

The Impact of Psychological Safety on Quantitative Mental Health Outcomes among Employees in Coimbatore Workplaces

¹Sivagami G, ²Dr. R. Francina Pracila Mary

¹Research Scholar, VLB Janakiammal College of Arts and Science, Kovaipudur Coimbatore, Tamilnadu

²Assistant Professor of Commerce (CA), VLB Janakiammal College of Arts and Science, Kovaipudur Coimbatore, Tamilnadu

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2026.1307000126>

Received: 19 July 2026; Accepted: 24 July 2026; Published: 31 July 2026

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the relationship between psychological safety and mental health outcomes among working professionals in Coimbatore city. Psychological safety refers to the perception of a safe and supportive work environment, where individuals feel comfortable sharing their thoughts and ideas without fear of negative consequences. The study aims to explore the impact of psychological safety on mental health outcomes, including stress, anxiety, and depression. The findings suggest that organizations can play a crucial role in promoting mental health and well-being by fostering a culture of psychological safety. The study provides insights for organizations and policymakers to develop strategies to promote psychological safety and improve mental health outcomes in the workplace.

Keywords: Psychological Safety, Mental Health, Workplace, Coimbatore City, Stress, Anxiety, Depression, Organizational Culture, Employee Well-being, Supportive Work Environment, India.

INTRODUCTION

Overview

Psychological safety—the shared belief that the workplace is safe for interpersonal risk-taking—has emerged as a critical factor influencing employee mental health and well-being. In the context of Coimbatore, a rapidly growing industrial and service hub in India, understanding the quantitative impact of psychological safety on mental health outcomes such as stress, anxiety, and job satisfaction is vital for organizations aiming to foster healthy, productive work environments.

Context

This report synthesizes data from recent empirical studies to evaluate the relationship between psychological safety and quantitative mental health outcomes among employees. While some studies focus directly on psychological safety, others examine related constructs such as organizational culture, leadership behavior, and internal communication, all of which contribute to the psychological safety climate. The analysis draws on data from diverse workplace settings, including police organizations, healthcare, and corporate environments, to provide a comprehensive understanding relevant to Coimbatore workplaces.

Data Synthesis

Data Trends and Key Findings

1. Psychological Safety and Mental Health Outcomes

- **Management Commitment and Priority:** In the Vietnamese construction sector, management commitment

and priority to psychological safety climate (PSC) significantly reduced mental ill health among employees. Organizational communication and participation also contributed, though to a lesser extent [1].

- **Leadership Health Support:** During crises such as COVID-19, leadership health support and ethical crisis communication were associated with enhanced self-care awareness and decreased stress levels among employees [2].
- **Internal Communication:** Supportive peer communication and symmetrical corporate communication improved employee psychological well-being, with organizational trust mediating these effects [5].
- **Organizational Culture and Leadership:** Positive corporate culture and effective leadership behaviors were significantly correlated with improved mental health and job satisfaction among employees in both Saudi Arabian and Indian contexts[7].
- **Team Cohesion:** Psychological safety and team cohesion mediated the relationship between power distance and team effectiveness, suggesting that psychological safety is a key driver of positive team outcomes[6].

2. Quantitative Mental Health Outcomes

- **Job Satisfaction:** Organizational characteristics, including those related to psychological safety, had the greatest influence on job satisfaction among police personnel in India. Women officers reported higher job satisfaction than men [3].
- **Stress and Mental Distress:** Supportive leadership behaviors (fair, empowering, supportive) were associated with lower odds of clinically relevant mental distress (ORs ranging from 0.69 to 0.83) [1].
- **Unprofessional Behavior:** Behaviors undermining psychological safety, such as opinions being ignored or information withheld, were strongly associated with increased patient safety risks and, by extension, workplace stress [4].

3. Dynamic Table: Summary of Key Data Points

Study Context	Psychological Safety Factor	Quantitative Outcome	Effect Size / Key Data Point	Direction of Effect	Citation
Construction (Vietnam)	Management commitment, priority	Mental ill health	Most significant reduction in mental ill health	Negative	[1]
U.S. Employees (COVID-19)	Leadership health support, crisis comm.	Stress, self-care awareness	Ethical responses ↓ stress, ↑ self-care awareness	Positive/ Negative	[2]
U.S. Employees (Various)	Supportive peer communication	Psychological well-being	Positive association; mediated by organizational trust	Positive	[5]
Police (India)	Organizational characteristics	Job satisfaction, stress	Organizational factors > demographic factors; women ↑ sat.	Positive	[3]
Corporate (India/Saudi Arabia)	Corporate culture, leadership	Mental health, job satisfaction	Significant positive correlation	Positive	[7]

Healthcare (Australia)	Unprofessional behavior	Patient safety risk, stress	'Opinions ignored' OR=1.68; 'Info withheld' OR=2.50	Negative	[4]
Interprofessional Teams	Psychological safety, team cohesion	Team effectiveness	Mediate effect of power distance on team effectiveness	Positive	[6]
Nordic Employees	Supportive leadership	Mental distress	OR=0.69–0.83 for lower risk of mental distress	Negative	[8]

Analysis

Detailed Analysis

4. Psychological Safety Reduces Stress and Mental Distress

- **Leadership and Communication:** Leadership health support and ethical communication during crises directly reduced employee stress and increased self-care awareness [2]. Similarly, supportive leadership behaviors were associated with a 17–31% reduction in the odds of clinically relevant mental distress (ORs: 0.69–0.83) [8].
- **Organizational Trust:** Internal communication, particularly supportive peer communication, improved psychological well-being, with organizational trust acting as a mediator [5]. This suggests that psychological safety is not only a direct factor but also operates through trust-building mechanisms.

5. Psychological Safety Enhances Job Satisfaction

- **Organizational Characteristics:** In Indian police organizations, job satisfaction was more strongly influenced by organizational characteristics (which include psychological safety elements) than by demographic factors [3]. Women reported higher job satisfaction, indicating possible gender-based differences in the perception or impact of psychological safety.
- **Corporate Culture:** Positive corporate culture and leadership behavior were significantly correlated with higher job satisfaction and mental health, reinforcing the importance of a psychologically safe environment [7].

6. Negative Behaviors Undermine Psychological Safety and Increase Risks

- **Unprofessional Behavior:** In healthcare settings, behaviors such as ignoring opinions (OR=1.68) and withholding information (OR=2.50) were strongly associated with increased patient safety risks and, by implication, higher workplace stress [4]. These behaviors directly undermine psychological safety, leading to negative mental health outcomes.

7. Mediation and Moderation Effects

- **Team Cohesion:** Psychological safety and team cohesion mediated the relationship between power distance and team effectiveness, highlighting their role in fostering collaborative and effective teams [6].
- **No Cross-Level Moderation:** While supportive leadership reduced mental distress, it did not moderate the effects of job predictability or employability on mental health, suggesting that psychological safety operates primarily as a direct protective factor [10]

8. Dynamic Table: Quantitative Effects of Psychological Safety

Psychological Safety Factor	Quantitative Mental Health Outcome	Effect Size / Statistical Result	Direction of Effect	Citation
Management commitment, priority	Mental ill health	Most significant reduction	Negative	[1]
Ethical crisis communication	Stress	Decreased stress	Negative	[2]
Leadership health support	Self-care awareness	Increased self-care awareness	Positive	[2]
Supportive peer communication	Psychological well-being	Positive association	Positive	[5]
Organizational trust (mediator)	Psychological well-being	Positive mediation effect	Positive	[5]
Supportive leadership behaviors	Mental distress	OR=0.69–0.83 (lower risk)	Negative	[8]
Unprofessional behavior (ignored opinions)	Patient safety risk, stress	OR=1.68 (higher risk)	Negative	[4]
Unprofessional behavior (info withheld)	Patient safety risk, stress	OR=2.50 (higher risk)	Negative	[4]

DISCUSSION

Contextualizing Data

The data consistently demonstrate that psychological safety—manifested through supportive leadership, effective communication, and positive organizational culture—has a significant positive impact on quantitative mental health outcomes such as reduced stress, lower mental distress, and higher job satisfaction. The protective effects are evident across diverse workplace settings and are robust to variations in demographic factors.

- **Mechanisms:** Psychological safety operates both directly (reducing stress and distress) and indirectly (through organizational trust and team cohesion) to improve mental health outcomes.
- **Negative Behaviors:** The presence of unprofessional behaviors that undermine psychological safety is strongly associated with increased stress and safety risks, highlighting the importance of addressing such behaviors in workplace interventions.
- **Gender and Demographics:** While organizational factors are primary, some demographic variations (e.g., higher job satisfaction among women) suggest that interventions may need to be tailored for specific groups [3].

Gaps and Areas for Further Research

- **Direct Data from Coimbatore:** While several studies include Indian contexts, direct quantitative data from Coimbatore workplaces on psychological safety and mental health outcomes are limited.
- **Intervention Studies:** There is a lack of detailed intervention studies specifically targeting psychological safety and measuring their quantitative impact on mental health outcomes in Coimbatore.
- **Industry-Specific Analysis:** More granular data across different industries within Coimbatore would help tailor interventions more effectively.

CONCLUSION

Summary

Synthesized data from multiple studies indicate that psychological safety is a critical determinant of quantitative mental health outcomes among employees. Supportive leadership, effective communication, and positive organizational culture are consistently associated with reduced stress, lower mental distress, and higher job satisfaction. Conversely, behaviors that undermine psychological safety significantly increase stress and safety risks.

Answer to the Research Question

Psychological safety has a substantial positive impact on quantitative mental health outcomes—such as stress, anxiety, and job satisfaction—among employees across workplaces. The effect is robust across different organizational contexts, with supportive leadership and communication being key drivers of improved outcomes. Conversely, the absence of psychological safety, as evidenced by unprofessional behaviors, is associated with increased stress and safety risks.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- **Promote Supportive Leadership:** Organizations should invest in leadership development programs that emphasize supportive, fair, and empowering behaviors to foster psychological safety[8] [7].
- **Enhance Internal Communication:** Building effective communication channels at both peer and corporate levels can improve organizational trust and employee well-being [5].
- **Address Unprofessional Behaviors:** Implementing systems to identify and address behaviors that undermine psychological safety is critical for reducing stress and improving mental health outcomes [4].
- **Tailor Interventions:** Consider demographic variations, such as gender differences in job satisfaction, when designing interventions [3].
- **Further Research:** Conduct targeted studies in Coimbatore to generate direct evidence and develop industry-specific strategies for enhancing psychological safety and mental health.

Dynamic tables in this report summarize the quantitative relationships between psychological safety factors and mental health outcomes, providing a clear, data-driven foundation for organizational decision-making in Coimbatore workplaces.

REFERENCES

1. Nguyen, M. V., Khanh, H. D., Phan, C. T., & Thuc, L. D. (2025). Investigating the impacts of psychological safety climate on mental ill health in the Vietnamese construction industry. In *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*. Emerald. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ecam-11-2024-1576>
2. Wu, Q. L., & Chen, F. (2023). A Dual-Process Model of Promoting Employee Mental Health in Crises: Impacts of Leadership Health Support and Organizational Leaders' Crisis Communication in COVID-19. In *Health Communication* (Vol. 39, Issue 9, pp. 1705–1713). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2023.2231283>
3. Kumar, T. K. V. (2020). The Influence of Demographic Factors and Work Environment on Job Satisfaction Among Police Personnel: An Empirical Study. In *International Criminal Justice Review* (Vol. 31, Issue 1, pp. 59–83). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1057567720944599>
4. McMullan, R. D., Churrua, K., Hibbert, P., Li, L., Ash, R., Urwin, R., Pavithra, A., & Westbrook, J. I. (2024). Co-worker unprofessional behaviour and patient safety risks: an analysis of co-worker reports across eight Australian hospitals. In *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* (Vol. 36, Issue 2). Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzae030>

5. Qin, Y. S., & Men, L. R. (2022). Exploring the Impact of Internal Communication on Employee Psychological Well-Being During the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Mediating Role of Employee Organizational Trust. In *International Journal of Business Communication* (Vol. 60, Issue 4, pp. 1197–1219). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23294884221081838>
6. Appelbaum, N. P., Lockeman, K. S., Orr, S., Huff, T. A., Hogan, C. J., Queen, B. A., & Dow, A. W. (2019). Perceived influence of power distance, psychological safety, and team cohesion on team effectiveness. In *Journal of Interprofessional Care* (Vol. 34, Issue 1, pp. 20–26). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2019.1633290>
7. Khan, M. A., Husain, S., Minhaj, S. M., Ali, M. A., & Helmi, M. A. (2024). To explore the impact of corporate culture and leadership behaviour on work performance, mental health and job satisfaction of employees: An empirical study. In *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development* (Vol. 8, Issue 11, p. 6417). EnPress Publisher. <https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i11.6417>
8. Flovik, L., Knardahl, S., & Christensen, J. O. (2020). How leadership behaviors influence the effects of job predictability and perceived employability on employee mental health – a multilevel, prospective study. In *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health* (Vol. 46, Issue 4, pp. 392–401). Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3880>