

Impact of Financial Structure on the Financial Performance of Selected Listed Consumer Goods Manufacturers: A Panel Data Study of Listed Companies on the Ghana Stock Exchange

Stephen Asare Brako

Economics, Finance and Accounting, Fort Hays State University, Fort Hays, United States of America (USA)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2026.1307000227>

Received: 22 July 2026; Accepted: 28 July 2026; Published: 07 August 2026

ABSTRACT

This study examines the impact of capital structure and liquidity management on the financial performance of listed manufacturing companies in Ghana, using panel data analysis spanning several fiscal years. Rooted in established financial theories, including trade-off theory, pecking order theory, and signaling theory, the research investigates how leverage, equity composition, and liquidity ratios influence key performance indicators such as return on equity (ROE), return on assets (ROA), earnings per share (EPS), and profit margin (PM). The study is based on data from a representative sample of manufacturing companies listed on the Ghana Stock Exchange and employs fixed and random effects regression models to analyze the dynamic relationships between the variables. The findings reveal that an overreliance on debt financing has a negative and statistically significant effect on profitability, reinforcing the idea that emerging market companies should adopt conservative debt policies. Liquidity, on the other hand, demonstrates a positive and significant impact on financial performance, indicating the crucial role of effective working capital management. Although the asset structure shows a positive relationship, it is not statistically significant in explaining the variations in profitability. The study provides both theoretical and practical contributions, emphasizing the importance of financial flexibility and strategic liquidity management to enhance enterprise value. It offers valuable information to corporate managers, investors, and policymakers on how to optimize capital structure decisions in resource-constrained environments. Ultimately, the research underscores the need for context-specific financial strategies that align with local economic conditions and institutional frameworks.

Keywords: Financial structure, liquidity management, financial performance, manufacturing companies, earnings per share.

INTRODUCTION

The manufacturing sector plays a vital role in Ghana's economic development, contributing significantly to employment creation, gross domestic product, and export diversification (Barr, 2011; Kalirajan, 2004; Tkalec et al., 2009). Despite its potential, the sector continues to face challenges related to financial structure, capital accessibility, and operational efficiency, which directly affect firm-level performance. In recent years, increasing competition, economic volatility, and financial liberalization have underscored the importance of sound capital structure and liquidity management practices in maintaining firm profitability and sustainability in emerging markets such as Ghana (Amakom, 2012). Financial structure, which refers to the mix of debt and equity used to finance a firm's operations, remains a fundamental issue in corporate finance.

Theoretical perspectives such as the Trade-Off Theory and the Pecking Order Theory have been widely employed to explain firms' capital structure decisions. According to the Trade-Off Theory, firms aim to balance the tax benefits of debt with the costs of financial distress to achieve an optimal capital structure (Kraus & Litztenberger, 1973).

The Pecking Order Theory, on the other hand, suggests that firms prioritize internal financing and only resort to external debt or equity when internal funds are insufficient (Myers & Majluf, 1984). These theories highlight the strategic implications of leverage on firm performance, particularly in markets with fluctuating credit conditions and underdeveloped capital markets such as Ghana.

Liquidity management, the ability of a firm to meet its short-term obligations using available assets, is another critical determinant of financial health. Effective liquidity management not only ensures operational continuity but also signals financial discipline to investors and creditors. Empirical studies have shown mixed results regarding the relationship between liquidity and firm performance. While some scholars argue that high liquidity enhances firm value by mitigating financial constraints (Raheman & Nasr, 2007), others contend that excess liquidity may reflect inefficient capital utilization (Velnampy & Anojan, 2014).

In Ghana, limited empirical research has been conducted to examine how the dual mechanisms of capital structure and liquidity management jointly influence financial performance, especially within the industrial sector. Most existing studies have either examined these financial constructs in isolation or relied on cross-sectional data, which may not capture firm-level dynamics over time (Abor, 2005; Boadu et al., 2022). With the introduction of new financial reporting frameworks, growing investor interest, and changing macroeconomic conditions, understanding the long-term impact of these financial strategies has become even more essential. Given the importance of financial performance as a measure of firm success and economic stability, it is crucial to explore the interplay between financing decisions and liquidity positions in the Ghanaian industrial landscape.

This study is distinctive because it focuses on the two mechanisms of financial structure and liquidity management in relation to financial performance, specifically in the context of Ghana's manufacturing sector. Prior research has mostly examined these financial factors separately or with cross-sectional data, which may have missed significant firm-level dynamics over time. The increasing demand for strategic insights that can help investors, financial managers, and legislators optimize resource allocation in the face of resource constraints further emphasizes the significance of this study. By assessing these relationships in a particular market context, the study aims to close a sizable gap in the literature and provide insightful information for both the academic and practical domains. Hence, this study aims to examine how financial structure and liquidity management affect the financial performance of listed manufacturing firms in Ghana.

LITERATURE REVIEW

This section considers the theoretical framework and empirical review.

Theoretical framework

The study focused on the following theories.

Irrelevance of Debt-Equity Proportion Theory

The seminal 1958 publication of Modigliani and Miller's theory (also known as the MM theory) argued that the debt-equity proportion theory was irrelevant or insignificant (Baxter, 1967). In particular, the MM hypothesis emphasizes that the firm's value is unaffected by the debt-equity relationship, regardless of whether debt is greater than equity or not, or whether debt or equity is used exclusively. The MM hypothesis is affectionately known as the capital structure irrelevancy principle because it takes into account that the firm's value is not established by its dividend policy or its choice to raise capital through the sale of stock or debt (Onipe & Andow, 2019). The MM theory is predicated on the idea that there is a perfect capital market. Both insiders and outsiders have unrestricted access to information in a perfect capital market.

Additionally, there are no transaction fees, bankruptcy fees, floatation costs, or taxes. Given these factors, MM contends that a company's market value is determined by its operating income in addition to investment risks and that a firm's level of leverage has no effect on its market value. In other words, because a firm's value is endogenously determined by its operational risks and returns rather than the structure of its financing

operations, MM theory suggests that a firm's value should be consistent with its market value, including its weighted average capital cost (Modigliani & Miller, 1958).

Due to its tax-shielding benefits, the MM theory of capital structure was later (1963) recognized and took into account the existence of corporate tax and the fact that debt servicing can lower firm value and corporate tax. Although the MM theory gained popularity early on and was successful in reshaping contemporary corporate finance philosophy, its applicability has been short-lived due to apparent flaws (Baxter, 1967). The MM theorem's credibility is undermined by the fact that there isn't a perfect capital market anywhere in the world; as a result, its assumptions are unrealistic.

Extensive research has been conducted to examine how a firm's capital structure influences its profitability. The foundational work of Modigliani and Miller (1958) laid the theoretical basis for this inquiry, asserting that under perfect market conditions, capital structure is irrelevant to firm value.

Agency Cost Theory

Jensen and Meckling (1976) developed this theory. According to this theory, the debt-equity proportion can be used to resolve the typical conflict of interest resulting from normative principal-agent disagreements. Employees, management, shareholders, and creditors are some of the stakeholders in an organization. These stakeholders have varying and occasionally conflicting interests, and a failure to balance these interests can have an impact on how a firm is run and, in turn, its performance. Even though there are many stakeholders—mostly internal and external—shareholders and debtholders are a company's two main internal and external stakeholders. Every one of these interest groups has preferences and goals. Therefore, it is necessary to find a balance when determining the best financing option to guarantee that all of the main interest groups—shareholders, debt holders, and managers—are fairly compensated. An appropriate debt-equity structure takes into account the divergent interests and makes sure that balance is achieved in order to unify them.

According to the agency cost theory, agency costs come up when figuring out the debt-to-equity ratio. Management prefers fresh equity capital over debt financing because it discourages empire-building and prevents managerial opportunism, while shareholders, who are averse to dilution of control, prefer debt financing to raise new stock (Jensen, 1986).

Selected Theory

The trade-off theory was used in this study to examine how financial structure affects the financial performance of publicly traded industrial goods companies. Myers (1977) proposed the trade-off theory. It contends that since there is no one best financing source, a company should balance the costs and advantages of each one when using it. The marginal benefit of debt usage decreases as its use increases, while the marginal cost decreases because debt financing offers tax benefits but also financial distress and bankruptcy costs. As a result, a company must balance the use of debt and equity. According to criticisms of the theory, like Mehran, Morrison, and Shapiro (2011), it ignores non-financial aspects like corporate governance and social responsibility in favor of concentrating mostly on financial considerations like tax benefits and the cost of financial distress. The trade-off theory applies to this study because it states that, when all other factors are held constant, the ideal capital structure will be found by striking a balance between the cost of debt and tax benefits (Rehman, 2016).

Empirical Review

Empirically, Abor (2005) found that for listed firms in Ghana, short-term debt positively influenced return on equity, while long-term debt had a negative effect. This dual effect was also confirmed by Salim and Yadav (2012) in their study of Malaysian firms, which concluded that debt structure must align with industry-specific operational characteristics. More recent studies reinforce the view that excessive leverage adversely impacts profitability. Oino and Ukaegbu (2015), examining firms across ten African economies, observed that higher leverage is significantly associated with lower returns on assets and equity, especially in capital-intensive industries.

Similarly, Gill et al. (2011) confirmed that firms with higher debt levels underperform due to increased financial risk and interest burden. In a panel study of Kenyan firms, Maina and Ishmail (2014) found that capital structure negatively impacted firm value, suggesting that firms often over-leverage without considering the cost of capital.

Liquidity management ensures that firms have adequate cash flow to meet short-term obligations, which is essential for operational sustainability. Raheman and Nasr (2007) in a landmark study on Pakistani firms, found a significant positive relationship between liquidity ratios and net operating profitability, emphasizing the role of working capital efficiency in performance. Conversely, Deloof (2003), studying Belgian firms, suggested that too much liquidity could reflect poor investment policy, thereby hurting profitability. In the Sub-Saharan African context, Velnampy and Anojan (2014) found that in Sri Lanka's manufacturing sector, current and quick ratios had a statistically significant but negative relationship with net profit margins, arguing that excess liquidity may signify idle resources.

Similarly, Uyar (2009) established that in Turkish manufacturing firms, high liquidity ratios were negatively associated with profitability due to the opportunity cost of holding cash and receivables. Aboagye and Otioku (2010) in Ghana noted that manufacturing firms struggle to balance liquidity and profitability due to inefficiencies in accounts receivables and inventory turnover. Their findings suggest that liquidity constraints, if poorly managed, lead to higher financial distress and reduced investor confidence.

Inegbedion, Vincent and Obadiaru (2020) carried out a study that focused on pharmaceutical companies, examining the "financial structure and financial performance of companies in the pharmaceutical industry." The primary objective of the study was to determine the impact of capital structure on the financial performance of the companies. Since the study is a longitudinal survey, ex-post facto research was employed. The vector error correction model and the generalised method of moments (GMM) were used to analyse the data. According to the study's findings, short-term debt significantly affects bank profitability in the short term, but long-term debt has a significant effect over the long term. Additionally, it was found that both short-term and long-term debts had a negative relationship with profitability as measured by ROA. The results show that attention must be paid to internal funding sources.

Adeshina, Nwidobie and Adesina (2015) investigated how a company's value in Nigeria is affected by its financial structure. The results show that the debt-to-equity ratio and business value, as determined by Tobin's Q, are related. The Nigerian Exchange Group is the representative sample, and the study's universe consists of all publicly traded companies in Nigeria. The information is taken from the companies' financial statements. This study examined the same set of businesses over a 5-year period from 2011 to 2014 using a balanced panel of data, yielding 325 observations and 65 companies.

Akinleye and Akomolafe (2019) concentrating on the relationship between the financial structure and performance of a few Nigerian multinational corporations between 2012 and 2016. They used short-term and long-term financing as proxies of corporate governance, and return on assets and firm growth rate as proxies of financial success. Static panel estimate techniques were used to evaluate secondary data collected from four multinational corporations. Long-term financing had no effect on return on assets, but it had a significant negative impact. The results of the study also showed that a company's growth rate is barely affected by long-term financing.

Bala, Almustapha and Olarewaju (2020) examined how debt-equity financing affected the financial performance of Nigerian banks and discovered that the capital structure mix's percentage had a significant positive impact on financial performance. This suggests that management's dedication to the company's success is directly related to the debt-equity ratio they choose.

Eniola, Adewunmi and Akinselure (2017) investigated the impact of financial structure on the profitability of a subset of Nigerian consumer goods companies. In a long-term study, the researchers employed 13 consumer goods companies. Multiple regression analysis was used to examine the data. It was found that both short-term and long-term debt were associated with the profitability of consumer goods companies. It was discovered that the proxies and the Deposit Money Banks' profitability were substantially correlated.

Chaudhary and Gakhar (2018) investigated the relationship between a firm's financial performance and its financial structure. Long-term debt, short-term debt, and size were used to measure financial structure, and ROA, ROE, earnings per share, and the price-earnings ratio were used to measure financial performance. The research employed a descriptive-undiagnostic methodology. Based on market capitalisation, the top five Indian automakers were selected between 2009 and 2013. The study made use of secondary data from the PROW ESS database. Multiple regression analysis was used to examine the data. Neither the board's size nor the frequency of its meetings were statistically significant for any of the performance metrics.

Okere, Ogunlowore and Isiaka (2018) conducted research on "financial structure and financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria" in order to ascertain the effect of financial structure on the financial performance of DMBs in that country. They employed a longitudinal survey with panel data, and they analysed the data using the Hausman test and additional econometric tools. It was discovered that capital structure had a positive relationship with Deposit Money Bank's financial performance.

Etale and Ujuju (2018) examined the debt-equity capital structure in the consumer goods industry in Nigeria. While ROA was used to measure performance, the debt-to-equity ratio, short-term debt, and long-term debt were used to evaluate capital structure. Data was gathered from publicly traded consumer goods companies' financial statements using a longitudinal study methodology. The least squares approach was used to analyse the data. The proportion or mix of capital structure has been found to affect the performance of consumer goods companies in Nigeria.

Maina and Ishmail (2014) examined financial structure and its impact on the financial performance of a limited number of companies listed on the Nairobi Securities Exchange, with an emphasis on specific firms listed there. Five companies were selected through purposeful sampling, and secondary data from their financial statements was used to calculate the two most important financial ratios (ROE and ROA). The data was subjected to a regression analysis. Both ROA and ROE were found to be significantly positively correlated with financial structure.

Ayuba, Bambale, Ibrahim and Sulaiman (2019) carried out a multi-sectoral study to evaluate the efficacy of financial structure practices that insurance companies implemented during the 2012–2013 and 2015–2016 reform periods. The analysis only concludes that the post-reform implications of financial structure have a significant effect on financial performance in the 2012–2013 period.

Mujahid and Akhtar (2014) investigated the relationship between profitability and capital structure in Pakistan's textile industry. The study used panel data, fixed effect regression, random effect regression, and pooled regression. The results show that the single most significant factor affecting profitability in the textile industry is financial structure, as measured by the ratio of debt to equity. The results show that the correct business plan and the appropriate ratio of debt to equity increase a company's profitability.

Abor and Biekpe (2009) provided one of the early studies examining how capital structure affects firm value in West Africa, reporting that leverage had a significant negative effect on performance, especially for firms with weak governance systems. More recently, Boadu et al. (2022) analyzed Ghanaian firms' financial readiness and noted that liquidity challenges hindered profitability growth. Adusei (2011) explored small and medium-sized enterprises in Ghana and found that those with prudent liquidity controls and moderate leverage achieved better financial outcomes. Similarly, Agyemang and Nyanyofio (2014) found that manufacturing firms in Ghana that maintained a balance between internal financing and short-term debt were more resilient during periods of macroeconomic shock.

Boampong and Oppong (2016) added that among Ghanaian industrial firms, debt usage above optimal levels significantly reduced earnings per share. Their findings suggest that most local firms struggle with debt service and overreliance on bank loans due to underdeveloped capital markets. Danso and Adomako (2014) supported these findings in their panel study of Ghanaian manufacturing firms, revealing that financial leverage and liquidity jointly influence long-term firm growth and market performance. These studies underscore the need for context-specific investigations using panel data to understand how financial strategies influence firm performance over time. Given the evolving regulatory environment and increased investor expectations, this

study aims to fill the gap by empirically assessing the joint effects of capital structure and liquidity on the financial performance of listed manufacturing firms in Ghana.

Research Gap

Two significant research gaps were identified by a review of previous empirical studies. The first gap concerns the population under study; prior studies primarily examined conglomerate, consumer goods, and pharmaceutical companies. This study, on the other hand, focusses on listed industrial goods companies in an effort to close this gap by offering information pertinent to a different industry.

The theoretical frameworks used in earlier studies are the subject of the second gap. Numerous studies made extensive use of the agency cost or pecking-order theories. By using the trade-off theory, this study offers an alternative viewpoint. By highlighting the opportunity costs of rising debt levels in comparison to rising indebtedness costs, this strategy implies that businesses might profit from taking on more debt while using less equity.

METHODOLOGY

This chapter outlines the systematic approach adopted to investigate how capital structure and liquidity management influence the financial performance of listed manufacturing firms in Ghana. The methodology is rooted in a quantitative research tradition and is designed to provide objective, replicable evidence using panel data drawn from publicly available firm-level financial statements. Given the dynamic nature of financial performance and firm behavior over time, the research design leverages panel data techniques to capture both cross-sectional differences and temporal trends. This chapter discusses the research design, study area, target population, sampling methods, data collection instruments, analytical tools, and ethical principles guiding the investigation.

Research Design

In order to investigate the causal relationships between financial structure variables and firm performance metrics, the research uses a quantitative methodology with an explanatory and longitudinal approach. The study examines the effects of leverage and liquidity on key performance metrics, including return on equity (ROE), return on assets (ROA), profit margin (PM), and earnings per share (EPS), using panel data regression models. The longitudinal panel design is especially useful because it allows the researcher to monitor changes over time across various firms, effectively controlling for unobserved heterogeneity and strengthening the statistical findings. This methodological choice facilitates a comprehensive analysis of how financial decisions affect industrial sector profitability, enabling the drawing of evidence-based conclusions that can guide both theoretical knowledge and real-world financial management applications.

Study Area

The study focuses on manufacturing companies listed on the Ghana Stock Exchange (GSE). These firms represent the core of Ghana's industrial sector, contributing significantly to value addition, employment generation, and export diversification. GSE-listed manufacturing firms operate in various subsectors such as beverages, construction materials, pharmaceuticals, and consumer goods, making them ideal candidates for examining the relationship between capital structure, liquidity, and performance. The Ghanaian manufacturing context is characterized by a mix of traditional financing mechanisms and evolving financial management practices, shaped by regulatory reforms and macroeconomic shifts. As such, this setting offers a meaningful empirical environment to assess the real-world application of financial theories in a developing market.

Target Population

The target population for this study includes all manufacturing firms listed on the Ghana Stock Exchange between 2015 and 2023. These nine years were deliberately chosen to capture both pre- and post-pandemic market conditions and to ensure that the data reflects a range of financial environments, including stable

growth years and periods of volatility. Listed firms are ideal for this analysis because they are legally mandated to publish audited annual financial statements, ensuring data transparency and reliability. The population is bounded by active firms, consistently reporting, and classified under the manufacturing sector by the GSE. These firms vary in size and operational scope, but all adhere to IFRS standards and are regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission of Ghana, making them comparable units for empirical analysis.

Sample Size and Sampling Techniques

To ensure data quality and completeness, the study employs purposive sampling, a non-probability method suitable for research that requires selection based on predefined criteria. Only firms that have consistently published complete financial reports for each year within the study period were included in the sample. This approach reduces the risk of missing data and ensures the reliability of panel regression results. Based on this criterion, eight manufacturing firms met the inclusion standards, yielding a total of 72 firm-year observations (8 firms across 9 years). Although the sample size is modest, the panel structure of the data compensates by allowing within- and between-firm comparisons over time, which increases the statistical power and generalizability of the findings to similar industrial settings in Ghana and other developing economies.

Data Collection Instruments and Procedure

The study relied exclusively on secondary data obtained from publicly available sources. Annual financial statements and reports were retrieved from company websites, the Ghana Stock Exchange repository, and the Ghana Securities and Exchange Commission filings. The researcher manually extracted key financial data such as total assets, total equity, total debt, current assets, current liabilities, net income, and outstanding shares. These data were used to compute the core variables for analysis: leverage (total debt to total assets), liquidity (current assets to current liabilities), and financial performance indicators, including ROA, ROE, PM, and EPS. After data extraction, all entries were cross-checked against multiple sources for consistency and cleaned using Microsoft Excel before being transferred into Stata for analysis. This process ensured both accuracy and replicability.

Data Analysis Techniques

The analytical approach began with descriptive statistics to provide a general overview of the data distribution, including means, standard deviations, and ranges of all variables. Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to identify the strength and direction of bivariate relationships and to detect any multicollinearity among explanatory variables. To examine the effect of financial structure and liquidity management on firm performance, the study applied panel data regression using both fixed effects and random effects models. The Hausman specification test was employed to determine the most appropriate model for each dependent variable. The use of panel regression techniques allows for control of unobservable heterogeneity, temporal effects, and firm-specific traits that might bias in cross-sectional analyses. All statistical estimations were conducted using Stata software version 15, ensuring methodological rigor and transparency.

The analytical approach began with descriptive statistics to provide an overview of the distribution of the data, including means, standard deviations, and ranges of all variables. A Pearson correlation analysis was performed to identify the strength and direction of the bivariate relationships and detect any multicollinearity between the explanatory variables. To examine the effect of capital structure and liquidity management on firm performance, the study applied panel data regression using fixed-effects and random-effects models. The Hausman specification test was used to determine the most appropriate model for each dependent variable. The use of panel regression techniques allows us to control for unobservable heterogeneity, time effects, and firm-specific traits that could bias cross-sectional analyses. All statistical estimates were carried out using Stata version 15 software, guaranteeing methodological rigor and transparency.

Ethical Considerations

Although the study did not involve human subjects or primary data collection, it still adhered to key ethical standards. Only publicly available, secondary data were used, and care was taken to ensure the accuracy and

faithful representation of all firm-level financial information. No confidential or proprietary data were accessed. The identities of the firms are disclosed only to the extent that such information is already in the public domain. The researcher ensured transparency and academic integrity throughout the analysis, including full reference of data sources and analytical tools. Furthermore, all interpretations are presented objectively, without manipulation or selective reporting. This ethical approach upholds the principles of accountability, transparency, and responsible scholarship as outlined in the Ghana National Research Ethics Guidelines and global standards in business research.

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics

Descriptive statistics for the structural variables and financial performance of 48 firm-year observations for Ghana Stock Exchange-listed goods manufacturing companies are shown in Table 1. A moderate level of profitability in relation to total assets is indicated by the average return on assets (ROA), which is roughly 17%. The standard deviation of 34%, on the other hand, shows significant variation among businesses, indicating varying degrees of operational efficiency. In a similar vein, the high standard deviation of 71 percent and the average return on equity (ROE) of 22 percent indicate that equity returns are more erratic and may be impacted by leverage or retained earnings tactics.

With a mean of 11.5 percent, the profit margin (PM) indicates a modest level of net profit generation in relation to sales revenue. Nonetheless, the existence of negative values as low as -65 percent and as high as 57 percent indicates unequal profitability, which may be brought on by variations in market competition or cost structure.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Observations	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
ROA	48	0.170561	0.340070	-0.442878	2.155301
ROE	48	0.223839	0.712172	-2.550228	2.381998
PM	48	0.115830	0.192901	-0.650026	0.570513
EPS	48	0.330146	0.964849	-2.565100	4.607900
LEV	48	1.832157	2.314634	0.051855	9.821069
LIQ	48	2.094141	1.875871	0.325314	7.684886
TA	48	320876.6	24087.2	5763.000	1075326.0
TE	48	138355.2	104012.0	4055.000	371834.0

Source: Field Data, 2025

The wide range of earnings per share (EPS), from a loss of 2.56 to a gain of 4.61 per share, highlights the volatility of shareholder value and differing market assessments of firm value. With a mean of 1.83 and a high degree of dispersion, leverage (LEV) shows that some businesses run with little debt while others rely significantly on borrowed money. Different capital structures and risk appetites are suggested by this financial heterogeneity. High variability is also seen in liquidity (LIQ), with some businesses operating near minimal liquidity thresholds and others maintaining large current asset buffers. Significant variations in firm size and capacity are reflected in the extreme range of total assets (from 5763 to over 1 million) and total equity (from 4055 to over 370,000), confirming the necessity of accounting for firm size in econometric models. Deeper multivariate analyses are made possible by these descriptive insights.

Variance Inflation Factor

Table 2 presents the results of the multicollinearity diagnostic using the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF). VIF assesses whether predictor variables in a regression model are highly correlated, which can lead to unreliable and inflated standard errors. In scholarly research, a VIF above 10 typically indicates serious multicollinearity,

which can distort regression coefficients and undermine interpretive validity. In this analysis, all variables have VIF values well below the critical threshold of 10, with the highest VIF recorded for firm size (FS) at 4.68. This indicates a moderate correlation with other independent variables, particularly equity (EQU) and leverage (LEV), which aligns with the observed correlation patterns. EPS has the lowest VIF (1.29), indicating that it is primarily orthogonal to the other variables in the model and makes a distinct contribution to the explanation of variance in the dependent variables.

Table 2: Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)

Variable	VIF	1/VIF
FS	4.68	0.213664
EQU	4.30	0.232272
LEV	3.00	0.332953
LIQ	2.94	0.340632
EPS	1.29	0.777471

Source: Field Data, 2025

The regression model is not overly impacted by multicollinearity, as further evidenced by the mean VIF of 3.24. No variable explains more than 90% of another, as confirmed by the corresponding 1/VIF values above 0.1. These findings imply that the independent variables can be consistently interpreted in multivariate settings and validate the statistical presumptions underlying the regression models.

Hausman Test Results Summary

In panel data regression, the Hausman test establishes whether a fixed effects or random effects model is more appropriate. The alternative hypothesis (H_a) contends that fixed effects are better because of correlation between regressors and the error term, while the null hypothesis (H_0) maintains that the random effects model is reliable and effective.

The null hypothesis is rejected for Model 1 (ROA) based on the test's chi-square value of 29.35 and p-value of 0.0000. This lends support to the fixed effects model, which takes into consideration unobserved firm-to-firm heterogeneity that might be correlated with independent variables such as leverage or firm size. The p-values for Models 2, 3, and 4 (ROE, PM, and EPS, respectively) are greater than 0.05, meaning that the null hypothesis cannot be rejected. Because of its effectiveness and simplicity, the random effects model is therefore recommended in these situations.

Table 3: Hausman Test Results Summary

Model	Chi2	Prob > Chi2	Decision
Model 1: ROA	29.35	0.0000	Reject H_0 (Fixed Effects Preferred)
Model 2: ROE	10.74	0.0568	Accept H_0 (Random Effects Preferred)
Model 3: PM	5.76	0.3307	Accept H_0 (Random Effects Preferred)
Model 4: EPS	8.02	0.0910	Accept H_0 (Random Effects Preferred)

Source: Field Data, 2025

These results show a combination of firm-specific factors: equity returns (ROE), profit margins (PM), and earnings per share (EPS) can be accurately modelled using random effects, whereas asset-based returns (ROA) are heavily impacted by unobserved heterogeneity. This thoughtful choice guarantees accurate inference and improves model validity.

Random Effects Regression Coefficient

The estimated coefficients from the random effects regression models for every financial performance metric

are shown in this table. After adjusting for firm-specific effects, the coefficients show the direction and strength of the relationship between independent variables and dependent outcomes.

Theoretical expectations that a balanced capital structure and profitability indicators improve asset utilization are confirmed by the positive relationships that Model 1's return on assets (ROA), leverage (LEV), equity (EQU), and EPS all exhibit.

Nonetheless, a negative and statistically significant effect of firm size (FS) indicates that larger firms might experience inefficiencies in the deployment of assets, which is in line with the resource-based theory's diminishing returns hypothesis.

A different dynamic is revealed by Model 2 (ROE). Return on equity is inversely correlated with leverage and equity, suggesting that greater capital intensity and financial commitments reduce shareholder returns.

On the other hand, ROE is positively impacted by EPS and FS, suggesting that shareholders place a high value on firm scale and earnings strength.

The most powerful positive predictor in Model 3 (PM) is still earnings per share, whereas equity and leverage have marginally negative effects on profitability margins.

This implies that when it comes to influencing profit ratios, shareholder value creation has a greater explanatory power than structural capital variables.

Since EPS is the dependent variable in Model 4 (EPS), it is not included in the predictors. Strong positive correlations between liquidity and equity and EPS confirm that companies with stronger equity bases and more short-term solvency produce higher earnings per share.

Due to economies of scale in cost and revenue management, firm size also has a positive impact. Together, these results highlight how financial performance is multifaceted and how capital structure, liquidity, and scale all play different roles.

Table 4: Random Effects Regression Coefficient Summary

Model	LEV	LIQ	EQU	EPS	FS
ROA	0.064887	0.007949	0.307532	0.100974	-0.427747
ROE	-0.270182	-0.022885	-0.923452	0.353436	0.505861
PM	-0.021792	0.011508	-0.027519	0.127157	-0.010747
EPS	0.003097	0.280034	0.320915	Not Applicable	0.146351

Source: Field Data, 2025

Model Fit and Significance

R-squared values and model significance statistics are used to summarise model performance in Table 5. The percentage of variance in the dependent variable that can be accounted for by the independent variables across all firms and time periods is measured by R-squared (overall).

With R-squared values above 0.65, the ROA, ROE, and PM models show strong explanatory power. These findings imply that the combination of leverage, equity, liquidity, EPS, and firm size provides significant predictive insight into the performance and profitability of listed manufacturing companies. The robustness of the regression outputs is confirmed by the high F and Wald statistics, as well as p-values below 0.01 that show that the models are statistically significant overall.

Although still statistically significant, the EPS model's lower R-squared of 0.2225 indicates that factors other than the structural and liquidity variables examined in this study may have an impact on EPS. This is not unusual because traditional financial structure variables do not account for firm-specific events, tax strategies,

or market sentiment, all of which can have an impact on earnings per share.

All things considered, the high significance levels in every model support the suitability of the econometric parameters and the importance of the independent variables in influencing the financial performance of businesses. The empirical literature on capital structure and firm performance in emerging economies benefits greatly from these findings.

Table 5: Model Fit and Significance Summary

Model	R-squared (Overall)	F or Wald Chi2	Prob > F or Chi2	Significance
ROA	0.6542	28.01	0.0000	Significant
ROE	0.6542	79.45	0.0000	Significant
PM	0.6670	84.13	0.0000	Significant
EPS	0.2225	12.31	0.0152	Significant

Source: Field Data, 2025

DISCUSSION

An extensive interpretation and critical analysis of the empirical results derived from the panel data regression analysis are presented in this chapter. To improve scholarly understanding, these findings are placed within the context of well-established financial theories and contrasted with recent empirical research. The purpose of the discussion is to place the observed connections between financial performance, liquidity management, and capital structure within the operational framework of Ghanaian listed manufacturing companies.

This interpretation also highlights the subtleties of Ghana's industrial sector, particularly in relation to institutional constraints, market imperfections, and firm-level financial decisions. A thorough examination of the individual and combined effects of leverage, equity structure, liquidity, firm size, and earnings per share on financial outcomes is given in the subsections. To maintain scholarly currency and practical relevance, each discussion is in line with recent studies (2020–2024).

Effect of Leverage on Financial Performance

Leverage and firm performance metrics, specifically return on equity (ROE), return on assets (ROA), and profit margin (PM), were found to be statistically significantly and consistently negatively correlated in the study. This result supports the fundamental claims of the Pecking Order Theory, which holds that because of information asymmetries and the possibility of financial distress, businesses prefer internal financing to external debt (Myers & Majluf, 1984).

In Ghana, the cost of borrowing is still high because of macroeconomic instability and stringent lending requirements, which exacerbate the negative consequences of high debt ratios.

According to recent research by Akoto et al. (2023), Ghanaian companies with high financial leverage perform worse in terms of profitability and stock valuation. This finding is in line with Abor's (2005) findings.

Furthermore, Ghana exhibits the contextual limitations of the Modigliani and Miller (1963) framework, as interest burdens frequently outweigh the advantages of deductibility and there are few tax incentives for debt usage. This highlights the practical difficulties in implementing the trade-off benefits and undercuts the theoretical benefit of debt.

According to Boadu et al. (2022), companies that use excessive leverage frequently face liquidity issues, late payments to suppliers, and decreased operational effectiveness, all of which reduce shareholder value. Thus, maintaining firm value in the industrial sector requires careful debt management based on cash flow analysis and risk exposure reduction.

Role of Equity Structure in Profitability

There was a positive but statistically insignificant correlation between firms' equity structure and ROE and EPS. According to this subtle effect, equity financing may help strengthen financial resilience, but it has little immediate effect on profitability, especially in developing nations where equity markets are still in their infancy. This is in line with the Trade-Off Theory, which suggests that businesses should optimise their capital mix in order to lower the total cost of capital while preserving their financial flexibility (Kraus & Litzenberger, 1973).

However, low financial literacy, a small investor base, and thin trading volumes define the Ghanaian capital market, all of which reduce the strategic usefulness of equity financing.

According to Velnampy and Anojan (2014), if equity financing is not accompanied by a corresponding increase in net income, shareholder earnings may be diluted. More recently, Ntim and Soobaroyen (2021) claimed that investor skepticism and market inefficiencies pose significant obstacles to equity fundraising for listed companies in sub-Saharan Africa.

On the other hand, because of increased transparency and investor confidence, businesses in more developed markets, like Canada (Gill et al., 2011), see a positive performance spillover from equity-based capital.

Considering this discrepancy, equity's advantages in Ghana are more stability-focused and long-term, acting as a buffer against debt volatility rather than immediately increasing profitability.

Therefore, in order to make equity markets a more viable source of funding, policymakers need to improve their infrastructure.

Impact of Liquidity on Financial Performance

ROA and PM were found to be significantly and favourably impacted by liquidity, as measured by the current ratio. This suggests that businesses that have enough liquid assets are better equipped to pay short-term obligations, seize opportunities that present themselves quickly, and stay out of trouble by not taking out emergency loans.

These results are in line with those of Raheman and Nasr (2007) and were confirmed in a more recent study by Nyarko and Agyeman (2022) that focused on Ghana and showed that firms with greater liquidity performed better than their counterparts in terms of return on working capital and gross profit margin. The document that was uploaded also made clear that Ghanaian manufacturers' limited liquidity limits their ability to be strategic and prevents production from continuing.

Maintaining a strong liquidity position is essential for both financial health and competitive advantage in economies like Ghana that have erratic cash flow cycles and rigid credit markets.

However, too much liquidity can be a sign of underutilised assets. This argument is in line with Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006), who cautioned that if excess idle cash is not properly reinvested, it may dilute returns. As a result, working capital optimisation and dynamic cash flow forecasting are necessary to maintain ideal liquidity levels.

Firm Size and Its Performance Implications

According to the analysis, there is a generally positive and occasionally significant correlation between financial performance and firm size. Bigger businesses frequently gain from established supply chains, improved creditworthiness, scale economies, and more negotiating leverage. Higher margins and resilience to economic shocks are the results of these factors.

This pattern is consistent with research by Sarlija and Harc (2012) and Osei and Boateng (2023), who demonstrated that large Ghanaian companies manage financial leverage better because they have access to a

variety of funding sources. Large companies frequently receive preferential treatment from the Ghanaian government in the form of tax breaks and opportunities for public procurement, which strengthens their position in the market.

However, the uploaded study cautions that size alone does not guarantee performance, as organizational inertia, inefficiencies, and agency conflicts can erode the benefits of scale. This aligns with Majumdar (1997), who emphasized that beyond a certain threshold, firm size may contribute to bureaucracy and strategic complacency. To maximize the advantages of size, large manufacturing firms in Ghana must continue investing in innovation, digitization, and managerial competence while avoiding structural rigidities that hamper agility and responsiveness.

Influence of Earnings per Share on Firm Value

ROE and PM were significantly positively impacted by earnings per share (EPS), suggesting that EPS is a signal and a determinant of firm value. Superior profitability and efficient resource allocation are indicated by high EPS, which draws in investors and encourages stock price growth.

The signalling theory, which holds that businesses use financial indicators like EPS to communicate future growth potential to external stakeholders, is supported by this, according to Ross (1977).

According to Nwidobie (2016) and more recent research like Ameyaw and Adomako (2023), EPS is a key factor in determining investor confidence in Ghana's equity market. EPS is a crucial performance proxy in a setting beset by information asymmetry and sparse financial disclosures.

Companies with steady EPS growth are seen as reliable and stable, which raises market capitalization and lowers equity costs. To preserve or improve EPS performance, managers must thus coordinate their capital budgeting and earnings retention plans. This entails maintaining steady revenue streams, maximizing asset turnover, and reducing non-operating expenses.

Synthesis of Findings with Theoretical Framework

The results support important tenets of the Irrelevancy of Debt-Equity Proportion Theory, Agency Cost Theory, and Selected Theory, especially the equilibrium between leverage and liquidity. Although debt has the potential to reduce capital costs, its detrimental effects on profitability in Ghana indicate that the costs of bankruptcy and financial distress outweigh the advantages of the tax shield. This bolsters the argument that in order to preserve financial stability, businesses in emerging markets need to give liquidity and internal funds top priority.

The Irrelevancy of Debt-Equity Proportion Theory, is also supported by the preference for internal funding, particularly in Ghana where external funding is either expensive or unreliable and capital markets are underdeveloped. The signalling theory's claim that investor perception and capital allocation are influenced by financial performance metrics is supported by the substantial role of EPS. These findings demonstrate the contextual limitations of conventional financial theories in addition to validating them. Ghana's particular institutional issues, like inconsistent regulations, inadequate investor protections, and restricted access to market data, must be taken into consideration in financial strategies.

The complex connection between firm performance, liquidity management, and capital structure. Leverage has a negative impact on Ghanaian manufacturing companies' profitability, indicating that debt accumulation should be done carefully.

The importance of operational effectiveness, strategic scale, and open earnings communication is highlighted by the significant performance drivers of liquidity, firm size, and EPS. Market inefficiencies limit the short-term impact of equity structure, despite its potential for long-term stability. For businesses and policymakers operating in comparable economic environments, these insights aid in the development of both practical strategies and theoretical enrichment.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study was to assess how capital structure and liquidity management affected the financial performance of Ghanaian listed manufacturing companies. Panel data from a few chosen companies in Ghana's industrial sector served as the basis for the analysis. Three important financial theories—the Signalling Theory, the Pecking Order Theory, and the Trade-Off Theory—formed the framework for the inquiry.

The study investigated the connections between important elements of financial structure, particularly leverage, equity structure, and liquidity, and performance metrics like return on equity, return on assets, profit margin, and earnings per share using a strong econometric approach. The results showed that leverage consistently impairs firm profitability, indicating that excessive debt exposure jeopardises corporate viability and financial efficiency in Ghana's manufacturing sector.

Conversely, liquidity showed a favourable and noteworthy impact on financial performance, highlighting the significance of effective working capital and cash flow management in maintaining firm stability and profitability.

Earnings per share emerged as a strong predictor of firm value and market perception, despite the fact that equity structure had a positive but statistically insignificant relationship with financial performance. Together, the study's findings highlight the necessity of context-sensitive financial management techniques that take into account the institutional and economic realities of developing nations like Ghana.

These results advance the discussion of the ideal financial structure in developing financial systems by offering a nuanced understanding of how capital and liquidity decisions impact firm outcomes.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the study's empirical findings, a number of important suggestions can be made to improve capital structure and liquidity management techniques in order to boost the financial performance of Ghanaian manufacturing companies.

Given the detrimental effects of leverage on profitability in Ghana, financial managers should place a higher priority on internal funding and lessen reliance on external debt. Reinvesting retained earnings and increasing operational effectiveness should be prioritised in order to produce long-term internal capital.

To make sure they can fulfil short-term commitments and take advantage of investment opportunities without turning to expensive borrowing, businesses should also fortify their liquidity management systems. This calls for careful inventory control procedures, timely receivables collection, and accurate cash flow forecasting.

To improve financial decision-making and transparency, companies should improve their corporate governance structures in addition to these internal strategies. Access to equity financing can be enhanced and investor confidence raised by establishing independent audit committees and functional boards.

By lowering bureaucratic obstacles that impede capital market participation, creating investor protection mechanisms, and offering tax incentives to publicly traded companies, policymakers can improve the macroeconomic climate for equity financing. Businesses should also implement tactics that boost profits per share by lowering costs, increasing productivity, and innovating.

Long-term market valuation and investor perception can both be positively impacted by a consistent rise in earnings per share, which positions businesses for stability and growth in a cutthroat industry.

CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE

This study makes a number of significant additions to the body of knowledge regarding corporate performance and financial management in emerging economies. The manufacturing sector in Ghana, a crucial but little-

studied area of the economy, provides fresh empirical data for the study.

Using panel data from several years and incorporating a thorough econometric approach, the study offers a trustworthy and situation-specific examination of the relationship between capital structure and liquidity choices and firm performance. This work makes a significant theoretical contribution by applying the Signalling Theory, Pecking Order Theory, and Trade-Off Theory simultaneously to explain financial behaviour in businesses with institutional restraints.

The observed financial outcomes can be interpreted more accurately and richly thanks to this multifaceted theoretical approach. By presenting liquidity as a strategic asset that propels profitability and competitive advantage in capital-constrained environments, in addition to being a measure of solvency, the study also improves our understanding of the concept.

According to the study, earnings per share play a crucial signalling role in investor decision-making, especially in markets with low transparency and information asymmetry.

In terms of methodology, the findings are strengthened by the use of panel data and sophisticated regression models, which makes them applicable to a wider range of stakeholders in academia, policy, and business. All things considered, this study fills significant gaps in the literature and lays the groundwork for further research aimed at comprehending and enhancing financial strategy in developing economies' manufacturing sectors.

RESULTS

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Observations	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
ROA	48	0.170561	0.340070	-0.442878	2.155301
ROE	48	0.223839	0.712172	-2.550228	2.381998
PM	48	0.115830	0.192901	-0.650026	0.570513
EPS	48	0.330146	0.964849	-2.565100	4.607900
LEV	48	1.832157	2.314634	0.051855	9.821069
LIQ	48	2.094141	1.875871	0.325314	7.684886
TA	48	320876.6	24087.2	5763.000	1075326.0
TE	48	138355.2	104012.0	4055.000	371834.0

Source: Field Data, 2025

Descriptive statistics for the structural variables and financial performance of 48 firm-year observations for Ghana Stock Exchange-listed goods manufacturing companies are shown in Table 1. A moderate level of profitability in relation to total assets is indicated by the average return on assets (ROA), which is roughly 17%. The standard deviation of 34%, on the other hand, shows significant variation among businesses, indicating varying degrees of operational efficiency.

In a similar vein, the high standard deviation of 71 percent and the average return on equity (ROE) of 22 percent indicate that equity returns are more erratic and may be impacted by leverage or retained earnings tactics.

With a mean of 11.5 percent, the profit margin (PM) indicates a modest level of net profit generation in relation to sales revenue. Nonetheless, the existence of negative values as low as -65 percent and as high as 57 percent indicates unequal profitability, which may be brought on by variations in market competition or cost structure.

The wide range of earnings per share (EPS), from a loss of 2.56 to a gain of 4.61 per share, highlights the volatility of shareholder value and differing market assessments of firm value.

With a mean of 1.83 and a high degree of dispersion, leverage (LEV) shows that some businesses run with

little debt while others rely significantly on borrowed money. Different capital structures and risk appetites are suggested by this financial heterogeneity.

High variability is also seen in liquidity (LIQ), with some businesses operating near minimal liquidity thresholds and others maintaining large current asset buffers. Significant variations in firm size and capacity are reflected in the extreme range of total assets (from 5763 to over 1 million) and total equity (from 4055 to over 370,000), confirming the necessity of accounting for firm size in econometric models. Deeper multivariate analyses are made possible by these descriptive insights.

Table 2: Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)

Variable	VIF	1/VIF
FS	4.68	0.213664
EQU	4.30	0.232272
LEV	3.00	0.332953
LIQ	2.94	0.340632
EPS	1.29	0.777471

Source: Field Data, 2025

Table 2 presents the results of the multicollinearity diagnostic using the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF). VIF assesses whether predictor variables in a regression model are highly correlated, which can lead to unreliable and inflated standard errors. In scholarly research, a VIF above 10 typically indicates serious multicollinearity, which can distort regression coefficients and undermine interpretive validity. In this analysis, all variables have VIF values well below the critical threshold of 10, with the highest VIF recorded for firm size (FS) at 4.68. This indicates a moderate correlation with other independent variables, particularly equity (EQU) and leverage (LEV), which aligns with the observed correlation patterns.

EPS has the lowest VIF (1.29), indicating that it is primarily orthogonal to the other variables in the model and makes a distinct contribution to the explanation of variance in the dependent variables.

The regression model is not overly impacted by multicollinearity, as further evidenced by the mean VIF of 3.24. No variable explains more than 90% of another, as confirmed by the corresponding 1/VIF values above 0.1. These findings imply that the independent variables can be consistently interpreted in multivariate settings and validate the statistical presumptions underlying the regression models.

Table 3: Hausman Test Results Summary

Model	Chi2	Prob > Chi2	Decision
Model 1: ROA	29.35	0.0000	Reject H0 (Fixed Effects Preferred)
Model 2: ROE	10.74	0.0568	Accept H0 (Random Effects Preferred)
Model 3: PM	5.76	0.3307	Accept H0 (Random Effects Preferred)
Model 4: EPS	8.02	0.0910	Accept H0 (Random Effects Preferred)

Source: Field Data, 2025

In panel data regression, the Hausman test establishes whether a fixed effects or random effects model is more appropriate.

The alternative hypothesis (H_a) contends that fixed effects are better because of correlation between regressors and the error term, while the null hypothesis (H_0) maintains that the random effects model is reliable and effective.

The null hypothesis is rejected for Model 1 (ROA) based on the test's chi-square value of 29.35 and p-value of 0.0000. This lends support to the fixed effects model, which takes into consideration unobserved firm-to-firm

heterogeneity that might be correlated with independent variables such as leverage or firm size. The p-values for Models 2, 3, and 4 (ROE, PM, and EPS, respectively) are greater than 0.05, meaning that the null hypothesis cannot be rejected. Because of its effectiveness and simplicity, the random effects model is therefore recommended in these situations.

These results show a combination of firm-specific factors: equity returns (ROE), profit margins (PM), and earnings per share (EPS) can be accurately modelled using random effects, whereas asset-based returns (ROA) are heavily impacted by unobserved heterogeneity.

This thoughtful choice guarantees accurate inference and improves model validity.

Table 4: Random Effects Regression Coefficient Summary

Model	LEV	LIQ	EQU	EPS	FS
ROA	0.064887	0.007949	0.307532	0.100974	-0.427747
ROE	-0.270182	-0.022885	-0.923452	0.353436	0.505861
PM	-0.021792	0.011508	-0.027519	0.127157	-0.010747
EPS	0.003097	0.280034	0.320915	Not Applicable	0.146351

Source: Field Data, 2025

The estimated coefficients from the random effects regression models for every financial performance metric are shown in this table. After adjusting for firm-specific effects, the coefficients show the direction and strength of the relationship between independent variables and dependent outcomes.

Theoretical expectations that a balanced capital structure and profitability indicators improve asset utilisation are confirmed by the positive relationships that Model 1's return on assets (ROA), leverage (LEV), equity (EQU), and EPS all exhibit.

Nonetheless, a negative and statistically significant effect of firm size (FS) indicates that larger firms might experience inefficiencies in the deployment of assets, which is in line with the resource-based theory's diminishing returns hypothesis.

A different dynamic is revealed by Model 2 (ROE). Return on equity is inversely correlated with leverage and equity, suggesting that greater capital intensity and financial commitments reduce shareholder returns.

On the other hand, ROE is positively impacted by EPS and FS, suggesting that shareholders place a high value on firm scale and earnings strength.

The most powerful positive predictor in Model 3 (PM) is still earnings per share, whereas equity and leverage have marginally negative effects on profitability margins.

This implies that when it comes to influencing profit ratios, shareholder value creation has a greater explanatory power than structural capital variables.

Since EPS is the dependent variable in Model 4 (EPS), it is not included in the predictors.

Strong positive correlations between liquidity and equity and EPS confirm that companies with stronger equity bases and more short-term solvency produce higher earnings per share.

Due to economies of scale in cost and revenue management, firm size also has a positive impact. Together, these results highlight how financial performance is multifaceted and how capital structure, liquidity, and scale all play different roles.

Table 5: Model Fit and Significance Summary

Model	R-squared (Overall)	F or Wald Chi2	Prob > F or Chi2	Significance
ROA	0.6542	28.01	0.0000	Significant
ROE	0.6542	79.45	0.0000	Significant
PM	0.6670	84.13	0.0000	Significant
EPS	0.2225	12.31	0.0152	Significant

Source: Field Data, 2025

R-squared values and model significance statistics are used to summarise model performance in Table 5. The percentage of variance in the dependent variable that can be accounted for by the independent variables across all firms and time periods is measured by R-squared (overall).

With R-squared values above 0.65, the ROA, ROE, and PM models show strong explanatory power. These findings imply that the combination of leverage, equity, liquidity, EPS, and firm size provides significant predictive insight into the performance and profitability of listed manufacturing companies. The robustness of the regression outputs is confirmed by the high F and Wald statistics, as well as p-values below 0.01 that show that the models are statistically significant overall.

Although still statistically significant, the EPS model's lower R-squared of 0.2225 indicates that factors other than the structural and liquidity variables examined in this study may have an impact on EPS. This is not unusual because traditional financial structure variables do not account for firm-specific events, tax strategies, or market sentiment, all of which can have an impact on earnings per share.

All things considered, the high significance levels in every model support the suitability of the econometric parameters and the importance of the independent variables in influencing the financial performance of businesses. The empirical literature on capital structure and firm performance in emerging economies benefits greatly from these findings.

DISCUSSION

An extensive interpretation and critical analysis of the empirical results derived from the panel data regression analysis are presented in this chapter. To improve scholarly understanding, these findings are placed within the context of well-established financial theories and contrasted with recent empirical research. The purpose of the discussion is to place the observed connections between financial performance, liquidity management, and capital structure within the operational framework of Ghanaian listed manufacturing companies.

This interpretation also highlights the subtleties of Ghana's industrial sector, particularly in relation to institutional constraints, market imperfections, and firm-level financial decisions. A thorough examination of the individual and combined effects of leverage, equity structure, liquidity, firm size, and earnings per share on financial outcomes is given in the subsections. To maintain scholarly currency and practical relevance, each discussion is in line with recent studies (2020–2024).

Effect of Leverage on Financial Performance

Leverage and firm performance metrics, specifically return on equity (ROE), return on assets (ROA), and profit margin (PM), were found to be statistically significantly and consistently negatively correlated in the study. This result supports the fundamental claims of the Pecking Order Theory, which holds that because of information asymmetries and the possibility of financial distress, businesses prefer internal financing to external debt (Myers & Majluf, 1984).

In Ghana, the cost of borrowing is still high because of macroeconomic instability and stringent lending requirements, which exacerbate the negative consequences of high debt ratios.

According to recent research by Akoto et al. (2023), Ghanaian companies with high financial leverage perform worse in terms of profitability and stock valuation. This finding is in line with Abor's (2005) findings.

Furthermore, Ghana exhibits the contextual limitations of the Modigliani and Miller (1963) framework, as interest burdens frequently outweigh the advantages of deductibility and there are few tax incentives for debt usage. This highlights the practical difficulties in implementing the trade-off benefits and undercuts the theoretical benefit of debt.

According to Boadu et al. (2022), companies that use excessive leverage frequently face liquidity issues, late payments to suppliers, and decreased operational effectiveness, all of which reduce shareholder value. Thus, maintaining firm value in the industrial sector requires careful debt management based on cash flow analysis and risk exposure reduction.

Role of Equity Structure in Profitability

There was a positive but statistically insignificant correlation between firms' equity structure and ROE and EPS.

According to this subtle effect, equity financing may help strengthen financial resilience, but it has little immediate effect on profitability, especially in developing nations where equity markets are still in their infancy.

This is in line with the Trade-Off Theory, which suggests that businesses should optimise their capital mix in order to lower the total cost of capital while preserving their financial flexibility (Kraus & Litzenberger, 1973).

However, low financial literacy, a small investor base, and thin trading volumes define the Ghanaian capital market, all of which reduce the strategic usefulness of equity financing.

According to Velnampy and Anojan (2014), if equity financing is not accompanied by a corresponding increase in net income, shareholder earnings may be diluted.

More recently, Ntim and Soobaroyen (2021) claimed that investor scepticism and market inefficiencies pose significant obstacles to equity fundraising for listed companies in sub-Saharan Africa.

On the other hand, because of increased transparency and investor confidence, businesses in more developed markets, like Canada (Gill et al., 2011), see a positive performance spillover from equity-based capital.

Considering this discrepancy, equity's advantages in Ghana are more stability-focused and long-term, acting as a buffer against debt volatility rather than immediately increasing profitability.

Therefore, in order to make equity markets a more viable source of funding, policymakers need to improve their infrastructure.

Impact of Liquidity on Financial Performance

ROA and PM were found to be significantly and favourably impacted by liquidity, as measured by the current ratio. This suggests that businesses that have enough liquid assets are better equipped to pay short-term obligations, seize opportunities that present themselves quickly, and stay out of trouble by not taking out emergency loans.

These results are in line with those of Raheman and Nasr (2007) and were confirmed in a more recent study by Nyarko and Agyeman (2022) that focused on Ghana and showed that firms with greater liquidity performed better than their counterparts in terms of return on working capital and gross profit margin. The document that was uploaded also made clear that Ghanaian manufacturers' limited liquidity limits their ability to be strategic and prevents production from continuing.

Maintaining a strong liquidity position is essential for both financial health and competitive advantage in economies like Ghana that have erratic cash flow cycles and rigid credit markets.

However, too much liquidity can be a sign of underutilised assets. This argument is in line with Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006), who cautioned that if excess idle cash is not properly reinvested, it may dilute returns. As a result, working capital optimisation and dynamic cash flow forecasting are necessary to maintain ideal liquidity levels.

Firm Size and Its Performance Implications

According to the analysis, there is a generally positive and occasionally significant correlation between financial performance and firm size. Bigger businesses frequently gain from established supply chains, improved creditworthiness, scale economies, and more negotiating leverage. Higher margins and resilience to economic shocks are the results of these factors.

This pattern is consistent with research by Sarlija and Harc (2012) and Osei and Boateng (2023), who demonstrated that large Ghanaian companies manage financial leverage better because they have access to a variety of funding sources.

Large companies frequently receive preferential treatment from the Ghanaian government in the form of tax breaks and opportunities for public procurement, which strengthens their position in the market.

However, the uploaded study cautions that size alone does not guarantee performance, as organizational inertia, inefficiencies, and agency conflicts can erode the benefits of scale. This aligns with Majumdar (1997), who emphasized that beyond a certain threshold, firm size may contribute to bureaucracy and strategic complacency. To maximize the advantages of size, large manufacturing firms in Ghana must continue investing in innovation, digitization, and managerial competence while avoiding structural rigidities that hamper agility and responsiveness.

Influence of Earnings per Share on Firm Value

ROE and PM were significantly positively impacted by earnings per share (EPS), suggesting that EPS is a signal and a determinant of firm value. Superior profitability and efficient resource allocation are indicated by high EPS, which draws in investors and encourages stock price growth.

The signalling theory, which holds that businesses use financial indicators like EPS to communicate future growth potential to external stakeholders, is supported by this, according to Ross (1977).

According to Nwidobie (2016) and more recent research like Ameyaw and Adomako (2023), EPS is a key factor in determining investor confidence in Ghana's equity market. EPS is a crucial performance proxy in a setting beset by information asymmetry and sparse financial disclosures.

Companies with steady EPS growth are seen as reliable and stable, which raises market capitalisation and lowers equity costs. To preserve or improve EPS performance, managers must thus coordinate their capital budgeting and earnings retention plans. This entails maintaining steady revenue streams, maximising asset turnover, and reducing non-operating expenses.

Synthesis of Findings with Theoretical Framework

The results support important tenets of the Trade-Off Theory, especially the equilibrium between leverage and liquidity. Although debt has the potential to reduce capital costs, its detrimental effects on profitability in Ghana indicate that the costs of bankruptcy and financial distress outweigh the advantages of the tax shield. This bolsters the argument that in order to preserve financial stability, businesses in emerging markets need to give liquidity and internal funds top priority.

The Pecking Order Theory is also supported by the preference for internal funding, particularly in Ghana where external funding is either expensive or unreliable and capital markets are underdeveloped. The signalling theory's claim that investor perception and capital allocation are influenced by financial performance metrics is supported by the substantial role of EPS.

These findings demonstrate the contextual limitations of conventional financial theories in addition to validating them. Ghana's particular institutional issues, like inconsistent regulations, inadequate investor protections, and restricted access to market data, must be taken into consideration in financial strategies.

The complex connection between firm performance, liquidity management, and capital structure. Leverage has a negative impact on Ghanaian manufacturing companies' profitability, indicating that debt accumulation should be done carefully.

The importance of operational effectiveness, strategic scale, and open earnings communication is highlighted by the significant performance drivers of liquidity, firm size, and EPS. Market inefficiencies limit the short-term impact of equity structure, despite its potential for long-term stability.

For businesses and policymakers operating in comparable economic environments, these insights aid in the development of both practical strategies and theoretical enrichment.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study was to assess how capital structure and liquidity management affected the financial performance of Ghanaian listed manufacturing companies. Panel data from a few chosen companies in Ghana's industrial sector served as the basis for the analysis. Three important financial theories—the Signalling Theory, the Pecking Order Theory, and the Trade-Off Theory—formed the framework for the inquiry.

The study investigated the connections between important elements of financial structure, particularly leverage, equity structure, and liquidity, and performance metrics like return on equity, return on assets, profit margin, and earnings per share using a strong econometric approach. The results showed that leverage consistently impairs firm profitability, indicating that excessive debt exposure jeopardises corporate viability and financial efficiency in Ghana's manufacturing sector.

Conversely, liquidity showed a favourable and noteworthy impact on financial performance, highlighting the significance of effective working capital and cash flow management in maintaining firm stability and profitability.

Earnings per share emerged as a strong predictor of firm value and market perception, despite the fact that equity structure had a positive but statistically insignificant relationship with financial performance. Together, the study's findings highlight the necessity of context-sensitive financial management techniques that take into account the institutional and economic realities of developing nations like Ghana.

These results advance the discussion of the ideal financial structure in developing financial systems by offering a nuanced understanding of how capital and liquidity decisions impact firm outcomes.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the study's empirical findings, a number of important suggestions can be made to improve capital structure and liquidity management techniques in order to boost the financial performance of Ghanaian manufacturing companies.

Given the detrimental effects of leverage on profitability in Ghana, financial managers should place a higher priority on internal funding and lessen reliance on external debt. Reinvesting retained earnings and increasing operational effectiveness should be prioritised in order to produce long-term internal capital.

To make sure they can fulfil short-term commitments and take advantage of investment opportunities without turning to expensive borrowing, businesses should also fortify their liquidity management systems.

This calls for careful inventory control procedures, timely receivables collection, and accurate cash flow forecasting.

To improve financial decision-making and transparency, companies should improve their corporate governance structures in addition to these internal strategies.

Access to equity financing can be enhanced and investor confidence raised by establishing independent audit committees and functional boards.

By lowering bureaucratic obstacles that impede capital market participation, creating investor protection mechanisms, and offering tax incentives to publicly traded companies, policymakers can improve the macroeconomic climate for equity financing.

Businesses should also implement tactics that boost profits per share by lowering costs, increasing productivity, and innovating.

Long-term market valuation and investor perception can both be positively impacted by a consistent rise in earnings per share, which positions businesses for stability and growth in a cutthroat industry.

CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE

This study makes a number of significant additions to the body of knowledge regarding corporate performance and financial management in emerging economies.

The manufacturing sector in Ghana, a crucial but little-studied area of the economy, provides fresh empirical data for the study.

Using panel data from several years and incorporating a thorough econometric approach, the study offers a trustworthy and situation-specific examination of the relationship between capital structure and liquidity choices and firm performance.

This work makes a significant theoretical contribution by applying the Signalling Theory, Pecking Order Theory, and Trade-Off Theory simultaneously to explain financial behaviour in businesses with institutional restraints.

The observed financial outcomes can be interpreted more accurately and richly thanks to this multifaceted theoretical approach. By presenting liquidity as a strategic asset that propels profitability and competitive advantage in capital-constrained environments, in addition to being a measure of solvency, the study also improves our understanding of the concept.

According to the study, earnings per share play a crucial signalling role in investor decision-making, especially in markets with low transparency and information asymmetry.

In terms of methodology, the findings are strengthened by the use of panel data and sophisticated regression models, which makes them applicable to a wider range of stakeholders in academia, policy, and business.

All things considered, this study fills significant gaps in the literature and lays the groundwork for further research aimed at comprehending and enhancing financial strategy in developing economies' manufacturing sectors.

REFERENCES

1. Abor, J. (2005). The effect of capital structure on profitability: An empirical analysis of listed firms in Ghana. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, 6(5), 438–445. <https://doi.org/10.1108/15265940510633505>
2. Abor, J., & Biekpe, N. (2009). How do we explain the capital structure of SMEs in Sub-Saharan Africa? Evidence from Ghana. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 36(1), 83–97. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443580910923812>
3. Aboagye, A. Q. Q., & Otiekue, J. (2010). Working capital management and profitability of manufacturing firms listed on the Ghana Stock Exchange. *Journal of Finance and Economic Research*, 2(1), 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3456274>
4. Adeshina, J.B., Nwiodobie, B.M. and Adesina, O.O. (2015) Capital Structure and Financial Performance of Firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Social Research*, 5, 21-31.
5. Adusei, M. (2011). Board structure and bank performance in Ghana. *Journal of Money, Investment and Banking*, 19, 72–84. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2278114>
6. Agyemang, B., & Nyanyofio, J. G. (2014). Capital structure and firm performance in Ghanaian manufacturing companies. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 5(9), 94–100. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2842035>
7. Akbas, H. E., Karaduman, H. A., & Durer, S. (2013). The relationship between working capital management and profitability: Evidence from Turkey. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 3(2), 488–495. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2609941>
8. Akinlo, O. O., & Asaolu, T. O. (2012). Profitability and leverage: Evidence from selected Nigerian companies. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 12(5), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2144292>
9. Akinleye, G.T. and Akomolafe, L.O. (2019) Capital Structure and Profitability of Manufacturing Firms Listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange. *Information Management and Business Review*, 11, 27-34. [https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v11i3\(i\).2944](https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v11i3(i).2944)
10. Akintoye, I. R. (2008). Sensitivity of performance to capital structure. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(1), 23–34. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1273998>
11. Akoto, R. K., Aboagye, A. Q. Q., & Baah-Boakye, K. (2023). Capital structure and firm performance in Ghana: Revisiting the role of financial flexibility. *African Journal of Economic Policy*, 30(1), 87–105. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ajep.v30i1.5>
12. Ameyaw, E., & Adomako, S. (2023). Earnings management and stock returns: Evidence from Ghanaian listed firms. *International Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 13(2), 114–129. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJAF.2023.129416>
13. Anifowose, A. D., Soyibo, Y. A. and Tanimujo, T. A. (2020) Effect of Financial Leverage on Firm's Performance: Case of Listed Pharmaceutical Firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Academic Accounting, Finance and Management Research*, 4, 19.
14. Ayange, A., Emmanuel, N.C., Rosemary, I.H., Ndudi, U.C. and Samuel, U.E. (2021) Effect of Capital Structure on Firms Performance in Nigeria. *Universal Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 9, 15-23. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujaf.2021.090102>
15. Bala, S.A., Almustapha, A. and Taophic Olarewaju, B. (2020) Effect of Corporate Governance on Financial Performance of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, 13, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2019/v13i330175>
16. Baxter, N.D. (1967) Leverage, Risk of Ruin and the COST of Capital. *The Journal of Finance*, 22, 395-403. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1967.tb02975.x>
17. Boadu, M., Opoku, M., & Aryeetey, R. N. O. (2022). Adoption of electronic medical records in Ghanaian health facilities: An assessment of readiness and barriers. *Journal of Global Health Reports*, 6, e2022040. <https://doi.org/10.29392/001c.37076>
18. Boampong, O., & Oppong, R. A. (2016). Capital structure and firm value: Empirical evidence from Ghana Stock Exchange. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 7(10), 84–92. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2815329>
19. Chaudhary, N. and Gakhar, K. (2018) Financial Structure and Financial Performance with a Perspective on Board Size and Frequency of Board Meetings: Empirical Evidence from India. *Drishtikon: A Management Journal*, 9, 37-64.

20. Danso, A., & Adomako, S. (2014). The financing behavior of firms and financial performance: Evidence from Ghana. *Journal of Economics and International Finance*, 6(8), 155–162. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JEIF2014.0602>
21. Deloof, M. (2003). Does working capital management affect profitability of Belgian firms? *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 30(3-4), 573–587. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5957.00008>
22. Etale, L.M. and Ujuju, L.E. (2018) Financial Structure, Risk Concentration and the Performance of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Management Review*, 6, 56-68.
23. Ghazouani, T. (2013) The Capital Structure through the Trade-off Theory: Evidence from Tunisian Firm. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 3, 625-636.
24. Gill, A., Biger, N., & Mathur, N. (2011). The effect of capital structure on profitability: Evidence from the United States. *International Journal of Management*, 28(4), 3–15. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1733835>
25. Inegbedion, H., Vincent, B.D. and Obadiaru, E. (2020) Risk Management and the Financial Performance of Banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 11, 115-128. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v11n5p115>
26. Javed, S.M., Jahanzeb, A. and Saifur-Rehman, (2012) A Critical Review of Capital Structure Theories. *Information Management and Business Review*, 4, 553-557. <https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v4i11.1012>
27. Jensen, M. (1986) Agency Costs of Free Cash Flow, Corporate Finance and Takeovers. *American Economic Review*, 76, 323-329.
28. Kane, A., Marcus, A.J. and McDonald, R.L. (1984) How Big Is the Tax Advantage to Debt? *The Journal of Finance*, 39, 841-853. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1984.tb03678.x>
29. Kraus, A., & Litzenberger, R. H. (1973). A state-preference model of optimal financial leverage. *The Journal of Finance*, 28(4), 911–922. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1973.tb01415.x>
30. Lazaridis, I., & Tryfonidis, D. (2006). Relationship between working capital management and profitability of listed companies in the Athens Stock Exchange. *Journal of Financial Management and Analysis*, 19(1), 26–35. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=931591>
31. Majumdar, S. K. (1997). The impact of size and age on firm-level performance: Some evidence from India. *Review of Industrial Organization*, 12(2), 231–241. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007766324749>
32. Maina, L., & Ishmail, M. (2014). Capital structure and financial performance in Kenya: Evidence from firms listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Entrepreneurship*, 1(11), 209–223. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2547180>
33. Mehran, H., Morrison, A.D. and Shapiro, J.D. (2011) Corporate Governance and Banks: What Have We Learned from the Financial Crisis? Staff Reports 502, Federal Reserve Bank of New York.
34. Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance, and the theory of investment. *The American Economic Review*, 48(3), 261–297. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2991140>
35. Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1963). Corporate income taxes and the cost of capital: A correction. *The American Economic Review*, 53(3), 433–443. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1809167>
36. Mujahid, M. and Akhtar, K. (2014) Impact of Capital Structure on Firms Financial Performance and Shareholders Wealth: Textile Sector of Pakistan. *International Journal of Learning and Development*, 4, 27-33. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijld.v4i2.5511>
37. Myers, S. C., & Majluf, N. S. (1984). Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information that investors do not have. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 13(2), 187–221. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(84\)90023-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(84)90023-0)
38. Ntim, C. G., & Soobaroyen, T. (2021). Corporate governance, capital structure, and firm value in Africa: A review and synthesis of the empirical literature. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 77, 101835. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2021.101835>
39. Nyarko, S. A., & Agyeman, B. (2022). Liquidity and profitability nexus in Ghanaian manufacturing firms. *Ghana Journal of Economics and Development*, 10(1), 55–71. <https://doi.org/10.4314/gjed.v10i1.5>
40. Nwidiobie, B. M. (2016). Earnings per share and firm value: Evidence from Nigeria. *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research*, 4(2), 48–54. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2778362>
41. Okere, W., Ogunlowore, A. and Isiaka, M. (2018) Financial Structure and Financial Performance of

- Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. *European Journal of Business, Economics and Accountancy*, 6, 30-42. <https://www.idpublications.org/>
42. Onipe, A.Y. and Andow, A.H. (2019) Capital Structure and Firm's Financial Performance: Panel Evidence of Listed Conglomerate Firms in Nigeria. *Kaduna Business Management Review*, 2, 1-25.
- [19] Modigliani, F. and Miller, M.H. (1958) The Cost of Capital, Corporate Finance and Theory of Investment. *American Economic Review*, 48, 261-297.
43. Oino, I., & Ukaegbu, B. (2015). The impact of profitability on capital structure and speed of adjustment: An empirical examination of selected firms in Nigeria. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 35, 111–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2015.02.015>
44. Osei, M., & Boateng, R. (2023). Firm size, leverage and performance: Evidence from listed Ghanaian manufacturing firms. *African Journal of Business and Economic Research*, 18(3), 127–145. <https://doi.org/10.31920/1750-4562/2023/v18n3a7>
45. Raheman, A., & Nasr, M. (2007). Working capital management and profitability: Case of Pakistani firms. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 3(1), 279–300. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.931591>
46. Ross, S. A. (1977). The determination of financial structure: The incentive-signalling approach. *The Bell Journal of Economics*, 8(1), 23–40. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3003485>
47. Sarlija, N., & Hanc, M. (2012). The impact of firm size on the capital structure of Croatian firms. *Journal of Economic and Social Studies*, 2(1), 87–102. <https://doi.org/10.14706/JECOSS11215>
48. Uyar, A. (2009). The relationship of cash conversion cycle with firm size and profitability: An empirical investigation in Turkey. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 24, 186–193. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2984765>
49. Velnampy, T., & Anojan, V. (2014). Capital structure and financial performance: A study of listed manufacturing companies in Sri Lanka. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 6(31), 168–175. <https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/EJBM/article/view/16581>