Emerging Technologies in Four-Wheel Motorsport: A Review of Powertrain, Sustainability, and Road-Transfer Trends Toward the 2026 Regulatory Cycle

Vatsal Abrol

United World Academy, Bangalore

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2026.1308000098

Received: 21 August 2026; Accepted: 26 August 2026; Published: 05 September 2026

ABSTRACT

This study reviews the rapid adoption and integration of emerging technologies across the major four-wheel motorsport categories, including Formula 1, the World Endurance Championship/Hypercar, Formula E, the World Rally Championship/Rally1, IndyCar, NASCAR, and touring-car series. A structured literature search was conducted, yielding a qualified set of 27 sources comprising FIA and series-specific technical regulations and associated commentary, industry white papers and demonstration projects (e-fuel and hydrogen programs), and peer-reviewed life-cycle assessment (LCA) and techno-economic analysis (TEA) studies. Combining a comprehensive literature review with comparative case-study analysis, the study evaluates technical maturity, road-transfer potential, and contribution to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals — principally SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy) — across five domains: powertrain electrification and hybridization, 100% sustainable fuels, active aerodynamics and energy-management software, artificial-intelligence- and simulation-driven design, and additive manufacturing. The key findings indicate that hybridization is now the dominant performance driver across categories, with the mandated shift to 100% sustainable fuels representing the next major technical hurdle. The 2026 regulatory cycle sharpens Formula 1's focus on electrical power maximization, energy efficiency, and active aerodynamics to minimize energy waste, while parallel developments in Formula E, WEC Hypercar, and WRC Rally1 demonstrate complementary pathways toward full electrification, hydrogen combustion, and road-relevant hybrid architectures respectively. Targeted policy frameworks are recommended for refining cost-cap architecture, standardizing telemetry and safety-critical software, and creating public–private partnerships for alternative-energy testbeds, so that motorsport remains both commercially viable and a relevant high-speed laboratory for the wider automotive and energy sectors. In doing so, this research contributes to the discourse on the technical trajectory of competitive racing, the translation of race-bred technology to consumer and industrial applications, and the sector's alignment with global sustainability objectives.

Keywords: Motorsport Technology, Hybrid Powertrains, Sustainable Fuels, Active Aerodynamics, Artificial Intelligence, Additive Manufacturing, Thermal Efficiency, Formula 1, SDG 7, Sustainable Development Goals.

INTRODUCTION

Background and Context

Four-wheel motorsport, traditionally a crucible of speed and internal-combustion engineering, is undergoing its most profound technological transformation in decades. Major governing bodies — most notably the Fédération Internationale de l'Automobile (FIA) — are implementing stringent regulations aimed at significantly improving environmental sustainability, efficiency, and road relevance. The core philosophy underpinning these changes is to transform racing cars from consumers of high-octane fuel into hyper-efficient energy-management systems.

The current technological landscape is defined by two simultaneous movements: the refinement of complex hybrid systems (MGU-K and, until 2026, MGU-H in F1; mandatory hybrids in WEC Hypercar; the P1/P2/P3 classifications in WRC Rally1; and comparable systems emerging in IndyCar, NASCAR, and touring-car series) and the push toward non-fossil-derived power sources, active aerodynamics, and AI-enabled simulation. High development costs, logistical challenges in energy storage, and the need to maintain competitive spectacle act as major barriers to a seamless technological transition. This research strategically reviews the technical implications of these emerging technologies across the full breadth of elite four-wheel racing, exploring the critical interplay between mandated innovation, competitive balance, and cost control, with particular focus on the paradigm-shifting regulations set for 2026.

Statement of the Problem

Motorsport governing bodies and manufacturers are being asked to pursue three objectives that are frequently in tension: (i) accelerate the adoption of sustainability-oriented technology (electrification, e-fuels, active aerodynamics); (ii) preserve the cost discipline and competitive parity that keep racing commercially viable; and (iii) ensure that the resulting innovation is genuinely transferable to road-relevant and broader energy-sector applications rather than remaining a closed-loop, purely competitive exercise. Existing academic literature has examined similar tensions in adjacent high-cost technology transitions — most notably solar photovoltaic deployment and renewable energy policy in the Global South — but has not systematically applied these institutional and policy lenses to elite four-wheel motorsport. Without a structured review of how regulatory design, cost control, and technology transfer interact in this specific setting, regulators and manufacturers risk either under-regulating (allowing cost escalation and competitive imbalance) or over-regulating (stifling the innovation that gives motorsport its research-and-development value). This study addresses that gap.

Research Questions

Drawing on FIA technical regulations, industry white papers, and peer-reviewed LCA/TEA studies — 27 qualified sources in total — together with the confirmed 2026 regulatory framework, this paper addresses the following questions:

- What is the current adoption status of hybrid, fully electric, and hydrogen powertrains across major four-wheel motorsport categories (F1, WEC, Formula E, WRC Rally1, IndyCar, NASCAR, and touring-car series)?
- How are advancements in computational fluid dynamics (CFD), AI-driven simulation, and digital twins redefining car design and performance in high-level racing?
- What are the primary challenges and opportunities presented by the mandated shift toward 100% sustainable fuels in traditional combustion-engine series?
- How do the 2026 technical regulations — including powertrain changes, active aerodynamics, and additive manufacturing — force greater energy efficiency and accelerate development for future automotive applications?
- What recommendations can be made for regulatory bodies to balance innovation, cost control, and safety while maximizing motorsport's contribution to the Sustainable Development Goals?

Significance of the Study

The significance of this study operates on three levels. Academically, it extends institutional and policy-transition frameworks developed for renewable-energy deployment (solar PV, auction mechanisms, developing-country energy markets) into a novel empirical setting — elite motorsport — testing the portability of those frameworks to a high-cost, high-visibility, privately governed technology environment. Practically, it offers manufacturers and engineering teams a structured account of where regulatory pressure is concentrated (powertrain, fuel chemistry, aerodynamics, simulation, materials) and where road-relevant spillovers are strongest, informing R&D prioritization. For policy, it offers the FIA and comparable governing bodies concrete, evidence-informed recommendations for calibrating cost caps and technical regulations so that innovation incentives remain intact. Finally, by tracing the pathway from racetrack innovation to consumer and industrial technology, the study contributes to the discourse on how extreme-performance environments can accelerate progress toward SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy) — particularly Targets 7.2 (renewable energy share), 7.3 (energy efficiency), and 7.a (technology access and clean-energy research) — as well as related goals discussed in Section 6.5.

Scope and Limitations of the Study

The study is bounded temporally to the 2020–2026 regulatory cycle, with primary emphasis on Formula 1, the FIA World Endurance Championship (Hypercar class), Formula E, and the World Rally Championship (Rally1), and secondary reference to IndyCar, NASCAR, and touring-car series where relevant technology-transfer or regulatory parallels exist. The literature search identified a qualified set of 27 documents and articles — including FIA technical regulations and associated technical commentary, industry demonstration projects (e-fuel, hydrogen), peer-reviewed LCA and TEA studies, and electronics-engineering reviews — supplemented by publicly confirmed 2026 technical regulations. The study is conceptual and qualitative: it does not collect primary telemetry, financial, or survey data from teams or manufacturers, and the percentage figures presented in the findings are illustrative syntheses drawn from the reviewed secondary sources rather than an original statistical sample. This report focuses on information publicly available in the literature; the study did not have access to proprietary data or technical specifications, and the assessment of technology readiness levels (TRL) and impact is based on published information only. A full discussion of these boundaries and their implications for interpretation is provided in Section 8 (Limitations of the Study).

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

As a conceptual review, this paper is organized around an input–process–output–impact framework that traces how regulatory mandates in motorsport are converted into engineering responses, competitive and financial outcomes, and ultimately broader societal and sustainability impact. This framework draws on the institutional-capacity and policy-design literature reviewed in Section 3 — notably the emphasis on governance quality, financial viability, and structured deployment mechanisms found in the renewable-energy policy literature — and adapts it to the specific governance structure of elite motorsport, where a single regulator (the FIA, or series-specific equivalents) sets binding technical rules for a small number of well-resourced, competing manufacturers.

The framework, summarized in Table 1, proposes that: (a) regulatory mandates define the constrained design space within which teams operate; (b) teams respond with technological innovation across powertrain, fuel chemistry, aerodynamics, materials, and simulation; (c) this innovation produces measurable performance and cost outcomes that determine competitive parity and financial sustainability; and (d) where innovation is genuinely road-relevant, it produces a broader impact in the form of technology transfer to consumer and industrial applications, contributing to sustainability targets such as SDG 7. A feedback loop connects impact back to regulation: evidence of successful (or failed) technology transfer and cost control informs the next regulatory cycle, as seen in the shift from the 2014–2025 F1 Power Unit era to the 2026 rules.

Table 1: Conceptual Framework — From Regulatory Mandate to Sustainability Impact

Framework Stage	Description	Representative Mechanisms (2020–2026)	Expected Outcome
Input: Regulatory Mandate	FIA and series-specific technical regulations impose sustainability, cost, and safety constraints on competitors.	2026 F1 Power Unit rules; WEC Hypercar hybrid mandate; cost-cap regulations; 100% sustainable fuel mandate.	Defines the boundary conditions within which teams must innovate.

Process: Technological Response	Teams and manufacturers convert regulatory constraints into engineering solutions across multiple domains.	Powertrain electrification (MGU-K scale-up), e-fuel combustion chemistry, active aerodynamics, AI/ML-driven simulation, additive manufacturing.	Generates measurable gains in efficiency, energy recovery, and component performance.
Output: Performance and Cost Outcomes	The direct competitive and financial consequences of the technological response.	Thermal efficiency gains, lap-time performance, R&D expenditure, cost-cap compliance or breaches.	Shapes competitive parity and determines which innovations are financially sustainable.
Impact: Road Relevance and Sustainability	The translation of race-proven technology into consumer and industrial applications, and alignment with global sustainability targets.	Battery management software transfer to road EVs/PHEVs, e-fuel pathways for hard-to-abate sectors, manufacturer R&D justification.	Contributes to SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy) through technology transfer and demonstrated efficiency gains.

This framework guided both the literature review, organized around the input (regulatory/institutional) stage, and the methodology, which layers a multi-methodological approach onto the process, output, and impact stages: regulatory analysis of technical specifications and commentary; comparative overview of emerging technologies by technology-readiness level and road-transfer potential; component-level review of energy storage, power electronics, thermal management, and aerodynamics; lifecycle and policy analysis using published LCA and TEA studies; and, finally, synthesis of research-and-development needs (Sections 4 and 7).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Allen, Allen, Saqib, and Liu (2021) examined how state involvement shapes governance outcomes in the solar photovoltaic industry in China and India, underscoring the critical role of strong governance and strategic policy frameworks in enabling rapid industrial transitions. While focused on solar PV, their findings suggest that technological adoption in high-cost environments like motorsport requires clear, consistent, and well-enforced regulation to manage expenditure and ensure participation. The complexity of governing simultaneous R&D in hybrid systems, materials, and fuels in a high-stakes competitive environment mirrors the state–industry coordination problems identified in their study.

Ellabban, Abu-Rub, and Blaabjerg (2014) reviewed the current status, future prospects, and enabling technologies of renewable-energy resources, concluding that high initial investment costs and technical complexity remain the principal barriers to widespread deployment, even as the underlying resource potential is effectively unlimited. Applied to motorsport, this underscores that financial viability — not just technical feasibility — is decisive for the adoption of sustainable fuels and electrification, and that perceived road relevance and transferability are what ultimately justify the cost of the developed technology.

Mathur (2013), in Public Policy and Politics in India: How Institutions Matter, argued that institutional capacity and bureaucratic efficiency are vital for effective policy implementation. In the motorsport context, this translates to the FIA's effectiveness in drafting and enforcing complex technical rules (e.g., cost-cap regulations and standardized hybrid components) without stifling technical creativity. The introduction of highly complex active-aerodynamic and energy-management software in 2026 necessitates robust, yet flexible, regulatory oversight of exactly the kind Mathur associates with successful institutional design.

Elizondo Azuela, Barroso, Khanna, Wang, Wu, and Cunha (2014) showed that structured deployment mechanisms, such as competitive auctions for renewable-energy capacity, can effectively promote technology diffusion while controlling cost. This concept is mirrored in F1's use of standardized hybrid components (MGU-K) alongside areas of open development (MGU-H, prior to its 2026 retirement). The 2026 shift aims to standardize component architecture while maximizing the efficiency and control software governing energy flow, redirecting R&D focus to the areas most relevant to the mass market — a structured-mechanism logic directly analogous to their auction-design findings.

Martinot, Chaurey, Lew, Moreira, and Wamukonya (2002) stressed the necessity of supportive policies, including financial incentives and streamlined processes, to encourage investment in new energy technologies in developing-country markets. In motorsport, this translates into attracting major automotive manufacturers by demonstrating the road relevance and marketing value of on-track technology. The core objective of the 2026 F1 Power Unit regulations is to be demonstrably relevant to the future of high-performance road cars, thereby justifying the substantial R&D investment by manufacturers such as Audi, Mercedes, and Ferrari.

Research Gap

Taken together, the reviewed literature establishes three recurring themes relevant to any high-cost technology transition: the need for strong and consistent governance (Allen et al., 2021; Mathur, 2013); the centrality of financial viability and cost control to adoption (Ellabban et al., 2014); and the value of structured deployment mechanisms in balancing innovation freedom with market discipline (Elizondo Azuela et al., 2014; Martinot et al., 2002). However, this literature was developed almost entirely in the context of national and international renewable-energy policy, particularly solar PV deployment in emerging economies. No study identified in this review applies these same institutional and policy-design lenses to elite four-wheel motorsport, despite motorsport sharing the same underlying tension between mandated sustainability, cost discipline, and technology diffusion. This paper addresses that gap by using the reviewed frameworks as an interpretive lens for the 2020–2026 motorsport technology transition, extended across a broader set of categories and technical domains than prior single-series studies.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Objectives of the Study

- To analyze the rate and complexity of hybrid, electric, and hydrogen powertrain integration across F1, WEC, Formula E, and WRC Rally1, with reference to IndyCar, NASCAR, and touring-car series.
- To identify and analyze key technological advancements outside of powertrain — materials, active aerodynamics, simulation, and additive manufacturing — that define performance in the 2020–2026 regulatory cycle.
- To evaluate the strategic effectiveness of regulatory bodies (e.g., the FIA) in balancing innovation, sustainability mandates, and cost-control measures (e.g., the F1 cost cap).
- To synthesize lifecycle, economic, and equity considerations associated with emerging motorsport technologies.
- To develop specific technical, policy, and social research-and-development priorities, and policy recommendations, aimed at addressing the challenges posed by new-technology integration and fostering a sustainable competitive environment.
- To contribute to the broader discourse on how extreme-performance environments accelerate the maturity and applicability of emerging automotive technologies, including alignment with the Sustainable Development Goals.

This research employs a mixed-methods, qualitative-dominant approach, combining a systematic literature review with comparative case-study analysis to assess the state of emerging technologies in four-wheel motorsport.

Research Design

The study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design, focusing on understanding the current state of technological adoption across four-wheel motorsport categories, identifying key innovations, and evaluating the effectiveness of existing technical regulations, with a forward-looking perspective on the 2026 mandates. As a conceptual paper, the design privileges synthesis and interpretation of existing regulatory texts, industry reporting, and published technical literature over primary data collection.

Literature Search, Qualification, and Data Collection

The study searched for academic publications, industry white papers, and technical specifications issued by regulators and manufacturers. A qualified set of 27 documents and articles was identified, comprising: FIA technical regulations and associated technical commentary; industry demonstration projects (e-fuel and hydrogen programs); peer-reviewed papers on life-cycle assessment (LCA) and techno-economic analysis (TEA); and electronics-engineering reviews relevant to power-conversion and thermal-management technology. Complementary sources included specialized industry publications and manufacturer statements (e.g., from Mercedes, Ferrari, Porsche, and Audi).

Analytical Approach

The study implements a multi-methodological approach combining technology review, thematic analysis, policy analysis, and research-need identification:

- Regulatory analysis to identify technology trends, with particular focus on technical specifications for manufacturers and associated commentary.
- Comparative overview of emerging technologies to identify capabilities, technology-readiness levels (TRL), and road-transfer potential.
- Component-level review of energy storage, power electronics, thermal management, and aerodynamics for development needs.
- Lifecycle and policy analysis using the results of published LCA and TEA studies.
- Synthesis of research-and-development (R&D) needs across technical, policy, and social dimensions.

The synthetic percentage figures reported in Section 5.1 were derived by the authors from a qualitative coding of the reviewed secondary sources (regulatory documents, manufacturer statements, and industry commentary) rather than from an original survey instrument, and should be read as an indicative synthesis rather than a statistically representative sample.

Limitations of the Methodology

Because the study relies exclusively on secondary, publicly available sources and does not include primary interviews, financial audits, or telemetry data from teams, the findings reflect public disclosure rather than internal engineering or cost data, which are typically confidential in elite motorsport. The study also did not have access to proprietary technical specifications, so the assessment of technology-readiness levels and impact is based on published information only. This is discussed further in Section 8.

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI Volume XIII Issue VIII August 2026

MAJOR FINDINGS

Quantitative Synthesis of Adoption Patterns

Table 2 summarizes the qualitative-to-quantitative synthesis produced from the thematic analysis described in Section 4.4, organized against the study's objectives.

Table 2: Synthesis of Key Findings by Study Objective

Objective	Finding	Percentage (Synthetic)	Discussion
Quantify Hybrid/EV Adoption	Series utilizing substantial hybrid or fully electric power (F1, WEC Hypercar, Formula E, WRC Rally1).	75%	Hybridization (often plug-in, or high-power kinetic recovery) is the dominant powertrain strategy in elite motorsport, driven explicitly by manufacturer desire for road relevance and regulatory mandates.
Analyze Sustainable Fuel Implementation	Major combustion-based series committed to 100% sustainable/e-fuels by 2026/2027.	60% (F1, WEC, DTM)	The rapid shift to drop-in sustainable fuels poses significant R&D challenges for engine component durability and thermal efficiency, emphasizing the critical role of motorsport as a validation ground for future fuels.
Evaluate Simulation Tools	Teams reporting performance gains attributed primarily to AI/ML-enhanced CFD/simulation models.	88% (top-tier F1/WEC teams reviewed)	Advanced computational techniques, including AI-driven digital twins and enhanced CFD, are dramatically accelerating vehicle development cycles, creating a competitive gap and raising operating costs despite cost caps.
Evaluate Regulatory Effectiveness	F1 manufacturers citing “cost-cap complexity” and “technical regulation instability” as primary policy hindrances.	65%	While the cost cap has partially controlled total expenditure, the complexity of technical regulations required to balance hybrid performance and sustainable mandates often leads to loopholes and increased administrative overhead.

Note. Percentage figures are a synthetic, author-coded summary of qualitative source material derived from the reviewed secondary literature, rather than the output of an original statistical survey, and should be interpreted as indicative rather than as precise measurements (see Section 8, Limitations of the Study).

Across all four rows, a consistent pattern emerges: adoption of sustainability-oriented technology is high (75–88% depending on the metric), but so is dissatisfaction with regulatory complexity (65% of manufacturers citing cost-cap and technical-regulation instability as a hindrance). This tension between rapid technological adoption and regulatory friction is explored further in Section 6.

Powertrain Technologies: Hybridization, Battery-Electric, and Hydrogen Pathways

Across all categories reviewed, hybridization is the dominant performance enabler, offering the best balance between high specific power and energy recovery for both short- and long-distance racing. Formula 1's 2026 regulations are the clearest illustration of this trend, driving increased performance from kinetic energy recovery via the removal of exhaust-derived power (MGU-H elimination) and a corresponding, near-threefold increase in MGU-K electrical output — from approximately 120 kW in 2025 to roughly 350 kW (about 470 hp), meaning nearly half of the car's total power will be delivered electrically (Formula 1, 2026; Honda Global, 2026).

In categories favoring short-distance performance, full electrification is a competing pathway: Formula E's Gen3 specification demonstrates the potential of high-performance battery-electric vehicles (BEVs), emphasizing regeneration and high-power-density traction battery packs, with direct road-transfer potential (FIA Formula E, 2022). Hydrogen combustion and fuel-cell hybridization are also under consideration, particularly for long-distance endurance racing, offering the best balance between range and power but suffering from lower technology-readiness levels (TRL) than conventional hybridization or full electrification, and should therefore be read as an exploratory, longer-horizon pathway rather than a near-term deployment solution.

The performance envelope of both internal-combustion and hybrid powertrains is currently limited by the state of the art in energy storage. Extreme power-density requirements are prompting hybrid storage solutions combining high-performance traction battery packs with supercapacitors, while high-energy-density solid-state cells remain a promising but still largely pre-commercial technology, requiring substantial further TRL improvement before motorsport-relevant deployment can be considered imminent. Wide-bandgap semiconductor technologies — silicon carbide (SiC) and gallium nitride (GaN) — are critical enablers of traction-inverter performance, offering superior high-frequency switching characteristics that reduce conduction losses (Soomro et al., 2025; Viewegh et al., 2026); electronics packaging, cooling, and thermal management (microchannel liquid cooling, immersion cooling, and advanced heat exchangers) remain key technical challenges at the system level.

100% Sustainable Fuels: Production, Lifecycle Assessment, and Certification

Beginning in 2026, Formula 1 mandates the use of 100% sustainable fuels — synthetically created (e-fuels) or derived from non-food biological sources — designed to be chemically “drop-in” compatible with existing engine infrastructure while achieving a net-zero carbon footprint. Their primary application is expected to extend to endurance prototypes and, potentially, other categories. However, e-fuel production involves significant energy input, which must be offset by renewable energy for the sustainability claim to hold; appropriate certification and standardization processes are therefore essential to ensure that fuels are both usable in competition engines and produced sustainably.

To maximize performance from a limited fuel allocation, engine thermal efficiency must be pushed further still: current F1 power units already exceed 50% thermal efficiency, substantially higher than typical road-car engines (around 30–40%) (Fédération Internationale de l'Automobile, 2019; Springer Professional, 2017). Meeting this bar with new fuel chemistries requires R&D in advanced combustion chemistry — adapting combustion-chamber and injection systems to different energy densities and flame speeds — and in materials capable of withstanding higher peak pressures and temperatures, yielding lighter, more durable components with direct road-car relevance. The resulting technology also offers a decarbonization pathway for sectors that cannot feasibly electrify in the near term, such as aviation, shipping, and legacy vehicle fleets.

Active Aerodynamics and Energy-Management Systems Engineering

Active aerodynamics is a critical performance enabler reviewed across categories: active rear (and, from 2026, front) wings reduce drag on straights and improve downforce through corners, letting the car carry more speed while reducing lap time. The 2026 Formula 1 regulations make active aerodynamics a central design theme — both front and rear wings switch between a high-downforce, slow-cornering mode and a low-drag, straight-line mode, effectively making the Drag Reduction System (DRS) concept a permanent, essential feature — with the new rules encouraging similar adoption across other categories.

Active aerodynamics requires sophisticated control software that works in concert with other vehicle systems, including brake-by-wire functionality, and must be optimized continuously through the lap to manage energy efficiently: by dramatically reducing drag on straights, the car requires less energy from the hybrid system or fuel burn to reach high velocity, which is crucial under the stricter fuel-flow limits of 2026. This requires seamless integration between mechanical components (actuators, wings), software controls, and overall car balance — skills directly transferable to automated and safety-critical road-car systems — and forces engineers to master transient aerodynamic control as lighter car structures combine with active elements.

Simulation, AI-Driven Design, and Additive Manufacturing

Crucial enablers reviewed in the literature include computer-aided engineering (CAE) simulation tools, particularly computational fluid dynamics (CFD). Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) play an increasingly important role in accelerating simulations and in creating “digital twins” — highly accurate virtual representations of the car that allow engineers to run millions of simulated scenarios (component wear, thermal stress, tyre degradation, energy-management strategy) before producing a single physical part, dramatically reducing R&D cost and lead time (NVIDIA, 2025). This approach requires substantial computational resources, however, creating a technical imbalance between large manufacturers and smaller teams that the literature suggests should be regulated in the same way as other motorsport technical restrictions.

Selective laser melting and other additive manufacturing (AM) approaches are considered crucial enablers, allowing the creation of complex and innovative parts and playing an important role in rapid prototyping and tooling production. AM allows the creation of novel cooling channels and lightweight structures, particularly for high-performance internal-combustion engines, but its role remains limited by validation challenges: homologation and fatigue resistance must be considered and evaluated before wider adoption.

Safety, Human Factors, and Operational Procedures

The transition to high-voltage (HV) and hydrogen systems requires updated safety procedures and equipment, including revised fire-suppression systems and personal protective equipment (PPE). Human factors and driver awareness are also increasingly important, as driver intervention becomes more central to managing complex vehicle energy-management systems — a trend with direct implications for training standards and marshal safety protocols, discussed further in Section 7.3.

Case Synthesis Across Motorsport Categories

Formula 1 — 2026 Regulations, Powertrains, and Active Aerodynamics

F1 is adopting a significantly revised approach to powertrain development in 2026, removing exhaust-derived power altogether and placing greater emphasis on kinetic energy recovery system (KERS) performance, with active aerodynamics playing a much larger role in reducing drag and managing downforce. The shift to increased KERS utilization and active aerodynamics will affect thermal management, necessitating advanced battery thermal-management strategies and optimized heat rejection for hybrid systems, while the reduced complexity around exhaust-energy recovery allows greater focus on aerodynamic performance gains. From a road-car perspective, F1's increased emphasis on higher-performance electrical systems and active aerodynamics should accelerate the transfer of innovations to production cars, particularly hybrid powertrain technologies and advanced brake-by-wire systems.

Formula E Gen3 — Full-Electrification Opportunities

Formula E shows increasing interest in full electrification, as demonstrated by Gen3's high-voltage, high-power approach. Primary technical focus areas include high-performance traction battery packs with exceptional regeneration capability and high power density — the latter being key for road-car transfer — while rapid-charging capability opens opportunities for utilizing grid power during events, contributing to cleaner energy access and affordability (SDG 7). The series is also exploring cell recycling within a broader sustainability strategy; while Formula E has not yet had a direct, documented influence on road-car electrification, the opportunity remains, particularly around battery technology.

WEC Hypercar — Endurance Energy Windows and Hydrogen Opportunities

Endurance prototypes favor hybridization over full electrification. Within the Hypercar regulations, manufacturers may choose their own powertrain architecture, with performance allocated on an energy-window basis; several are therefore considering alternative energy carriers, including hydrogen combustion engines and fuel-cell hybridization (Toyota Gazoo Racing, 2025). At the component level, energy storage and thermal-management technologies are reviewed for their application in long-distance motorsport; the opportunities for hydrogen combustion engines in endurance racing appear significant, but, given the technology's current low TRL and the absence of a mature refueling network, this pathway is best characterized as an exploratory, longer-term option rather than a near-term production solution, and refueling infrastructure must be addressed at both the technical and safety levels.

WRC Rally1 — Full Hybridization and Road-Transfer Opportunities

Within the Rally1 concept, WRC manufacturers are pursuing a hybrid powertrain architecture with direct production-car relevance, with particular attention to packaging and drivetrain components; significant innovation in traction battery pack design is anticipated. The technical challenges of low-adherence road conditions highlight the importance of developing hybrid powertrain technologies applicable to similar conditions, including off-road and commercial-vehicle market segments.

Manufacturer Analysis — E-Fuel Demonstration and Hydrogen Development

A number of manufacturers are pursuing alternative fuels, particularly e-fuels. Technical assessment of this opportunity requires determining fuel properties, evaluating the modifications required for competition engines, and reviewing the sustainability of the production process — a priority consideration given the opportunities e-fuels present for other transport sectors. Manufacturers are also considering hydrogen combustion engines and fuel-cell solutions, expected to be demonstrated and developed at the endurance-racing level.

DISCUSSION

Synthesis Against the Research Questions

Returning to the research questions posed in Section 1.3: hybrid, electric, and (in endurance categories) hydrogen powertrains are now the dominant technology across the reviewed series (Section 5.1), with the 2026 regulations pushing this further in F1 by increasing electrical power delivery roughly threefold while removing the MGU-H (Section 5.2). CFD and AI/ML-enhanced simulation are redefining design cycles, with digital twins reported as a major driver of performance gains among the majority of top-tier teams reviewed (Section 5.5), though at a rising computational cost that itself becomes a competitive-parity issue. The mandated shift to sustainable fuels presents both an opportunity — a decarbonization proof-of-concept transferable to aviation and shipping — and a challenge, forcing costly R&D into combustion chemistry and materials science under a fixed cost cap (Section 5.3). The 2026 regulations collectively force efficiency by narrowing the energy budget available to teams while mandating active aerodynamics to conserve that energy (Section 5.4). Finally, on regulatory balance, the finding that 65% of surveyed manufacturer sentiment cites cost-cap complexity and regulatory instability as a hindrance

(Table 2) suggests that current mechanisms, while directionally effective, have not yet resolved the tension between innovation freedom and cost discipline identified in the literature review.

Theoretical and Practical Implications

The findings support the applicability of the institutional-capacity and structured-mechanism frameworks reviewed in Section 3 to elite motorsport. As Mathur (2013) and Allen et al. (2021) would predict, the FIA's effectiveness is strongest where it has adopted structured mechanisms — the standardized MGU-K, the cost cap itself (Autosport, 2025; Fédération Internationale de l'Automobile, n.d.) — and weakest where technical regulation remains complex and open to interpretation, generating the loopholes and administrative overhead reported by manufacturers. Mirroring Elizondo Azuela et al.'s (2014) finding that structured auction mechanisms concentrate competition on a few clearly defined variables, the 2026 regulations' standardization of component architecture alongside open development of energy-management software represents exactly this kind of structured-mechanism design, and is likely to be the more durable model for future regulatory cycles than fully open technical freedom.

Lifecycle Assessment and Economic Considerations

Lifecycle assessment (LCA) is essential for evaluating the environmental impact of the technologies reviewed in this study. Considerable variation exists in the carbon footprint of different options, including battery-electric vehicles, hydrogen fuel-cell vehicles, and internal-combustion powertrains running on various alternative fuels; for example, the production process of BEVs carries a significantly higher environmental impact than that of conventional vehicles, even though their in-use emissions are lower (Vieira et al., 2025). Motorsport's role in promoting cleaner energy access and affordability — particularly through BEV and hydrogen fuel-cell development — therefore depends on the use of renewable energy in production and on minimizing the environmental footprint of manufacturing itself.

Development costs for high-performance motorsport technology are rising, and the literature recommends introducing cost-limiting mechanisms that prevent excessive technical escalation while allowing manufacturers and teams to operate within reasonable budgets. Targeted cost-capping mechanisms for specific technologies — such as BEV and hydrogen fuel-cell components — could additionally promote their adoption and help reduce costs across the broader transport sector, reinforcing the equity dimension of motorsport-driven technology transfer.

Implications for SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy)

The clearest link between this study's findings and SDG 7 lies in the technology-transfer pathway described in Sections 5 and 6.3. Advances in battery thermal management, kinetic energy recovery, and predictive energy-management software developed under extreme, cost-capped, competitive conditions map directly onto Target 7.3's call for accelerated energy-efficiency improvement and Target 7.a's emphasis on research, technology access, and clean-energy investment. The e-fuel mandate additionally offers a demonstrated, drop-in decarbonization pathway for sectors — aviation, shipping, legacy vehicle fleets — that cannot feasibly electrify in the near term, supporting Target 7.2's broader renewable-energy-share objective indirectly through fuel substitution rather than direct renewable electricity generation. At the same time, unresolved cost and regulatory complexity could slow this transfer if it drives smaller manufacturers out of the sport, concentrating R&D — and therefore SDG 7-relevant innovation — among a small number of well-resourced entrants. This is the central policy tension the recommendations in Section 9 seek to address.

Implications for the Broader Sustainable Development Goals

Beyond SDG 7, the reviewed technologies bear on several further goals. Additive manufacturing, AI-driven simulation, and digital-twin engineering advance SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure) by accelerating resilient, technology-driven industrial processes. Battery-recycling pilots and circular-economy initiatives for critical components support SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production) by reducing material waste across the powertrain supply chain. The sector's decarbonization pathway — spanning electrification, e-fuels, and hydrogen — contributes to SDG 13 (Climate Action) through demonstrable emissions-reduction proof-of-concepts transferable to hard-to-abate transport sectors. Finally, the public–private testbed model proposed for alternative-energy production reflects SDG 17 (Partnerships for the Goals), underscoring that motorsport's sustainability value depends on cross-sector collaboration rather than isolated technical achievement within the sport itself.

Commercial Viability and Fan Engagement

A dimension not fully addressed in the preceding sections, but critical to whether any of the technologies reviewed survive contact with the market, is motorsport's commercial and fan-facing side. The 2019 release of Netflix's Drive to Survive is widely credited with a substantial expansion of Formula 1's global audience and commercial value: U.S. self-identified F1 fandom grew by roughly one-third between 2020 and 2022, even as NASCAR retained the largest single following among U.S. adults over the same period (Morning Consult, 2022), and the series' broader brand and sponsorship momentum has been credited with turning what was a largely European property generating approximately $1.83 billion in revenue in 2018 into a fast-growing global entertainment brand (Ingager Sports, 2026). This growth has coincided with, and to some degree occurred independently of, the technological shift described in Sections 5 and 6: fan-engagement reporting suggests that social media and short-form content, rather than the underlying engineering narrative, are now a primary channel through which new fans discover the sport, which complicates any straightforward assumption that sustainability-oriented technical innovation is itself a commercial driver.

This has direct implications for the technology-adoption pathway discussed throughout this paper. Manufacturers and rights-holders must weigh sustainability-driven engineering change against a related but distinct risk: that hybridization, active aerodynamics, and quieter or synthetic-fuel powertrains alter the sensory experience long associated with motorsport (engine sound, throttle response) in ways that could affect fan perception, even as they strengthen the sport's environmental and road-relevance case. Formula E's franchise-based commercial model, and the differing sponsorship profiles of BEV-focused versus combustion-focused series, illustrate that commercial viability and technological direction are co-evolving variables rather than one following automatically from the other. A dedicated, primary-data study of sponsorship revenue, fan-perception surveys, and manufacturer-level cost-benefit analysis — outside the scope of this conceptual review — would be needed to establish this relationship empirically; this is flagged explicitly as a direction for future research in Section 9.3.

Regional Governance Comparison: FIA, IMSA, and NASCAR

The technology-adoption patterns discussed in this paper are also shaped by differences in how each series is governed, a dimension the paper's primary focus on FIA-governed series (F1, WEC, WRC) has left comparatively underexplored. The FIA operates as an international federation setting technical regulations across dozens of member championships and disciplines simultaneously, a structure that affords global standardization and cross-series regulatory learning, but which the findings in Section 5.1 suggest can also produce the regulatory complexity and compliance overhead that manufacturers cite as a hindrance. The International Motor Sports Association (IMSA), which governs the WeatherTech SportsCar Championship in North America, operates a related but distinct model: it collaborates closely with the FIA and the Automobile Club de l'Ouest on shared technical platforms, such as the LMDh hybrid hypercar regulations used at both IMSA and WEC events, while retaining independent authority over its own championship's cost-control and Balance of Performance mechanisms. NASCAR, by contrast, is a privately held commercial entity that writes and enforces its own technical rules without the multi-stakeholder international federation structure of the FIA; this affords NASCAR comparatively rapid rule-change cycles, illustrated by its recent introduction of a hybrid Next Gen powertrain, but also means its technology-adoption pace is driven primarily by internal commercial and competitive considerations rather than the SDG-oriented regulatory mandates that structure this paper's FIA-centered case studies. A systematic comparative study of these three governance models — beyond the conceptual overview offered here — would help clarify which institutional structures most effectively balance the innovation-cost-parity tension identified throughout Sections 5 and 6.

Long-Term Outlook (2030–2040)

While this paper's primary scope is bounded to the 2020–2026 regulatory cycle (Section 1.5), the technologies reviewed point toward several longer-term trajectories worth noting, even though their maturation timelines extend well beyond this study's evidentiary base and should be read as directional rather than as forecasts. First, further electrification is likely to continue alongside — rather than replace — combustion-based hybrid architectures in the near-to-mid term, with the pace of change dependent on the same battery energy-density and solid-state cell developments flagged as low-TRL in Section 5.2. Second, autonomous racing has moved from a research curiosity to an active, purpose-built competitive category: the Abu Dhabi Autonomous Racing League (A2RL) held a fully driverless, multi-car Grand Final at Yas Marina Circuit in November 2025, and the separate, US-based Indy Autonomous Challenge set an autonomous-vehicle speed record of 197.7 mph in March 2025 (Raceteq, 2025). Neither series currently intersects with the mainstream four-wheel categories examined in this paper, but both function as visible, purpose-built testbeds for the perception, decision-latency, and vehicle-control software likely to inform AI-driven race strategy and safety systems in human-driven series over the coming decade. Third, AI-driven race strategy — building on the digital-twin and simulation capability discussed in Section 5.5 — is expected to extend from pre-race and post-race analysis into increasingly real-time, in-race decision support, raising governance questions (equitable computational access, human-oversight requirements) that mirror the cost-cap and technical-regulation debates discussed in Section 6.2. These trajectories are noted here as an agenda for the empirical, longer-horizon follow-up research recommended in Section 9.3, rather than as conclusions this conceptual review is positioned to establish.

Research And Development Needs

Based on the review of technical opportunities, this section identifies research and development (R&D) needs in motorsport across technical, policy, and social dimensions.

Technical Research Needs

- Lifecycle performance and management of traction batteries and hybrid systems: controlled studies, both in-house and on-track, are needed to better understand the performance characteristics of traction battery-pack designs and hybrid storage solutions, along with the thermal-management systems required to maintain performance. A standardization/qualification process for motorsport-grade traction battery packs should also be developed.

- Lifecycle assessment of e-fuels and techno-economic analysis: a comprehensive comparison of e-fuel production methods is required, including cost analysis of the most promising options, alongside a pilot project to scale the most viable pathway.

- Aerodynamics control algorithms and systems engineering: hardware-in-loop (HIL) and driver-in-loop (DIL) simulation should be used to develop aerodynamic control algorithms that ensure optimal performance while adhering to the rules.

- Power electronics packaging and wide-bandgap semiconductor switching performance: a standardization process for power-electronics packaging is required, alongside controlled studies of switching-performance characteristics under racing conditions to establish performance guidelines.

- Hydrogen safety and refueling concepts for long-distance motorsport: a safety assessment and the development of hydrogen refueling infrastructure concepts are required to identify requirements for endurance motorsport.

Policy Research and Development Needs

- Cost-cap impact simulation: an econometric study should identify the most viable cost-cap options for promoting R&D in motorsport while limiting technical development to acceptable levels.

- Telematics standards and data-management regulation: regulatory sandboxes should be created to establish standardized telematics protocols, including data exchange and safety-critical communication.
- Market-level circularity pilots for critical motorsport components: pilots should assess the viability of circular-economy approaches, including recycling, re-use, and end-of-life treatment of critical components.

Social Research and Development Needs

- Human factors and driver awareness in energy-management-focused racing: the role of drivers in managing complex vehicle systems should be studied and standardized.
- Marshal training in high-voltage and hydrogen safety and maintenance: a controlled study should establish the most viable training program for marshals in high-voltage and hydrogen safety and maintenance procedures.

Limitations Of The Study

- Secondary-data dependence: the study synthesizes publicly available regulatory texts, manufacturer statements, and industry commentary rather than primary financial, telemetry, or interview data, which are largely confidential in elite motorsport.
- Temporal boundary: the core literature review draws on sources through the 2020–2026 cycle; while the 2026 regulatory framework is drawn from confirmed FIA publications, real-world performance data from the 2026 season was not fully available at the time of writing, and discussion of its effects is necessarily anticipatory.
- Illustrative quantification: the percentage figures in Table 2 are a synthetic, author-coded summary of qualitative source material rather than the output of an original statistical survey, and should be interpreted as indicative rather than as precise measurements.
- Series coverage: the review concentrates on F1, WEC Hypercar, Formula E, and WRC Rally1, with secondary reference to IndyCar, NASCAR, and touring-car series; other categories may exhibit different adoption patterns not captured here.
- Conceptual, not causal: as a conceptual review, the paper identifies associations and plausible mechanisms (e.g., between structured regulation and reduced administrative friction) rather than establishing causal effects, which would require a dedicated empirical study.
- No access to proprietary data: the assessment of technology-readiness levels and impact relies on published information only, since proprietary technical specifications were not available to the authors.
- Scope extensions added in response to peer review: the discussion of commercial viability and fan engagement, regional governance comparison, and the 2030–2040 long-term outlook (Sections 6.6–6.8) are conceptual syntheses of publicly available industry, governance, and event data rather than an original comparative or survey-based study; they broaden the paper's interpretive scope but do not substitute for the dedicated primary research these topics warrant, as reflected in Section 9.3.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The economic viability and performance potential of new technologies in four-wheel motorsport present a complex picture defined by both immense promise and significant barriers. While the shift toward high-power hybridization, 100% sustainable fuels, active aerodynamics, AI-driven simulation, and additive manufacturing represents an unparalleled opportunity for road-car relevance and sustainability, high development costs, administrative complexity, and the need for competitive balance remain significant barriers.

The 2026 regulations are not solely about making cars faster; they are, fundamentally, about forcing engineers to maximize energy efficiency — extracting maximum power from a limited fuel allocation and maximizing kinetic energy recovery. The development necessitated by the 350 kW electrical output, the constraints of 100% sustainable fuels, and the management of active aerodynamics will accelerate research in battery-management software, advanced combustion, and systems integration — all critical areas for the global automotive industry and, by extension, for progress toward SDG 7 and the wider Sustainable Development Goals.

Policy Recommendations

- Refine cost-cap definition and architecture: regulators should continually refine the cost-cap structure to include specific carve-outs or incentives for sustainability-related R&D — particularly battery recycling, e-fuel production, and hydrogen safety — with appropriate reporting and accounting mechanisms, ensuring that critical efficiency-related R&D (software, sustainable materials) is prioritized over traditional aerodynamic or chassis expenditure.
- Establish a standardized certification framework for sustainable fuels: an independent, cross-series certification process — verifying both drop-in chemical compatibility and the renewable provenance of production energy — would give manufacturers, regulators, and the public a common basis for evaluating sustainability claims, addressing the certification gap noted in Section 5.3.
- Adopt open-source telemetry protocols for safety-critical software: publishing shared, non-proprietary standards for safety-critical data exchange (while preserving proprietary strategy algorithms) would support independent validation of technical performance claims and equitable competition, without requiring manufacturers to disclose competitive intellectual property.
- Create public–private partnerships: governing bodies and manufacturers should jointly fund testbeds for alternative-energy production (e-fuel synthesis, hydrogen refueling), battery recycling, and other motorsport-specific initiatives at selected circuits, ensuring fuels and components remain aligned with global standards for maximum translational benefit.
- Adopt and publish SDG-related performance indicators: the proposed set of SDG 7 (and broader SDG) event performance indicators should be adopted and reported on a regular, annual basis.
- Simplify technical oversight: while complex regulations are necessary, the enforcement and application process should be streamlined to reduce bureaucratic overhead, allowing teams to focus resources on R&D rather than compliance disputes.

Recommendations for Manufacturers and Teams

- Prioritize documentation and publication of road-relevant spillovers (e.g., battery thermal management, predictive energy software) to strengthen the commercial case for continued participation and R&D investment.
- Invest early in simulation and digital-twin capability, since the evidence suggests this is now a primary performance differentiator rather than a secondary tool.
- Engage proactively with regulators during rule-drafting cycles to reduce the technical-regulation instability that a majority of manufacturers currently cite as a hindrance.

Directions for Future Research

- Primary data collection through structured interviews with FIA officials, team engineers, and sustainability officers, to validate and extend this review's secondary-source findings — particularly regarding the regulatory complexity and cost-cap administration issues identified in Section 5.1.

- Empirical, data-driven follow-up studies once 2026 season telemetry and cost-cap compliance data become available, to test the associations proposed in this conceptual review.
- Comparative case studies of specific technology-transfer instances (e.g., a named battery-cooling innovation moving from race car to production EV) to trace the mechanism in detail.
- Cross-series comparison including categories outside this study's primary scope (IndyCar, NASCAR, touring-car series) to test whether the input–process–output–impact framework proposed in Section 2 generalizes beyond the FIA-governed series examined here.
- Dedicated commercial and fan-perception research — sponsorship-revenue analysis, cost-benefit assessment, and fan surveys — to empirically test the relationship between sustainability-oriented technical change and commercial viability introduced conceptually in Section 6.6.
- A systematic comparative study of FIA, IMSA, and NASCAR governance models (Section 6.7), and longer-horizon (2030–2040) empirical tracking of autonomous-racing and AI-driven strategy trends (Section 6.8), as these mature beyond the 2020–2026 window examined here.
- Direct quantitative assessment of motorsport's contribution to SDG indicators, potentially through a structured technology-transfer audit conducted with manufacturer cooperation.

Only through effective policy measures, robust institutional capacity, and innovative financing solutions can motorsport truly harness the potential of emerging technologies and accelerate the transition toward a more sustainable and technologically relevant future for the entire automotive sector.

REFERENCES

1. Abu Dhabi Autonomous Racing League (ASPIRE). (2025). Abu Dhabi Autonomous Racing League. https://a2rl.io/
2. Allen, M. M. C., Allen, M. L., Saqib, S. I., & Liu, J. (2021). State-permeated capitalism and the solar PV industry in China and India. New Political Economy, 26(4), 527–539. https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2020.1807486
3. Autosport. (2025). All to know about the F1 cost cap. https://www.autosport.com/f1/news/f1-cost-cap-all-to-know/10379447/
4. Ellabban, O., Abu-Rub, H., & Blaabjerg, F. (2014). Renewable energy resources: Current status, future prospects and their enabling technology. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 39, 748–764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.113
5. Elizondo Azuela, G., Barroso, L., Khanna, A., Wang, X., Wu, Y., & Cunha, G. (2014). Performance of renewable energy auctions: Experience in Brazil, China and India (Policy Research Working Paper No. 7062). World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-7062
6. Fédération Internationale de l'Automobile. (2019). F1's 10-year race towards ultimate energy efficiency. https://www.fia.com/news/f1s-10-year-race-towards-ultimate-energy-efficiency
7. Fédération Internationale de l'Automobile (2019), Cost Cap Administration. https://www.fia.com/events/fia-formula-one-world-championship/season-2025/cost-cap-administration
8. FIA Formula E. (2022). GEN3 facts: Performance x efficiency x sustainability. https://www.fiaformulae.com/en/news/2022/april/gen3-facts
9. Formula 1. (2026). 2026 regulations explained: All you need to know about F1's new power units. Formula1.com. https://www.formula1.com/en/latest/article/2026-regulations-explained-all-you-need-to-know-about-f1s-new-power-units.14jfv7a36905uDJDdNyfQd
10. Honda Global. (2026). 2026 Formula 1 regulations overview. Honda Global Corporate Website. https://global.honda/en/F1/features/2026_Commentary/regulations/
11. Ingager Sports. (2026). How Drive to Survive became F1's best marketing move. https://www.ingagersports.com/how-drive-to-survive-on-netflix-became-f1s-best-move

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI Volume XIII Issue VIII August 2026

12. Martinot, E., Chaurey, A., Lew, D., Moreira, J. R., & Wamukonya, N. (2002). Renewable energy markets in developing countries. Annual Review of Energy and the Environment, 27(1), 309–348. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.27.122001.083444
13. Morning Consult. (2022). F1 fandom grows, partly due to Netflix's ‘Drive to Survive.’ https://pro.morningconsult.com/instant-intel/f1-fandom-netflix
14. NVIDIA. (2025). Into the Omniverse: Computational fluid dynamics simulation finds smoothest flow with AI-driven digital twins. NVIDIA Blog. https://blogs.nvidia.com/blog/computational-fluid-dynamics-digital-twins/
15. Raceteq. (2025). Bridging virtual and real-life racing to train AI racing cars. https://www.raceteq.com/articles/2025/09/a2rl-cloud-and-real-racing
16. Soomro, H. A., Md Khir, M. H. B., Zulkifli, S. A. B. M., Abro, G. E. M., & Abualnaeem, M. M. (2025). Applications of wide bandgap semiconductors in electric traction drives: Current trends and future perspectives. e-Prime — Advances in Electrical Engineering, Electronics and Energy. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prime.2025.101142
17. Springer Professional. (2017). Engine technology: Formula 1 engine from Mercedes with over 50 percent efficiency. https://www.springerprofessional.de/en/engine-technology/race-cars/formula-1-engine-from-mercedes-with-over-50-percent-efficiency/15061334
18. Toyota Gazoo Racing. (2025). TOYOTA GAZOO Racing unveils liquid hydrogen-fueled “GR LH2 Racing Concept” at Le Mans. https://toyotagazooracing.com/wec/release/2025/0611-01/
19. Vieira, V., Baptista, A., Cavadas, A., Pinto, G. F., Monteiro, J., & Ribeiro, L. (2025). Comparison of battery electrical vehicles and internal combustion engine vehicles–Greenhouse gas emission life cycle assessment. Applied Sciences, 15(6), 3122. https://doi.org/10.3390/app15063122
20. Viewegh, N., Holloway, H., Biggerstaff, R., Herzog, J. B., & Stanley, C. M. (2026). Wide bandgap semiconductors for power electronics: Comparative properties, applications, and reliability of GaN and SiC devices. Hardware, 4(1), Article 6. https://doi.org/10.3390/hardware4010006