Public Service Reforms in Kenya's Hospitality, Tourism and Wildlife Sectors (1963–2026): Historical Evolution, Reform Gaps, Emerging Challenges and Strategic Policy Recommendations

Mr. Ogweyo Peter Ogalo

PhD Candidate in Sustainable Tourism and Hospitality Management, Dedan Kimathi University of Technology, Assistant Director – Research, State Department of Public Service and Human Capital Development, Directorate of Public Service Reforms, Harambee House, Harambee Avenue. P.O. Box 30050 – 00100, Nairobi (Kenya)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2026.1307000236

Received: 24 July 2026; Accepted: 29 July 2026; Published: 08 August 2026

ABSTRACT

This paper offers a kind of historical and policy assessment for public service reforms in Kenya's hospitality, tourism and wildlife areas, from 1963 to 2026. It leans on a historical institutional angle and draws from government policy documents, legislation, strategic plans and also some related academic work. The goal is to look at how governance, institutional set ups and service delivery have changed across six reform phases. Overall, the tracing moves from early post-independence institution building through economic liberalization, governance modernization, devolution, digital transformation, and then toward sustainable tourism development. What the study finds is that Kenya has made real progress in shoring up institutional governance, wildlife preservation, tourism regulation, digital public service delivery and performance monitoring. Among the more notable milestones are the creation of key institutions, the rollout of the Constitution of Kenya (2010), the move toward Results-Based Management and performance contracting, the introduction of eCitizen services, and the community guided conservation initiatives. Still, even with these wins, a number of persistent issues show up. These include overlapping institutional mandates, weak intergovernmental coordination, limited staffing capabilities, limited digital interlinking, climate change pressures, a heavy reliance on arrivals from international tourism, human–wildlife conflict and also financing gaps that undercut conservation as well as tourism development. The study points out some critical reform gaps across governance, how policy is actually put into action, technology uptake, research use, and the long-term funding piece. it suggests boosting institutional coordination via integrated governance frameworks, speeding up the digital transformation side, and pushing on human capital development as well. At the same time, it advises diversifying tourism products, encouraging community participation, and widening public–private partnerships. It also calls for adopting fresher financing mechanisms, for example carbon markets plus green bonds, which is kind of a different angle compared to usual budgets. Overall, the paper ends with the idea that sustained collaboration between government, industry and research institutions is needed in order to build a more competitive, resilient, and sustainable hospitality tourism and wildlife sector. The goal is to back inclusive economic growth, help protect the environment, and support Kenya Vision 2030, the Bottom-Up Economic Transformation Agenda, and the Sustainable Development Goals, all together.

Keywords: Public Service Reforms; Tourism Governance; Wildlife Conservation; Digital Transformation; Devolution; Kenya Vision 2030; Sustainable Tourism; Hospitality Industry.

INTRODUCTION

Background of the Study

Kenya's hospitality, tourism and wildlife sectors kind of act like a cornerstone for the economy, they have long driven economic growth, helped with jobs, brought in foreign exchange, and also supported environmental conservation. Tourism on its own contributes about 8-10% to Kenya's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and it's linked to more than 1.5 million direct and indirect jobs, so in practical terms it really is one of the biggest economic backbones (World Bank, 2023; Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023). Meanwhile the wildlife sector, via its protected area network and a set of biodiversity conservation programmes, supports the country's global image as a top safari destination, while also taking care of Kenya's natural legacy so it can stay there for future generations (Kenya Wildlife Service, 2023).

After independence back in 1963, different Kenyan governments rolled out public service reforms, these reforms were meant to strengthen institutional governance, improve service delivery, boost sector competitiveness, and push sustainable development across these related arenas. What shaped these reforms wasn't only one thing, it was more like a mix, national development priorities kept shifting, constitutional changes happened, global tourism trends moved, environmental needs became more pressing, technology advanced, and international development frameworks came through too, including the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the African Union Agenda 2063. (GoK, 2010; UNWTO, 2024).

The evolution of public service reforms in these sectors kind of mirrors wider changes in Kenya's governance mindset from that post-independence state-led approach, basically focused on building institutions, plus indigenization, then moving into the 1990s structural adjustment and liberalization period, and later on into the early 2000s push toward results-based management with performance contracting. More recently, it shifts again, toward digital government, devolution and a sustainable development kind of paradigm (Akama, 2002; Sindiga, 1996). The current reform agenda, steered by Kenya Vision 2030, the Bottom-Up Economic Transformation Agenda (BETA), and the Fourth Medium Term Plan (MTP IV 2023–2027), leans hard on customer-focused service provision, digital transformation, climate resilience, innovation, and sustainable tourism growth (Government of Kenya, 2023; Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Reform efforts have not fully ironed out the problems. There are still big hurdles like fragmented institutional roles that create coordination breakdowns, then not enough people with the right skills, plus a mismatch between capacity and what is actually needed. Add in limited tech integration, weak digital infrastructure, financing that is both inadequate and hard to predict. Climate change also keeps showing up as a major driver, affecting tourism assets and wildlife habitats, while human wildlife conflict continues to be a pressing issue. On top of that there are policy implementation gaps and governance weaknesses, including corruption and political interference (Akama & Kieti, 2007; Western, Russell, & Cuthill, 2009). All these issues, together, restrict sector output, weaken the quality-of-service delivery, and reduce Kenya's ability to compete in that very competitive global tourism arena.

Statement of the Problem

Public c service reform in Kenya's hospitality, tourism and wildlife areas have evolved for more than six decades. However, there are still major governance, institutional, and day to day service delivery issues that just do not go away. You can see this in the way institutions are kind of split up, where several agencies keep running with overlapping roles, so coordination can break down, some work gets repeated, and resources get used in a rather inefficient way (Akama, 2002; Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023). For digital transformation, platforms like eCitizen have expanded access to government services, but progress has been slowed by weak infrastructure, people having limited digital know how, and also systems that do not quite connect well with each other (Government of Kenya, 2023). Then there are people related constraints too, like skills mismatches, an older workforce, and weak succession preparation, all of which tend to weaken institutional results (Public Service Commission, 2022).

Tourism functions being devolved to county governments, as mapped in the Constitution of Kenya (2010) has come with some wins and also a bunch of challenges. Devolution, in a way, has pushed services closer to communities, and it even backs locally fitted tourism development, yet it also sparked tug of war style coordination issues between national and county levels, plus capacity gaps that show up at county scale, and there are differences in service quality from one county to another (Cheeseman, 2016; Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023). On top of that, climate change is still amping up the risks for tourism facilities, wildlife areas, and biodiversity as a whole, but adaptation tactics are not fully braided into sector planning and budgeting yet (IPCC, 2022).

Also, the COVID-19 pandemic made it very clear how exposed Kenya's tourism sector is to worldwide shocks. International tourist arrivals dropped fast, and the sector basically faced major job losses and reduced earnings (UNWTO, 2021). Wildlife conservation keeps running into tough barriers, including poaching, loss of habitat, human-wildlife conflict, and insufficient community involvement, plus benefit sharing that does not really land well on the ground (Kenya Wildlife Service, 2023).

All these ongoing problems bring up key questions about how effective, coherent, and sustainable these public service reforms are. You really need a careful historical deep dive to grasp how reforms have unfolded, where the lingering gaps are, and what kinds of strategic interventions could work best. This paper tackles that need by giving a structured historical and policy review of public service reforms across these sectors, pointing out reform blind spots, and then putting forward evidence grounded recommendations.

Objectives

General Objective:

To analyze the historical evolution, reform gaps, emerging challenges, and strategic opportunities in public service reforms within Kenya's hospitality, tourism and wildlife sectors from 1963 to 2026, and to propose evidence-based policy recommendations for strengthening sector governance and service delivery.

Specific Objectives:

1. To trace the historical evolution of public service reforms in Kenya's hospitality, tourism and wildlife sectors from independence in 1963 to 2026.
2. To identify and analyze persistent reform gaps in institutional governance, human resource capacity, digital transformation, service delivery, financing, and policy implementation.
3. To examine emerging challenges and opportunities shaping the future of public service delivery in these sectors.
4. To propose strategic, implementable recommendations for government, industry, and research institutions.

Research Questions

1. How have public service reforms in Kenya's hospitality, tourism and wildlife sectors evolved from 1963 to 2026, and what have been the key milestones, achievements, and limitations of each reform phase?
2. What are the persistent reform gaps in institutional governance, human resource capacity, digital transformation, service delivery, financing, and policy implementation within these sectors?
3. What emerging challenges and opportunities are shaping the future of public service delivery in Kenya's hospitality, tourism and wildlife sectors?
4. What strategic interventions are required to address reform gaps and strengthen sector governance, service delivery, and sustainable development?

Significance of the Study

This study, makes several important contributions and it sort of ties them together in one narrative. First, it gives a broad historical and policy look at public service reforms in Kenya's hospitality tourism and wildlife sectors, and it also seems to fill a gap in the academic literature on public sector reform in developing countries. Second it provides evidence-based policy suggestions for better sector governance, improved service delivery and long-term sustainable development. these points are meant for people in the Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, the Kenya Wildlife Service, the Tourism Regulatory Authority, the Kenya Tourist Board, and also county governments. Third, the work adds to the wider discussion on public service reform, governance and sustainable development across Africa, and not just in one specific place. Fourth, the study also points out research voids and then proposes possible research priorities for academic institutions and researchers, so future work has a clearer path.

Scope and Limitations

This study focused at public service reforms in Kenya, especially across hospitality, tourism, and wildlife from 1963 to 2026, it covers national policy frameworks, laws and legislation, institutional set ups and then the actual reform pushes across six broad historical phases. In terms of how it was done, the study leans on primary government records plus secondary academic work, using a kind of documentary and historical-institutional lens. There are limitations though, like it depends mostly on what documents were already published, it tracks the bigger reform turning points more than everything else, and there was no real primary data collection. Also, it stays at the national reform level, so county level differences, and variations are not examined in detail.

Historical Evolution of Public Service Reforms (1963–2026)

Phase I: Foundation of Public Service Reforms (1963–1970)

After independence in 1963, the Government of Kenya inherited a tourism industry that had been mostly put in place to serve colonial interests. The brand-new government looked at tourism as a strategic economic sector, something that could create work opportunities, pull in foreign exchange, and also help regional development. For that reason, it started institutional reforms meant to Africanize tourism, while encouraging local or indigenous participation in tourism investments and management (Government of Kenya, 1965; Sindiga, 1996).

One of the earliest, and also one of the biggest reforms was the creation of the Kenya Tourist Development Corporation (KTDC), under the Kenya Tourist Development Corporation Act (Cap. 382) of 1965. This Corporation was set up to give financial help for building hotels, improving tourism infrastructure, and supporting other tourism related undertakings, so that more local people could get involved in the sector (Government of Kenya, 1965; FAO, 2023). Then Sessional Paper No. 8 of 1969, called "Tourism Development in Kenya" laid down the first clearly expressed tourism policy, including growth objectives for the industry and the ways of reaching them (KIPPRA, 2023). That policy also included provision for training couriers, guides, and other tourism personnel, which was basically the start of more organized skills development for human resources in the sector.

During this period, the reforms seemed to bring some real gains, sort of , hotel investments spreading along Kenya's coast and into wildlife areas, tourism infrastructure getting better, and international tourist arrivals rising too. Still, the industry stayed largely in the hands of foreign investors, while weak infrastructure, capped local managerial ability, and a lack of trained staff kept tightening the limits on sector expansion (Akama, 1999). What stood out in the foundation period was that government involvement was indeed needed right at the start, but it also showed that there must be careful, deliberate policies aimed at growing local ownership, plus strengthening capacity building.

Phase II: Expansion and Wildlife Conservation Reforms (1971–1989)

Between 1971 and 1989, Kenya saw this pretty fast tourism growth thing going on, and it came with more investment in wildlife conservation, plus protected area management too. In fact, wildlife tourism turned into the country's main tourism product, and it did boost international tourist arrivals quite a lot, along with raising foreign exchange earnings (Akama, 1999). But then, as the late 1970s and 1980s moved forward, poaching levels climbed, and this really put biodiversity conservation in a tight spot, not to mention the long-term sustainability of tourism. The illegal ivory market basically hollowed out elephant numbers, while rhino poaching took those species right up to the edge of extinction (Western, 1994)

So, in response, the Government rolled out a nationwide hunting ban in 1977, and it is often seen as one of the bigger wildlife policy shifts in Kenya's history. That hunting ban leaned toward conservation rather than consumptive use, and it also helped Kenya get recognized as a worldwide role model for wildlife protection (Western, 1994). Eventually, the poaching problem got so serious that it helped drive the establishment of the Kenya Wildlife Service (KWS) in 1989. This happened through the Wildlife (Conservation and Management) (Amendment) Act No. 16 of 1989. With KWS in place, wildlife governance changed in a fundamental way, because it created a semi-autonomous conservation authority tasked with wildlife protection, law enforcement, biodiversity conservation, and the day-to-day management of protected areas (Kenya Wildlife Service, 2023).

The conservation reforms actually achieved a lot of real success, like strengthening wildlife protection, and making law enforcement better, also helping endangered species slowly recover. But at the same time, they sort of showed some serious weak points, including not enough community participation in conservation, plus human wildlife conflict. There was also limited financial sustainability of the conservation programmes, (Western, Russell, & Cuthill, 2009). So overall, the reforms showed that strong institutional frameworks and firm law enforcement can work, yet they also made it clear that community engagement, and sharing benefits, matter a great deal if you want conservation to last.

Phase III: Liberalization and Institutional Reforms (1990–2002)

The 1990s sort of, were defined by structural adjustment programmes alongside public sector reforms, that basically pushed market liberalization, privatization and also private-sector participation in tourism development. If you look closely, these reforms were backed by the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, and they urged the Government to back off from direct involvement in commercial activities while also making sure regulatory and policy roles were strengthened (World Bank, 1994). Around the same time the global tourism market got more competitive, and other African places, like South Africa, Tanzania and Botswana were showing up as rivals to Kenya (Akama, 2002).

Then in 1997, the Kenya Tourist Board (KTB) was formed, through the Kenya Tourist Board Order, Legal Notice 14 of 1997, and it was put in place under the State Corporations Act. The KTB was supposed to promote and market Kenya, both locally and internationally, and it was also tasked with keeping an eye on the quality and standards of the facilities tourists actually use (Kenya Law, 2023). This move meant tourism promotion stopped feeling entirely government-driven, and instead it turned into something more strategic and marketing oriented.

The reforms did bring some clear wins, for example better destination marketing, more room for private sector investment, and stronger accountability and efficiency across tourism and wildlife institutions. But, institutional fragmentation kind of grew too, because several agencies were created, with duties that overlapped and that made coordination harder, plus it introduced administrative inefficiencies (Akama, 2002). By then, the tourism sector even ran into stagnation, since competition rose and internal strains including political instability and infrastructure gaps made visiting seem less appealing (Oxford Business Group, 2018).

Phase IV: Governance Reforms and Vision 2030 (2003–2012)

After the Economic Recovery Strategy (2003–2007) Kenya sort of accelerated governance reforms, with the aim to boost efficiency, transparency, and accountability across public institutions. Then Kenya Vision 2030 was launched in 2008, and it pointed tourism out as one of the country's flagship economic sectors, with quite ambitious targets for growth, and it basically set the sector up as a key engine for economic transformation (Government of Kenya, 2008).

During this same period, Kenya rolled out notable public service reforms, including Results-Based Management (RBM), Performance Contracting, Customer Service Charters, and e-Government initiatives. Later, the Tourism Act, No. 28 of 2011, gave a broader legal structure for sustainable tourism development, and it put in place the Tourism Regulatory Authority (TRA), the Tourism Fund, and the Tourism Research Institute (Government of Kenya, 2011). Around 2013, the Wildlife Conservation and Management Act, 2013 (No. 47 of 2013) replaced the earlier Wildlife Act, and it framed wildlife conservation in a new way, even acknowledging wildlife conservation as a form of land use, and it provided for wildlife conservancies on both private and community land (Government of Kenya, 2013).

Overall, this period can be seen as the biggest modernization wave in Kenya's public service, with stronger accountability, better governance and improved service delivery. Still, the rollout ran into issues, like not enough resources, limited capacity, and slow movement on the headline projects (Vision 2030, 2023). So, the governance reforms really showed that having legislation and policy frameworks is necessary but not enough for reforms to actually work; the implementation side needs enough funding, workable capacity, and also real political will.

Phase V: Devolution and Digital Transformation (2013–2022)

The implementation of the Constitution of Kenya (2010), which kicked in around 2013, in general reshaped governance by bringing in that devolved setup, county administrations. In practice, county governments took on the local tourism promotion and development side, but the national government stayed put on tourism policy, set standards, and handle international marketing (Government of Kenya, 2010). At the same time, the period also pushed a quicker shift to digital delivery of public services, largely through the eCitizen platform, which grew into that key entry point for government services, allowing online licensing and permits for tourism related activities (Business Daily, 2025)

Then there was the Wildlife Conservation and Management Act, 2013, it gave legal backing for community conservancies, and these expanded quite a bit during this stretch, supporting participatory wildlife conservation, plus benefit sharing arrangements (KWCA, 2023). Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) were also emphasized, more like a financing route for tourism infrastructure and other services.

Overall, the devolution and digital transformation phase got notable wins, like more decentralized governance, wider digital public services, and more community involvement in conservation. But challenges did not really disappear either, for instance, there was still thin coordination between national and county levels, digital infrastructure gaps, limited digital skills among users, and issues around cybersecurity (Cheeseman, 2016; Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023). The experience suggests that devolution cannot just be done and left there, it needs clear function assignment, enough capacity building, and workable coordination pathways in-between the levels of government.

Phase VI: Public Sector Transformation and Sustainable Tourism (2023–2026)

The present reform window is being steered by the Bottom-Up Economic Transformation Agenda (BETA), the Fourth Medium Term Plan (MTP IV 2023–2027), and the Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife Strategic Plan (2023–2027). In a way, these instruments lean heavily on customer centred service delivery, digital shifting, climate resilience, innovation, sustainable tourism, ecosystem restoration, plus stronger governance (Government of Kenya, 2023; Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Newer priorities are now surfacing too, for example Artificial Intelligence alongside smart tourism programs, digital payments, and integrated information systems. On the conservation front, there are mechanisms such as carbon credits and green bonds, and in general a climate-smart approach to tourism is being pushed. The Kenya Wildlife Service has also rolled out a Customer Experience and Service Delivery Strategy (2026–2028) which is meant to reinforce citizen-focused service delivery (Kenya Wildlife Service, 2023). So far, this reform phase is showing headway in sustainability awareness, digital transformation, and the way customer experience is being treated as a priority. Still, there are big hurdles, including implementation limitations, worsening climate change effects, patchy institutional coordination, and persistent financing gaps. Overall, the period seems to underline that sustainable tourism development can only work when approaches are connected up, so they can balance economic outcomes, environmental protection, and social aims at the same time.

Major Observations and Findings

Governance and Institutional Coordination

Persistent institutional fragmentation: Even after years of reforms, fragmentation still feels like a real issue. A number of agencies keep working with, overlapping roles in tourism and wildlife governance, like the Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, the Tourism Regulatory Authority, the Kenya Tourist Board, the Tourism Fund, the

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue VII July 2026

Tourism Research Institute, and the Kenya Tourism Development Corporation, among others. This is noted in (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023; Akama, 2002). Devolution also made things more complicated, because national and county governments have coordination problems, not just here but across the board (Cheeseman, 2016)

Weak intergovernmental coordination: When it comes to tourism and wildlife governance, coordination between national and county governments is just weak. There are limited ways for them to collaborate, exchange information, or do joint planning. On top of that, county governments often have limited capability for tourism planning, promotion, and regulation. They usually lack the technical know-how, plus the financial resources, to carry the work properly. (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023)

Slow implementation of reforms: Public service reforms are also rolling out slowly. There seems to be a gap between what the policies want, and what actually happens on the ground. For example, the headline initiatives under Vision 2030 have moved at a slow pace, with implementation delays and funding constraints (Vision 2030, 2023).

Service Delivery

Service delivery is still sort of stuck in bureaucratic procedures and lengthy phases, with all the steps that come with it. Licensing for tourism businesses stays long and rather complex, customer feedback channels also feel weak, and service standards are not really even across the board (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Then there's unequal access to services too, rural and remote locations end up with fewer options than the urban centers. The digital side, like eCitizen, depends on internet connectivity and a certain level of digital literacy, and in some places that can be limited (Business Daily, 2025).

Human Resource Capacity

Inadequate Staff Capacity and Skills Mismatches: Human resourcing capacity is really inadequate; you can see skills mismatches and limited competencies in key areas. Tourism and wildlife institutions are dealing with staff shortages, plus mismatches in digital technologies, data analysis, and customer service. On top of that the workforce is aging, and succession planning stays quite limited (Public Service Commission, 2022).

Limited Digital Competencies: Digital competencies among public officers remain limited, which in turn constrains proper execution of digital transformation initiatives. Training programmes for digital skills are also not enough, and there is resistance to change in some parts of the team (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Low Staff Motivation and Morale: Motivation and morale among staff is low, and that shows up in weaker performance and inconsistent service delivery. The issues include remuneration that is inadequate, limited opportunities for career advancement, weak working conditions, and also insufficient recognition, especially for good results (Public Service Commission, 2022).

Digital Transformation

Limited ICT Infrastructure: ICT infrastructure is limited; it really constrains the effective implementation of digital transformation initiatives. A number of institutions do not have enough computers, networks, and software systems, and the internet connection is also limited in some areas (Government of Kenya, 2023).

Poor Systems Integration: The integration of systems across institutions is not going well, because different institutions end up using different incompatible systems, so it becomes hard to share information, and to coordinate activities properly (Government of Kenya, 2023).

Cybersecurity Concerns: As digital services keep expanding, cybersecurity concerns are starting to show up more, with possible risks to data security, plus system integrity (Business Daily, 2025).

Tourism Development

Kenya's tourism sector still seems to rely an awful lot on international tourists, and that makes it shaky when the world economy or travel patterns shift. During COVID-19, you could really see this vulnerability, as international arrivals dropped sharply (UNWTO, 2021; Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Then there is the issue of not enough diversification of the offering. In practice, tourism products are still mostly anchored on wildlife experiences, while cultural tourism, eco-tourism, sports tourism, medical tourism, agro tourism and other more specific niches don't really get the same level of development (Akama, 2002; Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Also, destination management, it feels weak. Coordination and the integration of tourism development with promotion are limited, and in some places the infrastructure is simply not strong enough, plus visitor management is not very robust either (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Wildlife Management

Persistent human wildlife conflict is still, like, one of the enduring issues, where communities end up carrying the costs of sharing space with wildlife yet they get only minimal returns. The compensation systems are often not fit for purpose, because payments come late, and when they do arrive, they are too small (Kenya Wildlife Service, 2023; Western, Russell, & Cuthill, 2009).

Wildlife poaching and habitat degradation are also still pressing threats. They undermine biodiversity and even the long-term viability of wildlife tourism. Poaching keeps moving forward, partly because of international demand, while habitat loss, or degradation, chips away at wildlife numbers and survival (Kenya Wildlife Service, 2023).

Then there's limited community participation. Even though policy and legal provisions talk about participatory approaches, in practice community involvement in wildlife conservation stays rather restricted. For community conservancies, there are hurdles such as inadequate funding, not enough technical capability, and disagreements over how benefits are shared (Natural Justice, 2025).

Financing

Tourism and wildlife institutions are dealing with inadequate and also kind of unpredictable funding, it makes it hard to push through the reforms, and it also messes with how services get delivered. There is a big reliance on donor funding, and that dependence can easily turn into a problem, because the money might fluctuate, or just not arrive when it should (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023; Kenya Wildlife Service, 2023).

On top of that, revenue diversification stays limited. In practice, income is mostly coming from park entry fees plus government allocations, instead of a broader mix. Because of that, alternative revenue sources are not really developed enough, so the whole system remains narrow and somewhat fragile (Kenya Wildlife Service, 2023).

DISCUSSION

Theoretical Perspectives

The findings of this study can be made sense of via a couple theoretical lenses. New Public Management (NPM) theory , the one stressing efficiency, performance measurement, a kind of customer orientation and market levers (Hood, 1991), helps explain why Performance Contracting, Results-Based Management, and Customer Service Charters were brought in. Still, the rollout has looked uneven in practice , and that's linked to trouble with measuring performance, capacity limits, and also the political economy side of things.

Then institutional theory points to how both formal and informal institutions steer organizational conduct and end up shaping reform results (North, 1990; Scott, 2014). In Kenya's tourism and wildlife areas, the long running institutional fragmentation seems to echo earlier institutional building efforts plus the political economy behind how institutions get designed. Informal institutions , like bureaucratic culture, reluctance to change, and political interference, have acted like constraints on how reforms are actually put into motion.

Digital government theory takes another route, arguing that technology can be meaningfully transformative for public service delivery (Dunleavy et al., 2006; Fountain, 2001). Kenya's digital shift through eCitizen has widened access to services and eased some bureaucratic friction , though lingering issues remain, including ICT infrastructure gaps, weak systems integration, cybersecurity risks and uneven digital literacy.Finally, sustainable development theory highlights the need to tie economic, social, and environmental goals together (WCED, 1987; Sachs, 2015). Even if sustainable development ideas show up in policy structures, what happens on the ground has stayed limited, with poor linkage to climate change adaptation and not enough community involvement.

Comparative Analysis

Looking at how other countries handle tourism kind of gives useful lessons, and Kenya might benefit from that. Rwanda for example shows why strong institutional coordination matters, plus having clear mandates, and also effective marketing. It leans on a high-value low-volume style, focused on specific niche products, more like "tiny but premium" rather than mass arrivals (Rwanda Development Board, 2023). Botswana suggests that public-private partnerships are a big deal, and also that sustainable tourism development has to happen, alongside community benefit-sharing. Their approach stays high-value and low-volume, built around wildlife tourism as a main draw, pretty much centered on the animals and the landscape (Botswana Tourism Organisation, 2023). South Africa then emphasizes product diversification, destination management, and again institutional coordination (South African Tourism, 2023). Mauritius points to service excellence, plus quality assurance, and sustainability, so it feels like they treat the experience as a whole package (Mauritius Tourism Promotion Authority, 2023). Singapore shows similar threads, especially destination management, product innovation, and service excellence, like they refine and update constantly (Singapore Tourism Board, 2023).

So Kenya can learn by tightening institutional coordination a bit more, diversifying tourism products, raising service quality, and making sustainability practices stronger and more consistent

Reform Gaps, Emerging Challenges and Strategic Opportunities

Reform Gaps

Institutional Gaps: Weak coordination among tourism agencies, duplication of mandates, and fragmented service delivery (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023; Akama, 2002).

Governance Gaps: Weak accountability, political interference, and limited citizen participation (Government of Kenya, 2010; Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Policy Gaps: Outdated policies, weak enforcement, slow legislative reviews, and poor monitoring and evaluation (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Digital Gaps: Limited ICT infrastructure, poor systems integration, cybersecurity concerns, and limited digital literacy (Government of Kenya, 2023; Business Daily, 2025).

Financial Gaps: Inadequate funding, delayed budget releases, dependence on donor funding, and poor revenue diversification (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023; Kenya Wildlife Service, 2023).

Capacity Gaps: Inadequate staff capacity, skills mismatches, limited digital competencies, aging workforce, and low staff motivation (Public Service Commission, 2022).

Legislative Gaps: Outdated legislation, slow legislative reviews, and gaps in coverage of emerging issues (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Innovation Gaps: Limited innovation in public service delivery, weak research utilization, and inadequate investment in technology (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Research Gaps: Limited research on public service reforms, weak research-policy linkages, and inadequate research capacity (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

Climate Change Gaps: Inadequate integration of climate change adaptation into sector planning and budgeting (IPCC, 2022; Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023).

SWOT Analysis

A SWOT analysis offers like a pretty complete way to look at where Kenya's hospitality, tourism and wildlife sectors are right now, by kind of sorting internal strengths and weaknesses, then matching them with external openings and threats. This kind of tool helps policymakers and those involved in the sector to use what already works, to patch up weak points, to make the most of new possibilities as they show up, and also to soften the risks that might mess with sector results, and even the longer-term sustainability.

Table 1: SWOT Strengths and Weaknesses

Strengths	**Weaknesses**
Rich wildlife biodiversity	Fragmented institutions
World-renowned tourism destinations	Bureaucracy and slow implementation
Strong tourism brand	Skills gaps and limited capacity
UNESCO World Heritage Sites	Weak digital integration
Established legal framework	Inadequate funding
Growing domestic tourism	Weak monitoring and evaluation
Skilled hospitality workforce	Limited innovation
Strong conservation institutions	Poor coordination
Strategic geographical location	Policy implementation deficits
Existing digital government platforms	Low staff motivation
Robust training institutions	Aging workforce
International partnerships	Limited research utilization

Table 2: SWOT Opportunities and Threats

Opportunities	**Threats**
Smart tourism and AI	Climate change
Digitalization and e-services	Global pandemics
Eco-tourism and sustainable tourism	Terrorism and insecurity
Community conservancies	Global economic crises
Public-Private Partnerships	Political interference

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue VII July 2026

AfCFTA and regional tourism	Wildlife poaching
Blue Economy tourism	Habitat degradation
Sports and conference tourism	Competition from other destinations
Cultural and agro-tourism	Changing tourist preferences
Carbon credits and conservation financing	Travel restrictions
Innovation hubs and research	Budget cuts
Green economy and circular economy	Policy inconsistency

Emerging Challenges

Climate Change: Escalating threats to tourism infrastructure, wildlife habitats, and biodiversity, with inadequate integration of adaptation strategies (IPCC, 2022).

AI Governance and Digital Ethics: Rapid advancement of AI presents opportunities and challenges, requiring frameworks for responsible AI adoption that balance innovation with protection of rights and interests.

Cybersecurity: Increasing risks to data security and system integrity as digital services expand, requiring strengthened cybersecurity capacity and frameworks (Business Daily, 2025).

Biodiversity Loss: Threats to the sustainability of wildlife tourism and conservation gains, requiring integrated approaches addressing multiple drivers (Kenya Wildlife Service, 2023).

Skills Mismatch: Shortage of skills in digital technologies, data analysis, customer service, and other critical areas, requiring investment in education and training (Public Service Commission, 2022).

Over-tourism: Overcrowding in some destinations degrading natural and cultural assets, undermining visitor experiences, and creating tensions with local communities.

Financial Sustainability: Inadequate and unpredictable funding constraining implementation of reforms and service delivery, requiring sustainable financing mechanisms (Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife, 2023; Kenya Wildlife Service, 2023).

Public Trust: Erosion of public trust due to governance challenges, requiring transparency, accountability, and citizen engagement (Government of Kenya, 2010).

Future Opportunities

Smart Tourism and AI: Enhancing visitor experiences, improving operational efficiency, and supporting data-driven decision-making through artificial intelligence and digital technologies.

Digital Government: Improving service delivery, efficiency, and transparency through integrated information systems and digital payments (Business Daily, 2025).

Green Economy and Circular Economy: Reducing environmental footprint through eco-tourism, nature-based tourism, and sustainable practices.

Carbon Credits and Conservation Financing: Generating revenue for conservation through carbon credits, conservation trust funds, green bonds, and other innovative financing instruments.

Blue Economy: Developing marine and coastal tourism, including diving, snorkeling, boat tours, and other marine tourism activities.

Sports and Conference Tourism: Diversifying tourism products and generating revenue through sports events and business travel.

Cultural and Agro-Tourism: Attracting cultural tourists through Kenya's rich cultural heritage and generating income for rural communities through farm visits, agricultural experiences, and culinary tourism.

Innovation Hubs and Research: Supporting evidence-based policy and practice through research, innovation hubs, and knowledge transfer.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

The historical review shows that Kenya’s hospitality, tourism and wildlife sectors have changed a lot institutionally since independence in 1963. In the beginning the reforms were mostly about setting up base institutions and the necessary infrastructure, like the Kenya Tourist Development Corporation (1965) and those first tourism policy frameworks. After that, the focus leaned more toward protecting wildlife, so the hunting ban (1977) and the creation of the Kenya Wildlife Service (1989) became kind of big conservation milestones. Then in the 1990s, during the liberalization phase, the Kenya Tourist Board (1997) was put in place and private sector involvement increased, bit by bit. Moving into the 2000s, governance reforms were shaped by Kenya Vision 2030, and they brought Results-Based Management, Performance Contracting, plus stronger legislation, including the Tourism Act (2011) and the Wildlife Conservation and Management Act (2013). Later on, in the 2013–2022 era, devolution and digital changes decentralized tourism governance, and public digital services grew too through eCitizen platform, or at least, in a clearer way. Now, in the current reform window (2023–2026), attention is on digital transformation, sustainability, and a more citizen-centred approach to public services.

Even so, some problems haven’t disappeared. There is institutional fragmentation, where multiple agencies operate with overlapping responsibilities, and this still makes governance and service delivery less effective. Also, there are human resource constraints, such as skills mismatches, and limited digital know-how which weakens institutional functioning. Digital transformation has helped people access services, but it also comes with obstacles, including not enough ICT infrastructure, weak systems integration, and ongoing cybersecurity worries Climate change keeps throwing bigger, and almost nonstop threats at tourism assets, wildlife homes, and general biodiversity, but the adaptation playbook still feels not really stitched into everyday sector planning or budgeting. The COVID-19 pandemic also made it clear how fragile Kenya’s tourism sector is when global shocks hit, so it underlined the need for more resilience and better diversification. At the same time, new pressure points are showing up like AI governance, cybersecurity, and biodiversity loss and these need real attention, plus more proactive responses, not just talk.

Recommendations

Recommendations for Government

Governance and Institutional Reforms:

1. Establish a National Hospitality, Tourism and Wildlife Reform Coordination Council to harmonize policies, eliminate overlapping mandates, and coordinate implementation across ministries, state corporations, and county governments.

2. Undertake a comprehensive review of the Tourism Act, Wildlife Conservation and Management Act, and related legislation every five years to align them with emerging issues.

3. Institutionalize Results-Based Management and performance contracts with measurable service delivery indicators linked to citizen satisfaction.
4. Strengthen intergovernmental coordination mechanisms between national and county governments in tourism and wildlife governance.
5. Strengthen accountability and anti-corruption mechanisms in tourism and wildlife institutions.

Digital Transformation:

6. Develop a single integrated digital tourism platform connecting eCitizen, immigration, licensing, park entry, taxation, and investment services.
7. Adopt AI, big data analytics, and GIS for visitor management, wildlife monitoring, destination planning, and predictive decision-making.
8. Strengthen cybersecurity, data governance, and interoperability standards across all tourism and wildlife institutions.
9. Expand digital literacy programmes for public officers and citizens.

Human Capital Development:

10. Introduce mandatory annual digital competency training for public officers in tourism, hospitality, and wildlife.
11. Establish leadership development and succession planning programmes.
12. Partner with TVET institutions and universities to address skills gaps.
13. Improve staff motivation and retention through competitive remuneration and career development opportunities.

Sustainable Tourism and Conservation:

14. Diversify tourism products into cultural, sports, medical, agro-, marine, and conference tourism.
15. Increase investment in ecosystem restoration, climate adaptation, and community-based conservation.
16. Improve compensation mechanisms and benefit-sharing schemes for communities affected by human-wildlife conflict.
17. Strengthen destination management through destination management organizations and visitor management strategies.

Financing:

18. Increase public investment in tourism infrastructure and conservation.
19. Expand Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) for destination development.
20. Introduce conservation trust funds, carbon financing, and green bonds.

Recommendations for Industry

21. Invest in smart hospitality technologies, AI-enabled customer service, and digital booking systems.

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue VII July 2026

22. Adopt internationally recognized sustainability certifications and green practices.
23. Strengthen workforce development through continuous professional training.
24. Collaborate with government and academia in destination management, innovation, and data sharing.
25. Develop niche tourism products targeting domestic, regional, and international markets.

Recommendations for Research Institutions and Academia

26. Conduct interdisciplinary research on public sector reforms, digital government, sustainable tourism, and wildlife conservation.
27. Evaluate the impacts of reform initiatives using longitudinal and comparative studies.
28. Establish tourism and wildlife policy observatories to generate evidence for decision-making.
29. Revise curricula to include digital governance, AI, sustainability, data analytics, and innovation management.
30. Promote stronger knowledge transfer through policy briefs, innovation hubs, and collaborative research.

REFERENCES

1. Akama, J. S. (1999). The evolution of tourism in Kenya. Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 7(1), 6–25.
2. Akama, J. S. (2002). The role of government in the development of tourism in Kenya. International Journal of Tourism Research, 4(1), 1–14.
3. Akama, J. S., & Kieti, D. (2007). Tourism and socio-economic development in developing countries: A case study of Mombasa Resort in Kenya. Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 15(6), 735–748.
4. Botswana Tourism Organisation. (2023). Botswana Tourism Strategic Plan 2023–2028. Gaborone: Botswana Tourism Organisation.
5. Business Daily. (2025, August 30). Belio Kipsang on e-Citizen growth, transparency concerns and what the future holds for digital service delivery. Business Daily Africa.
6. Cheeseman, N. (2016). Kenya's devolution: Progress and challenges. Journal of Eastern African Studies, 10(3), 423–442.
7. Dunleavy, P., Margetts, H., Bastow, S., & Tinkler, J. (2006). Digital Era Governance: IT Corporations, the State, and E-Government. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
8. FAO. (2023). Kenya Tourist Development Corporation Act (Cap. 382). Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
9. Fountain, J. E. (2001). Building the Virtual State: Information Technology and Institutional Change. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
10. Government of Kenya. (1965). Kenya Tourist Development Corporation Act (Cap. 382). Nairobi: Government Printer.
11. Government of Kenya. (1969). Sessional Paper No. 8 of 1969: Tourism Development in Kenya. Nairobi: Government Printer.
12. Government of Kenya. (1989). Wildlife (Conservation and Management) (Amendment) Act, No. 16 of 1989. Nairobi: Government Printer.
13. Government of Kenya. (1997). Kenya Tourist Board Order, Legal Notice 14 of 1997. Nairobi: Government Printer.
14. Government of Kenya. (2003). Economic Recovery Strategy for Wealth and Employment Creation 2003–2007. Nairobi: Government Printer.
15. Government of Kenya. (2008). Kenya Vision 2030: A Globally Competitive and Prosperous Kenya. Nairobi: Government Printer.
16. Government of Kenya. (2010). The Constitution of Kenya, 2010. Nairobi: Government Printer.
17. Government of Kenya. (2011). Tourism Act, No. 28 of 2011. Nairobi: Government Printer.

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue VII July 2026

18. Government of Kenya. (2013). Wildlife Conservation and Management Act, No. 47 of 2013. Nairobi: Government Printer.
19. Government of Kenya. (2023). Fourth Medium Term Plan (MTP IV) 2023–2027. Nairobi: Government Printer.
20. Hood, C. (1991). A public management for all seasons? Public Administration, 69(1), 3–19.
21. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC]. (2022). Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
22. Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis [KIPPRA]. (2023). Tourism Policy Analysis. Nairobi: KIPPRA.
23. Kenya Law. (2023). Kenya Tourist Board Order, Legal Notice 14 of 1997. Nairobi: Kenya Law.
24. Kenya Wildlife Service. (2023). Strategic Plan 2023–2027. Nairobi: Kenya Wildlife Service.
25. Kenya Wildlife Conservancies Association [KWCA]. (2023). Community Conservancies in Kenya. Nairobi: KWCA.
26. Mauritius Tourism Promotion Authority. (2023). Mauritius Tourism Strategic Plan 2023–2028. Port Louis: Mauritius Tourism Promotion Authority.
27. Ministry of Tourism and Wildlife. (2023). Strategic Plan 2023–2027. Nairobi: Government Printer.
28. Natural Justice. (2025). From Land to Leadership: Community Conservancies in Kenya. Nairobi: Natural Justice.
29. North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
30. Oxford Business Group. (2018). State initiatives and infrastructure development support Kenyan tourism. Africa 2018. London: Oxford Business Group.
31. Public Service Commission. (2022). Public Service Human Resource Report 2022. Nairobi: Public Service Commission.
32. Rwanda Development Board. (2023). Rwanda Tourism Strategic Plan 2023–2028. Kigali: Rwanda Development Board.
33. Sachs, J. D. (2015). The Age of Sustainable Development. New York: Columbia University Press.
34. Scott, W. R. (2014). Institutions and Organizations: Ideas, Interests, and Identities (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
35. Sindiga, I. (1996). Domestic tourism in Kenya. Annals of Tourism Research, 23(1), 19–31.
36. Singapore Tourism Board. (2023). Singapore Tourism Strategic Plan 2023–2028. Singapore: Singapore Tourism Board.
37. South African Tourism. (2023). South Africa Tourism Strategic Plan 2023–2028. Johannesburg: South African Tourism.
38. Tanzania Tourist Board. (2023). Tanzania Tourism Strategic Plan 2023–2028. Dar es Salaam: Tanzania Tourist Board.
39. Tourism Regulatory Authority. (2023). About the Tourism Regulatory Authority. Nairobi: Tourism Regulatory Authority.
40. United Nations World Tourism Organization [UNWTO]. (2021). COVID-19 and Tourism: Impact and Response. Madrid: UNWTO.
41. United Nations World Tourism Organization [UNWTO]. (2024). World Tourism Barometer. Madrid: UNWTO.
42. Vision 2030. (2023). Tourism Policy, Legal and Institutional Reforms. Nairobi: Kenya Vision 2030 Delivery Secretariat.
43. Western, D. (1994). Ecosystem Conservation and Rural Development. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
44. Western, D., Russell, S., & Cuthill, I. (2009). The status of wildlife in protected areas compared to non-protected areas of Kenya. PLoS ONE, 4(7), e6140.
45. World Bank. (1994). Adjustment in Africa: Reforms, Results and the Road Ahead. Washington, DC: World Bank.
46. World Bank. (2023). Kenya Economic Update. Washington, DC: World Bank.
47. World Commission on Environment and Development [WCED]. (1987). Our Common Future. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue VII July 2026

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY

Ogweyo Peter Ogalo

Ogweyo Peter Ogalo is a distinguished Senior Vocational and Technical Trainer, Research and Innovation Leader, and Hospitality and Tourism Consultant with over five years of progressive experience in Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET), applied research management, public sector administration, and hospitality leadership. He currently serves as Assistant Director, Research at the Ministry of Public Service, Human Capital Development and Special Programmes – Public Service Reforms Directorate, where he provides strategic leadership, policy analysis, and evidence-based advisory to drive public service transformation initiatives in Kenya.

Mr. Ogalo holds a Master of Science in Hospitality Management from Mount Kenya University and is currently a PhD Candidate in Sustainable Tourism and Hospitality Management at Dedan Kimathi University of Technology (DeKUT). He holds a Postgraduate Diploma in Education from the University of Eldoret and a Bachelor of Science in Hospitality and Tourism Management from Pwani University. He is a licensed trainer registered with the Technical and Vocational Education and Training Authority (TVETA) and a professional member of the Tourism Professional Association (TPA) of Kenya.

Prior to his current appointment, Mr. Ogalo served at Baringo National Polytechnic in various senior leadership capacities, including Head of Department, Research Development and Innovation; Chairperson of the Research, Science, Technology and Innovation Committee; Principal Investigator for Training Needs Assessments; and Deputy Head of Department for Catering and Accommodation. He successfully led multi-million grant-funded projects in partnership with Colleges and Institutes Canada (CICan) and the Mastercard Foundation, overseeing institutional research policy development, grant mobilization, and stakeholder engagement.

Mr. Ogalo is a prolific author and researcher with numerous peer-reviewed publications in international journals and several authored books published by Lambert Academic Publishing. His research focuses on food and beverage management, food waste reduction, hospitality service delivery, online training effectiveness, and sustainable tourism development, public service reforms and leadership. He has presented his research at numerous international conferences and serves as a certified peer reviewer for several international journals, including the *Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology*, *Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science*, and the *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*.

His contributions to TVET and research have been recognized through multiple awards, including Best Trainer of the Year at Baringo National Polytechnic (2025), the Edupreneur of the Year Over 30 award, He also received international recognition from Colleges and Institutes Canada and the British Columbia Institute of Technology for his leadership excellence in Competency-Based Education and Training (CBET) development and delivery under the Young Africa Works program.

Mr. Ogalo possesses unique expertise in strategic research management, grant writing and fundraising, CBET curriculum development, stakeholder and partnership management, committee governance, data analysis and reporting, academic mentorship, and public sector administration. His work is driven by a deep commitment to sustainable development through education, innovation, and evidence-based policy reform.

Correspondence: Ogweyo Peter Ogalo, Ministry of Public Service, Human Capital Development and Special Programmes – Public Service Reforms Directorate, Nairobi, Kenya. Email: peterogwey48@gmail.com ; Mobile: +254 717 782 213.