*: - Catalase and coagulase tests.
- *Campylobacter* spp.: - Oxidase-positive, catalase-positive, characteristic darting motility.

### Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using the Kirby–Bauer disc diffusion technique on Mueller-Hinton agar according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [26].

The antibiotics tested included:

- Ampicillin (10 µg)
- Tetracycline (30 µg)
- Ciprofloxacin (5 µg)
- Gentamicin (10 µg)

Zones of inhibition were measured after incubation and interpreted as susceptible, intermediate, or resistant according to CLSI breakpoints.

### Assessment of Risk Factors

A structured observational checklist and vendor questionnaire were used to collect information on food handling and storage practices at sampling sites. Variables assessed included availability of refrigeration facilities, hand hygiene practices, food covering status, exposure to dust, source of water used for cleaning, and frequency of sanitation of food-contact surfaces. Data were analyzed using binary logistic regression to identify factors associated with food contamination.

## Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Quality control procedures were implemented throughout the study. Culture media were prepared according to manufacturers' instructions and sterility was confirmed before use. Standard reference strains including *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923, and *Salmonella Typhimurium* ATCC 14028 were used as quality control organisms during pathogen isolation, identification, antimicrobial susceptibility testing [26].

## Data Management and Statistical Analysis

Data obtained from laboratory analyses and observational checklists were entered into Microsoft Excel 2021 and exported to Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26.0 for analysis [27]. Descriptive statistics including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations were used to summarize pathogen prevalence and contamination levels. Prevalence was calculated as:  $\text{Prevalence (\%)} = (\text{Number of positive samples} / \text{Total number of samples examined}) \times 100$ . Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) tests were used to determine associations between food type and pathogen occurrence. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare mean contamination levels among food categories. Binary logistic regression analysis was performed to identify risk factors associated with food contamination. Statistical significance was determined at  $p < 0.05$  [28].

## Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the relevant institutional authorities. Permission to collect food samples was obtained from food vendors and stall operators before commencement of sampling. Confidentiality was maintained throughout the study by assigning coded identifiers to vendors and sampling locations. All laboratory procedures were conducted following biosafety guidelines [29].

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Distribution of Food Samples Collected

A total of 120 raw food samples comprising beef, chicken, milk, and vegetables were collected from selected food vending outlets around Maasai Mara University. Each food category contributed 30 samples, representing 25% of the total sample size (Table 1).

Table 1. Distribution of Food Samples by Type

| Food Type    | Number of Samples (n) | Percentage (%) |
|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Beef         | 30                    | 25.0           |
| Chicken      | 30                    | 25.0           |
| Milk         | 30                    | 25.0           |
| Vegetables   | 30                    | 25.0           |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>120</b>            | <b>100.0</b>   |

The equal representation of food categories facilitated comparative assessment of contamination patterns among different food products.

## Isolation and Identification of Foodborne Pathogens

Out of the 120 food samples analyzed, 83 (69.2%) were positive for at least one foodborne bacterial pathogen, while 37 (30.8%) showed no detectable pathogen. Four major bacterial pathogens were isolated, namely *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Campylobacter* spp. (Table 2).

Table 2. Prevalence of Foodborne Pathogens Isolated from Raw Food Products

| Pathogen                     | Positive Samples (n) | Prevalence (%) | $\chi^2$ | df | p value    |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------|----|------------|
| <i>Escherichia coli</i>      | 35                   | 29.2           | 23.34    | 4  | 0.00011*** |
| <i>Salmonella</i> spp.       | 21                   | 17.5           |          |    |            |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> | 18                   | 15.0           |          |    |            |
| <i>Campylobacter</i> spp.    | 9                    | 7.5            |          |    |            |
| No pathogen detected         | 37                   | 30.8           |          |    |            |
| <b>Total</b>                 | <b>120</b>           | <b>100</b>     |          |    |            |

A Chi-square goodness-of-fit test showed a statistically significant difference in pathogen distribution ( $\chi^2 = 23.34$ ,  $df = 4$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), indicating that contamination was not uniformly distributed among pathogen categories.

The predominance of *Escherichia coli* suggests widespread fecal contamination within the food supply chain. Similar findings have been reported in Kenya and other developing countries where inadequate sanitation, poor personal hygiene, and contaminated water are major contributors to food contamination [30,31]. The occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. further indicates contamination during slaughtering, processing, transportation, or marketing of food products [34]. Isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* points to contamination arising from food handlers, given that the organism commonly colonizes human skin and nasal passages [35]. Although *Campylobacter* spp. exhibited the lowest prevalence, its detection remains epidemiologically significant because of its low infectious dose and its established role in bacterial gastroenteritis worldwide [36].

## Prevalence of Pathogens in Different Food Products

The prevalence of contamination varied significantly across food categories (Table 3). Chicken samples exhibited the highest contamination rate (90.0%), followed by beef (80.0%), milk (60.0%), and vegetables (46.7%).

Table 3. Prevalence of Pathogens by Food Type

| Food Type    | Samples Tested | Positive Samples | Prevalence (%) | $\chi^2$ | df | p-value   |
|--------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----|-----------|
| Beef         | 30             | 24               | 80.0           | 16.06    | 3  | 0.0011*** |
| Chicken      | 30             | 27               | 90.0           |          |    |           |
| Milk         | 30             | 18               | 60.0           |          |    |           |
| Vegetables   | 30             | 14               | 46.7           |          |    |           |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>120</b>     | <b>83</b>        | <b>69.2</b>    |          |    |           |

The Chi-square test revealed a statistically significant association between food type and pathogen occurrence ( $\chi^2 = 16.06$ ,  $df = 3$ ,  $p = 0.0011$ ), indicating that contamination levels differed significantly among food categories.

The significantly higher contamination observed in chicken and beef may be attributed to contamination during slaughter, dressing, transportation, storage, and retail handling [37]. Poultry products are particularly vulnerable because intestinal contents may contaminate carcasses during evisceration. Milk contamination may be associated with poor milking hygiene, contaminated containers, and lack of pasteurization [38]. Vegetable contamination is likely linked to irrigation using contaminated water, application of untreated manure, and unhygienic handling during marketing [39]. These findings demonstrate that animal-derived foods constitute a greater microbial risk than plant-derived foods within the study area.

### Distribution of Individual Pathogens Across Food Categories

The distribution of specific pathogens among food categories is presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Distribution of Individual Pathogens Across Food Categories

| Pathogen                  | Beef n (%) | Chicken n (%) | Milk n (%) | Vegetables n (%) | Total     | $\chi^2$ | df | p-value             |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------|------------|------------------|-----------|----------|----|---------------------|
| <i>E. coli</i>            | 9 (30.0)   | 12 (40.0)     | 5 (16.7)   | 9 (30.0)         | 35        | 15.88    | 9  | 0.069 <sup>NS</sup> |
| <i>Salmonella</i> spp.    | 6 (20.0)   | 10 (33.3)     | 2 (6.7)    | 3 (10.0)         | 21        |          |    |                     |
| <i>S. aureus</i>          | 5 (16.7)   | 3 (10.0)      | 8 (26.7)   | 2 (6.7)          | 18        |          |    |                     |
| <i>Campylobacter</i> spp. | 4 (13.3)   | 5 (16.7)      | 0 (0.0)    | 0 (0.0)          | 9         |          |    |                     |
| <b>Total</b>              | <b>24</b>  | <b>30</b>     | <b>15</b>  | <b>14</b>        | <b>83</b> |          |    |                     |

Although *E. coli* was the dominant pathogen across all food types, statistical analysis revealed no significant association between pathogen type and food category ( $\chi^2 = 15.88$ ,  $df = 9$ ,  $p = 0.069$ ).

The predominance of *E. coli* in chicken and beef supports the role of livestock as important reservoirs of fecal contamination [33]. The high occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. in chicken samples is consistent with previous studies identifying poultry as a major source of human salmonellosis [34]. The predominance of *Staphylococcus aureus* in milk suggests contamination during milking, handling, storage, or distribution [35]. Isolation of *Campylobacter* spp. exclusively from meat products agrees with reports that poultry and livestock constitute the principal reservoirs of this pathogen [36].

Despite variations in prevalence, the lack of statistical significance suggests that contamination pathways overlap considerably across food products, exposing consumers to multiple foodborne hazards.

### Risk Factors Associated with Food Contamination

Several food handling practices associated with contamination were identified among vendors (Table 5).

Table 5. Food Handling Practices Observed Among Vendors

| Risk Factor                 | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) | Logistic Regression Analysis of Risk Factors |           |                     |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|
|                             |               |                | Odds Ratio (OR)                              | 95% CI    | P-value             |
| No refrigeration            | 19            | 63.3           | 3.42                                         | 1.45–8.08 | 0.004*              |
| Inadequate hand washing     | 22            | 73.3           | 2.91                                         | 1.18–7.16 | 0.018*              |
| Exposure of food to dust    | 18            | 60.0           | 2.36                                         | 1.01–5.52 | 0.046*              |
| Lack of protective clothing | 15            | 50.0           | 1.74                                         | 0.73–4.15 | 0.210 <sup>NS</sup> |

Lack of refrigeration emerged as the strongest predictor of contamination, with vendors lacking refrigeration facilities being over three times more likely to sell contaminated food products. Temperature abuse is widely recognized as a critical factor promoting bacterial growth and multiplication in perishable foods [42].

Inadequate hand washing was also significantly associated with contamination. Poor hand hygiene facilitates transfer of microorganisms from handlers to food and remains one of the most common causes of foodborne disease outbreaks [41]. Similarly, exposure of food to dust significantly increased contamination risk, reflecting the vulnerability of open-air vending systems to environmental pollutants [43].

Although lack of protective clothing increased contamination risk, the relationship was not statistically significant, suggesting that direct hygiene practices and environmental conditions may have a greater influence on microbial contamination than protective garments alone.

### Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiles of Isolated Pathogens

The antimicrobial resistance profiles of the isolated foodborne pathogens are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Antibiotic Resistance Patterns of Isolated Pathogens (% Resistant)

| Antibiotic      | <i>E. coli</i> | <i>Salmonella</i> | <i>S. aureus</i> | <i>Campylobacter</i> |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Ampicillin      | 68.6           | 61.9              | 55.6             | 44.4                 |
| Tetracycline    | 57.1           | 52.4              | 61.1             | 55.6                 |
| Ciprofloxacin   | 11.4           | 9.5               | 5.6              | 11.1                 |
| Gentamicin      | 8.6            | 4.8               | 5.6              | 0                    |
| Chloramphenicol | 20.0           | 14.3              | 16.7             | 11.1                 |

High resistance levels to ampicillin and tetracycline were observed across all bacterial isolates. These findings are consistent with global reports showing increasing resistance among foodborne pathogens due to widespread and often inappropriate antibiotic use in livestock production and human medicine [44,46].

Conversely, ciprofloxacin and gentamicin remained highly effective against most isolates. The relatively low resistance rates suggest that these antibiotics continue to retain therapeutic value for the treatment of foodborne bacterial infections. However, the emergence of resistance even at low levels warrants continuous surveillance because resistance can spread rapidly through bacterial populations [45].

The detection of antimicrobial-resistant foodborne pathogens has serious public health implications, as resistant infections are associated with prolonged illness, increased treatment costs, and reduced therapeutic options [47].

### Public Health Implications and Comparison with Previous Studies

The high prevalence of foodborne pathogens observed in this study indicates substantial exposure of consumers to microbial hazards through raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University. The presence of multidrug-resistant organisms further increases the risk posed by foodborne infections and complicates treatment outcomes [47]. The findings are consistent with studies conducted in Kenya, Uganda, and Nigeria, which reported high prevalence rates of *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* in raw foods sold in informal markets [49,50]. However, the contamination levels observed in the present study were generally higher than those reported in some urban retail environments, likely reflecting differences in food handling practices, infrastructure, sanitation conditions, and regulatory oversight. Overall, the results demonstrate that raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University constitute a potential public health risk. Strengthening food safety regulations, improving vendor hygiene practices, enhancing food storage conditions, and promoting antimicrobial stewardship are essential interventions for reducing contamination and safeguarding consumer health [48].

### Summary

The study demonstrated a high prevalence of foodborne pathogens in raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University, with *Escherichia coli* being the most frequently isolated organism. Contamination varied significantly among food categories, with chicken and beef presenting the highest risk. Poor refrigeration, inadequate hand hygiene, and exposure to dust were identified as significant determinants of contamination. Furthermore, high levels of resistance to ampicillin and tetracycline were observed among the isolated pathogens. These findings underscore the need for enhanced food safety management and continuous surveillance of foodborne pathogens and antimicrobial resistance in the study area.

The levels of microbial contamination observed in the present study are comparable to findings reported in other parts of Kenya. Studies conducted in Nairobi and Kisumu have similarly documented substantial bacterial contamination of raw foods, particularly meat, milk, and fresh vegetables sold through informal markets and food vending outlets. Previous investigations in these urban settings reported the frequent occurrence of coliform bacteria, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp., and *Staphylococcus aureus*, with contamination levels attributed to poor hygiene practices, inadequate cold-chain facilities, and cross-contamination during handling and transportation. The similarities between the present findings and those reported elsewhere in Kenya suggest that foodborne microbial contamination remains a widespread public health challenge across diverse geographical settings, underscoring the need for strengthened food safety interventions, routine surveillance, and enhanced public health education throughout the country [52, 53].

### CONCLUSIONS

This study established the prevalence, distribution, and associated risk factors of foodborne microbial pathogens in raw food products sold around Maasai Mara University, Narok County, Kenya. The findings demonstrate that a substantial proportion of raw food products are contaminated, with an overall prevalence of 69.2%, confirming widespread microbial contamination within the local food supply chain and a significant public health risk to consumers.

The study successfully isolated and identified four key foodborne bacterial pathogens, namely *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Campylobacter* spp. Among these, *E. coli* was the most prevalent organism, indicating probable fecal contamination arising from poor hygiene practices, contaminated water sources, and inadequate sanitation during food handling and processing.

Chicken and beef products recorded the highest contamination rates compared to milk and vegetables, demonstrating that animal-derived food products are the principal reservoirs of foodborne pathogens in the study area. Statistical analysis confirmed a significant association between food type and pathogen occurrence ( $\chi^2 =$

16.06,  $p = 0.0011$ ), indicating that the likelihood of contamination varies significantly across food categories and is influenced by handling and storage conditions.

Risk factor analysis further revealed that inadequate hand hygiene, lack of refrigeration, and exposure of food to environmental contaminants were significant predictors of microbial contamination. These findings underscore the critical role of food handling practices in determining food safety outcomes in informal and semi-formal food vending environments around the university.

The study also revealed high levels of antimicrobial resistance among the isolated pathogens, particularly to ampicillin and tetracycline, while ciprofloxacin and gentamicin remained relatively effective. This pattern suggests emerging antimicrobial resistance likely linked to misuse of antibiotics in human and animal production systems.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this study, several key recommendations are proposed to improve food safety and reduce the risk of foodborne illnesses in the study area. Food vendors around Maasai Mara University should be routinely trained on good hygiene practices, with emphasis on proper handwashing, safe food handling techniques, and prevention of cross-contamination during food preparation and storage. In addition, county public health authorities should strengthen routine inspection and enforcement of existing food safety regulations across all food vending outlets to ensure compliance with established standards.

To further minimize microbial contamination, particularly in perishable products such as meat and milk, effective cold-chain systems should be implemented and consistently maintained to inhibit bacterial growth during storage and transportation. Public health education initiatives should also be intensified to raise awareness among consumers about the risks associated with the consumption of raw or improperly handled food products, thereby promoting safer food choices.

Moreover, routine microbiological surveillance of food products should be institutionalized to monitor contamination trends and detect emerging foodborne pathogens in a timely manner. At the same time, antimicrobial stewardship programs should be strengthened in both human and veterinary health sectors to help curb the emergence and spread of antimicrobial-resistant foodborne organisms. Finally, further research is recommended to broaden the scope of investigation to include viral and parasitic pathogens, as well as molecular characterization techniques, to provide a more comprehensive understanding of foodborne disease risks in the study area.

## Study Limitations

This study provides important baseline information on the microbiological quality and antimicrobial resistance patterns of selected food products sold around Maasai Mara University. However, several limitations should be considered when interpreting the findings. First, the investigation focused primarily on bacterial pathogens and indicator organisms and therefore did not include the detection or characterization of viral and parasitic foodborne pathogens. Consequently, the overall burden of foodborne contamination may have been underestimated, as viruses such as norovirus and hepatitis A virus, as well as parasites including *Giardia*, *Cryptosporidium*, and *Taenia* species, are recognized contributors to foodborne disease worldwide.

Second, identification of bacterial isolates was based on conventional culture, morphological, and biochemical methods. Molecular techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), gene sequencing, or whole-genome analysis were not employed to confirm the identity of the isolates. The absence of molecular confirmation may have limited the precision of pathogen identification and prevented characterization of specific virulence genes, resistance determinants, and genetic relationships among isolates.

Third, antimicrobial resistance profiling was conducted using phenotypic susceptibility testing only. Therefore, the underlying genetic mechanisms responsible for the observed resistance patterns could not be determined. Additionally, the study was limited to selected food types and sampling locations within the university

environment and its immediate surroundings, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other settings.

Despite these limitations, the study offers valuable insights into the microbiological safety of foods consumed by students and the surrounding community and provides an important foundation for future surveillance and risk assessment studies incorporating molecular, viral, and parasitological analyses.

### Availability of Data

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The raw laboratory records, questionnaire responses, and statistical outputs are stored securely in the Department of Biological Sciences, Maasai Mara University.

### Disclaimer

The interpretations and conclusions presented in this study are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position of Maasai Mara University or any affiliated institution. The study was conducted for academic purposes, and any errors or omissions remain the responsibility of the author [51].

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was undertaken as part of the first author's requirement for the dissertation for the degree of Bachelor of Science (BSc) in Microbiology in Maasai Mara University, Kenya. The authors therefore wish to express sincere gratitude to Maasai Mara University and the Department of Biological Sciences, for granting permission and providing access to laboratory facilities used in this study. Special appreciation is extended to the laboratory technical team for their invaluable assistance during sample processing and analysis. In particular, the authors acknowledge Simon Kirui (Technologist) for his technical guidance and support in laboratory procedures, Abednego Nduva (Intern) for assistance in sample processing and data recording and Anne Lemiso (Intern) for support during microbiological analyses and laboratory organization. Their contributions greatly enhanced the successful completion of this study.

## REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Estimates of the global burden of foodborne diseases. Geneva: WHO; 2015.
2. Jay JM, Loessner MJ, Golden DA. Modern Food Microbiology. 7th ed. New York: Springer; 2005.
3. Scallan E, Hoekstra RM, Angulo FJ, Tauxe RV, Widdowson MA, Roy SL, et al. Foodborne illness acquired in the United States. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2011;17(1):7–15.
4. Doyle MP, Buchanan RL. Food Microbiology: Fundamentals and Frontiers. 5th ed. Washington DC: ASM Press; 2019.
5. Beuchat LR. Ecological factors influencing survival and growth of human pathogens on raw fruits and vegetables. *Microbes Infect*. 2002;4(4):413–23.
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Surveillance for Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, United States. Atlanta: CDC; 2023.
7. Newell DG, Koopmans M, Verhoef L, Duizer E, Aidara-Kane A, Sprong H, et al. Food-borne diseases of 20 years ago persist. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2010;139: S3–15.
8. Humphrey T, O'Brien S, Madsen M. Campylobacters as zoonotic pathogens. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2007;117(3):237–57.
9. Grace D. Food safety in developing countries: research gaps and opportunities. White Paper. Nairobi: ILRI; 2015.
10. Mensah P, Yeboah-Manu D, Owusu-Darko K, Ablordey A. Street foods in Africa: microbiological quality and safety. *Food Control*. 2002;13(6-7):461–8.
11. Ministry of Health Kenya. National Food Safety Policy. Nairobi: Government of Kenya; 2021.
12. Onyango DM, Gathura PB, Gitahi N. Microbial quality of raw vegetables marketed in Kenya. *Afr J Food Agric Nutr Dev*. 2018;18(2):13215–30.

13. Kangethe EK, Langat AK, Kimani VN, Omore AO. Prevalence of pathogenic microorganisms in marketed milk in Kenya. *East Afr Med J.* 2013;90(4):125–32.
14. Havelaar AH, Kirk MD, Torgerson PR, Gibb HJ, Hald T, Lake RJ, et al. Global burden of foodborne disease. *PLoS Med.* 2015;12(12): e1001923.
15. Food and Agriculture Organization. Food safety risk management in Africa. Rome: FAO; 2022.
16. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. Narok County Statistical Abstract. Nairobi: KNBS; 2024.
17. Creswell JW, Creswell JD. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 5th ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications; 2018.
18. World Health Organization. Foodborne Disease Burden Epidemiology Reference Group Report. Geneva: WHO; 2023.
19. Cochran WG. Sampling Techniques. 3rd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1977.
20. International Organization for Standardization. ISO 7218: Microbiology of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs—General Requirements and Guidance for Microbiological Examinations. Geneva: ISO; 2017.
21. Cappuccino JG, Welsh CT. Microbiology: A Laboratory Manual. 12th ed. Boston: Pearson; 2020.
22. International Organization for Standardization. ISO 16649-2: Detection and Enumeration of *Escherichia coli* in Food and Feed. Geneva: ISO; 2018.
23. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Bacteriological Analytical Manual. 9th ed. Silver Spring: FDA; 2022.
24. International Organization for Standardization. ISO 10272-1: Detection and Enumeration of *Campylobacter* spp. Geneva: ISO; 2017.
25. Beveridge TJ. Use of the Gram stain in microbiology. *Biotech Histochem.* 2001;76(3):111–118.
26. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 35th ed. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2025.
27. IBM Corporation. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows Version 26.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp; 2019.
28. Field A. Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics. 5th ed. London: Sage Publications; 2018.
29. World Health Organization. Laboratory Biosafety Manual. 4th ed. Geneva: WHO; 2020.
30. Grace D, Mutua F, Ochungo P, Kruska R, Jones K, Brierley L, et al. Mapping of poverty and likely zoonoses hotspots. ILRI; 2012.
31. Mensah P, Yeboah-Manu D, Owusu-Darko K, Ablordey A. Street foods in Africa: microbiological quality and safety. *Food Control.* 2002;13(6–7):461–8.
32. Antunes P, Mourão J, Campos J, Peixe L. Salmonellosis: the role of poultry meat. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* 2016;13(8):1–10.
33. World Health Organization. *Escherichia coli* and food safety. WHO; 2022.
34. Eng SK, Pusparajah P, Ab Mutalib NS, Ser HL, Chan KG, Lee LH. Salmonella: a review on pathogenesis, epidemiology and antibiotic resistance. *Front Life Sci.* 2015;8(3):284–93.
35. Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG. *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2015; 1:15015.
36. Kaakoush NO, Castaño-Rodríguez N, Mitchell HM, Man SM. Global epidemiology of *Campylobacter* infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2015;28(3):687–720.
37. Bintsis T. Foodborne pathogens. *AIMS Microbiol.* 2017;3(3):529–63.
38. Oliver SP, Boor KJ, Murphy SC, Murinda SE. Food safety hazards in milk. *J Dairy Sci.* 2009;92(2):538–51.
39. WHO. Guidelines on safe use of wastewater in agriculture. Geneva: WHO; 2017.
40. Redmond EC, Griffith CJ. Consumer food handling in the home. *J Food Prot.* 2003;66(1):130–61.
41. Clayton DA, Griffith CJ, Price P, Peters AC. Food handlers’ beliefs and self-reported practices. *J Food Prot.* 2002;65(9):1373–8.
42. Gurtler JB, Doyle MP, Erickson MC. Microbial risk reduction in foods. *Food Microbiol.* 2018; 69:1–12.
43. Rane S. Street vended food in developing world: hazards analysis. *Food Safety.* 2011;1(1):36–43.
44. WHO. Antimicrobial resistance global report. Geneva: WHO; 2023.
45. O’Neill J. Review on antimicrobial resistance. London; 2016.
46. Marshall BM, Levy SB. Food animals and antimicrobials. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2011;24(4):718–33.
47. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Foodborne illness burden estimates. CDC; 2023.
48. FAO. Food safety and quality systems in developing countries. Rome: FAO; 2022.
49. Mbae CK, et al. Bacterial contamination of food in Kenya. *East Afr Med J.* 2013;90(3):1–8.

- 
50. Odetoyin BW, et al. Foodborne pathogens in African markets. *Afr J Microbiol Res.* 2019;13(5):123–131.
  51. International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. Recommendations for the conduct, reporting, editing, and publication of scholarly work in medical journals. ICMJE; 2024.
  52. Kariuki S, Revathi G, Kariuki N, Kiiru J, Mwituria J, Hart CA. Characterization of community-acquired non-typhoidal *Salmonella* from bacteremia and diarrheal infections in children admitted to hospital in Nairobi, Kenya. *BMC Microbiol.* 2006;6:101.
  53. Onyango DM, Wandili S, Kakai R, Waindi EN. Isolation of pathogenic bacteria from raw vegetables commonly sold in markets in Kisumu Municipality, Kenya. *J Agric Biol Sci.* 2009;4(6):60–65.